

Report of

Elders' Forum 2

*Delta Toronto Airport West Hotel
Mississauga, Ontario*

October 11-13, 2006

Convened by

The Nuclear Waste Management Organization

Prepared by
Joanne Barnaby,
Forum Rapporteur

Contents

	<i>page</i>
1. Introduction	1
2. Current Status of NWMO	5
3. Themes Emerging	5
3.1 Meaningful Involvement	5
3.2 Education and Capacity building	6
3.3 Protecting Mother Earth	8
4. The Role of the Elders Forum	9
5. Pickering Tour	9
6. Questions arising during Forum	10
7. Moving Forward	11
Appendix 1. List of Participants	13
Appendix 2. Principles of Participation	15
Appendix 3. Agenda	16

NWMO Elders' Forum 2006

1. Introduction

Building on the ground work laid since 2003 to engage the Aboriginal community in determining how best to manage Canada's used nuclear fuel, the NWMO hosted the second Elders' Forum to seek guidance and advice.

While the NWMO is awaiting major decisions by the Government of Canada regarding its recommended strategy, the NWMO recognized the wisdom of continuing the work of building an ongoing relationship with this forum of Elders originally convened in 2005. It also took the opportunity to give participants a tour of the Pickering Dry Waste Management Facility. Participants were drawn from across the country and included a good spectrum of Canada's Aboriginal community. In total, 33 individuals participated including 18 Elders and 15 "young" people named by the Elders in a support role (see Appendix 1).

The President, Ken Nash and Advisory Council Members Helen Cooper and Eva Ligeti together with 9 staff members attended the first evening session. Advisory Council member Donald Obonsawin joined the discussion on the Friday session of the Forum. This provided NWMO staff and Advisory Council members with the opportunity to meet Aboriginal peoples, participate in cross-cultural protocol gift giving and develop an appreciation of the value of continuing the building of this relationship.

The specific objectives of the meeting were:

1. To provide an update of NWMO activities and a sense of what lies ahead;
2. To review how the NWMO has learned from insights offered by the community and how the Elders have learned from their interaction with the NWMO;
3. To provide the Elders' Forum with an opportunity to visit the Pickering Nuclear facility, hear from Pickering staff how used nuclear fuel is currently managed, and tour the dry waste management facility; and
4. To discuss guidance for nourishing the NWMO – Aboriginal relationship.

This forum built on direction provided by other forums including; the Elders' Forum - 2005, the Traditional Knowledge Workshop - 2003, and two 'Issues Tables' which took place during the spring and summer of 2006. In addition the

report of the Saskatchewan 'Community Collaborative Workshop held in February 2006 was provided as information.

In preparation for the Forum, NWMO staff prepared a summary of themes that arose from the entire Aboriginal Dialogue to-date. These eight themes were presented to the Forum as background and as a structure for conversation during the Forum. Following review of the first two of these themes the Forum revised the Agenda. Appendix 3 contains both the original Agenda and the final Agenda.

Throughout the Forum cultural protocols were followed and all participants benefited from the prayers and teachings of all Elders. Joanne Kakekayash, Judy Landon and Tanya Anakons, First Nation women now residing in Toronto, led the opening and closing ceremonies inviting the wisdom of the spirits of their ancestors to assist the process. Many also participated in a pipe ceremony lead by Tom Mattinas and songs lead by Donna Augustine.

The NWMO is engaged with Aboriginal peoples in a long-term, continuous dialogue and recognizes that there are no models available or simple solutions for how best to achieve an effective working partnership between Aboriginal traditional knowledge and western science. Both sides must remain open, flexible and demonstrably committed to building this partnership.

This report highlights the main themes and outlines the decisions made by the elders and youth to organize themselves with the goal of achieving greater effectiveness in working with the NWMO.

It was submitted for review and comment to a "Working Group" prior to being sent to Forum participants. It is not presented as minutes but rather highlights the key messages, decisions and recommendations.

Section 2 provides a brief summary of the NWMO update and subsequent discussion between participants and NWMO President Ken Nash. The major themes emerging from the forum are outlined in Section 3. In Section 4, the steps taken to strengthen the Elders' Forum are outlined. Section 5 outlines the Forum experience with a tour of the Pickering waste management facility, and Section 6 sets out a series of questions raised by delegates during the Forum. A concluding note is offered in Section 7. Participants of the Forum are listed in Appendix 1, the Principles of Participation are in Appendix 2 and the Agenda is found in Appendix 3.

2. NWMO Update

Ken Nash, NWMO President provided an update on the status of the NWMO. He highlighted key elements of the NWMO's current work and the input of Aboriginal groups during the NWMO's study, answered a number of questions posed by the group and explained that until a decision is made by the government, NWMO's work on siting is limited to conceptual thinking on an appropriate process. He stressed the commitment of the NWMO to continued dialogue with Aboriginal peoples and to strengthen the NWMO's capacity to partner traditional knowledge and western science in their future work going forward.

The exchange following this presentation set the tone for the remainder of the Forum. Participants emphasized the need for a direct role for Aboriginal peoples in the decision making process. Ideas included representation on the NWMO Board of Directors, support for building Aboriginal capacity and support for a role as 'watchdog'.

Several examples of problems from not having a direct role in decisions that affect Aboriginal people were provided including recent court cases that have been ruled in favour of Aboriginal peoples. The special status of Aboriginal peoples resulting from Treaties and from the Constitution was explained. The NWMO must take care not to view Aboriginal peoples as another 'interest group' and must take action to recognize the special position of Aboriginal peoples within Canada.

3. Themes emerging from the Forum

It was clear from the onset that Forum participants were prepared to move forward and build on the results of previous deliberations. In doing so, they returned to many of the topics and ideas discussed in the first meeting of the Forum as well as the Traditional Knowledge Workshop held in 2003. In each of the issues outlined below they took each topic a step further and provided greater clarity and further direction. Many participants expressed the view that to make progress there needs to be greater transparency in NWMO's decision making process and responses to how the ideas and advice offered by the Forum influenced the work of the NWMO.

3.1 Meaningful involvement in decisions

Participants emphasized the importance of meaningful involvement in the NWMO and reiterated the view that Aboriginal peoples must have a say in what that involvement would look like. Aboriginal people must be part of the decision-

making process and not just involved in dialogue. The Federal Government and NWMO Board cannot be the only decision-makers on matters affecting Aboriginal peoples. Recent decisions by the Supreme Court of Canada call for increasing the minimum standards for the “duty to consult” that has been practiced by Governments in the past. Aboriginal people need also to participate in defining their role in decisions that affect them.

Membership on the Board of Directors and the Advisory Council as well as continued advice from this Forum and other national Aboriginal forums was seen as appropriate to furthering the voice of Aboriginal peoples in the work of the NWMO. Clearly any decisions regarding site selection would require a direct role from local Aboriginal communities.

There is a concern that the comments from the previous meeting of this Forum and other meetings held with Aboriginal people have not reached the NWMO Board although many Forum participants acknowledged that the NWMO has taken many steps in the right direction in its Aboriginal Dialogue. The direction given by Aboriginal people at this meeting needs to be properly used and acted upon.

As in previous meetings, participants restated that the existence of this Forum cannot be construed as ‘consultation’ as set out by the courts. Ongoing education of the NWMO is needed to develop a clear understanding of the rights of Aboriginal peoples in decisions that affect them.

3.2 Education and capacity building

Participants returned to the importance of **cultural education** throughout the Forum. Some were beginning to feel frustrated that the NWMO had not yet invested enough time and energy needed to understand Aboriginal cultures and the distinct rights of Aboriginal peoples. Such an investment was seen as critical to the building of a relationship based in respect and trust.

The Elders pointed out the very nature of cultural differences and world views presented a very real barrier to feeling understood. An example of how profound these world views are different is in the belief that many Aboriginal peoples have that all of creation has spirit. When this is contrasted with the western scientific and Judaea-Christian beliefs that plants, animals, water and earth are inanimate, the differences can lead to profoundly different ways of approaching problems.

It is important for the NWMO to understand the Aboriginal decision making processes and the roles of various community members in the process. Learning about the ‘medicine wheel or four directions’ model will help create an understanding of balance, world view, values, and factors to consider in decisions.

Ideas for sharing traditional teachings included further work to identify prophecies of various Aboriginal peoples, offering sweat lodge and sharing lodge

opportunities to NWMO and Forum participants, and providing cross-cultural workshop opportunities. There is a need to respect intellectual property in these discussions in terms of Traditional Knowledge.

The importance of understanding why and how Aboriginal peoples must be involved in the NWMO and should be clearly understood throughout the organization. It is time to step up the pace of cultural education and to invest real time and resources in the education of all levels of the NWMO on an ongoing basis. The solutions to waste management are clearly multi-generational in nature and will require a permanent relationship with Aboriginal peoples; such a commitment to education will serve this relationship well.

Several recommendations for addressing **educational needs of Aboriginal peoples** were also suggested. The importance of education at the community level was emphasized especially as the NWMO moves into site consideration. Community members will need a good understanding of all aspects of nuclear waste management including health and environmental risks, state of the art knowledge and limitations of science and technology, and employment and business opportunities. They will also need clarity about their sphere of influence over all aspects of management should they decide to consent to be a host site.

Further education ideas included establishing programs to support youth in studying both traditional knowledge and western science through formal and informal opportunities. Developing the ability of the next generation to understand and converse in both western science and traditional knowledge will increase the opportunities for sound decisions and management practices. Elders need to take a lead role in educating younger generations in Aboriginal communities about their stewardship responsibilities for the natural environment and ensure that Aboriginal communities are kept clean.

It is important that a way be found for Aboriginal people to build capacity and knowledge among themselves through **independent research**. They will then be able to educate people within their communities about nuclear waste management independently and create greater trust in the technical information provided.

The idea of creating an Aboriginal 'watch dog' capacity should be explored further. Such an organization could be the vehicle used to develop the research methods and standards discussed in the traditional knowledge workshop. It could provide independent analysis of scientific information and advise the Forum and Aboriginal communities accordingly.

It will be important as well that the research methods and education are understood and supported by Elders as they are fundamental to working with people in communities.

Aboriginal people experience research in one of three ways:

- 1) *by non-Aboriginals on Aboriginals*

- 2) *by non-Aboriginals with Aboriginals*
- 3) *by Aboriginals for Aboriginals*

Developing an Aboriginal research capacity will help ensure that the Aboriginal perspective is accurately understood and communicated. It will also contribute significantly to understanding the similarities and differences between western science and traditional knowledge.

3.3 Protecting Mother Earth

The traditional stewardship responsibility for protecting the environment continued to be at the forefront of the minds of participants. Elders repeatedly spoke of the importance of this traditional responsibility now and in the future.

Public education about the extent of our reliance on power and comparing the 'foot prints' of each of the energy sources we use would help Aboriginal and all Canadians understand the consequences of our choices individually and collectively. Aboriginal people can help other Canadians understand the importance of considering the impacts of our choices on future generations.

Special care must be taken to ensure that economic desperation does not drive a community decision to host a disposal site. We must recognize that many Aboriginal communities are living in third world conditions and that they may be more vulnerable to economic considerations without full and adequate consideration of health and environmental risks.

While forum participants acknowledged the mandate of NWMO to manage waste produced from nuclear power generation in Canada, many felt it was important to consider related international developments. Participants are interested in the disposal approaches for nuclear waste used in other countries. Another area of concern for participants is nuclear weapons related developments in various countries. Participants from Saskatchewan also pointed out that Canada continues to be ineffective at dealing with uranium mining and reclamation of sites where this fuel is produced. Some wondered if 'returning the fuel to where it came from' should be considered.

The challenge of finding a site for the long term storage of nuclear waste will be very difficult and may not be found in the lifetime of the Elders present, it is vitally important therefore to ensure that no rash or ill informed decisions are made. The Forum is committed to meeting this ongoing long term challenge with the NWMO in the spirit of a healthy respectful relationship.

4. The Role of the Elders Forum

Several delegates expressed the view that in order to become more effective, the Forum needs to become more active and clear about its role. At the request of delegates, an in-camera session was held to consider how best to proceed. Gordon Williams was selected to chair this session and to report to NWMO the results.

Delegates decided to establish a working group or committee (yet to be named) as a means of developing a method for the Elders' Forum to be most helpful in its work with the NWMO and to communicate with the NWMO between meetings of the Forum. Five elders and four youth were selected to sit on this committee as a first step, with one of their first tasks to develop the mission and objectives of the group.

Elders: Gordon Williams (Chair), Jim Sinclair, Tom Mattinas Sr., Michael McGuire and Donna Augustine.

Youth: Lindsay Morgan, Mary Magiskan, Tasha Kaye, Brennan Merasty

Facilitator: Chris Lafontaine

They will also act as the liaison group with NWMO between meetings of the Forum and can contribute to the process of educating NWMO about Aboriginal cultures and rights. This group will discuss a potential work plan and will help prepare for the next Forum.

5. Pickering Tour

The tour of the Pickering Waste Management Facility stimulated a variety of responses and impressions;

- Many would like to have been able to tour the reactor site itself in addition to the waste management facility and to have spoken to more people who work at the plant after the tour but appreciated the opportunity to speak to scientists during the tour.
- There is concern about the long term safety of the containers and a fear that something could go wrong.
- Now that the facility has been seen first hand it will allow those who took the tour to be able to describe it better to people in their communities.
- The tour of the facility supported the belief of some that we are now living in the time of Aboriginal prophecies of the seventh generation; this generation will make decisions that will return what has been lost; decisions must be

made carefully, future generations need to know that this generation took care of them.

- The management of the used nuclear fuel is important to both Aboriginal and non-Aboriginal people and we need to work together towards a solution for its long term management in order to protect mother earth.
- The tour helped people to understand the amount of nuclear waste that will need to be stored and managed and the impact of having this waste all in one location, particularly if anything was to go wrong. This confirmed the importance of making good decisions to protect future generations.
- The tour made it clear as to how much we as a society in general have come to depend on energy and how societal greed has lead to this dependency.
- Security of the facility is extensive and reflects what is going on in the world now. The facility is impressive in its size and cleanliness and in the construction of the containers and their storage; there is a great deal of nuclear waste being stored at Pickering.

6. Questions arising during the Forum

Throughout the Forum, a number of questions were raised which were answered during the Forum but some will require ongoing updating as new knowledge is acquired:

When will the Federal Government make its decision?

How can the NWMO be more responsive to the Forum and become more transparent in their decision making process?

Would it be advisable to use an existing mine site (i.e. now unused mines) as a repository site?

What is the lifespan of radioactivity in the used fuel bundles?

Is there research and development work being done to increase the efficiency of reactors that would enable longer term use of bundles?

What is the role of Natural Resources Canada with the NWMO?

How are the members of the Board of the NWMO selected? Who decides who will be on the NWMO Board? Who is the NWMO accountable to?

What is the relationship between the people who produce the waste and those who manage it?

Will the decision on the NWMO recommendation go before the Federal Cabinet and the Parliamentary Committee on Aboriginal Affairs?

What is done with the waste from nuclear arms?

If all of the waste from an explosion underground stays underground (i.e. referring to the recent reports of an apparent underground nuclear explosion in North Korea), why not store all waste underground?

Has any study been done on expanding the current waste storage, in Ontario and in other provinces?

Will the decision be economic only or will it be a political decision? Will communities be involved?

How can we help you get an answer from the federal government?

What kind of vehicle will be used to transport waste in the future? What happens in the event of an accident?

Where is the waste from nuclear submarines now stored?

What will happen to the containers and the waste after 50 years if the current storage containers are only built to last 50 years?

Has a comparison ever been done between hydroelectric power and nuclear energy in its impact on the environment and communities?

7. Moving Forward

The Elders' Forum felt strongly that the NWMO must make a firm commitment to strengthening cultural sensitivity within the organization on an ongoing basis. Ideas included cross-cultural training workshops, spending time in Aboriginal communities, and participation in the shaking tent, pipe and sweat lodge ceremonies.

Other ideas that came forward for consideration as the NWMO's work continues were:

- Develop ideas for increasing public profile of the value of Aboriginal participation in the NWMO
- Develop options for an Aboriginal watch dog role
- Develop options for Aboriginal ownership interest in a storage facility
- Continue research on what is being done to use spent fuel productively.

Significantly, many elders acknowledged their responsibility to take more leadership in educating youth, communities as a whole, leaders and non-Aboriginal peoples about their distinct knowledge and perspective. It is through

recognizing our shared responsibilities that we will create solutions for the benefit of all.

The forum achieved all of the objectives set out. Participants were provided an update and were able to discuss the future of the NWMO with the President. Further direction was provided for the educational work that needs to be done in order to build a stronger foundation for an ongoing relationship with board and staff. People had the opportunity to see a waste management facility first hand and to benefit from dialogue with people currently managing the waste. And significantly, the Forum took it upon themselves to increase their effectiveness and participation in the process by offering to establish a committee that can provide additional guidance throughout the year and between meetings of the Forum.

Appendix 1

NWMO ELDERS' FORUM 2

Mississauga, ON
October 11-13, 2006

List of Participants

Last Name	First Name	Community
1. Alasuak	Leah	Akulivik, QC
2. Angiyou	Eli	Akulivik, QC
3. Atlookan	Cindy	Eabametoong First Nation, ON
4. Augustine	Donna	Rexton, NB
5. Bear	Gwen	Fredericton, NB
6. Boyce	John	Eabametoong First Nation, ON
7. Courchene	Elmer	Pine Falls, MB
8. Daigneault	Amber	Ile-a-La-Crosse, SK
9. Favel	Jim	Ile- a-La-Crosse, SK
10. Flett	Bill	Selkirk, MB
11. Gardiner	Angus	Ile-a-La-Crosse, SK
12. Kaye	Tasha	Winnipeg, MB
13. Kyplain	Chelsea	Ile-a-La-Crosse, SK
14. LaBillois	Holly	Eel River Bar First Nation, NB
15. LaBillois	Margaret	Eel River Bar First Nation, NB
16. Lafontaine	Chris	Regina, SK
17. Laliberte	Vianney	Ile-a-La-Crosse, SK
18. Lefrancois	Maegan	Thunder Bay, ON
19. Magiskan	Mary	Thunder Bay, ON
20. Mandamin	Josephine	Thunder Bay, ON
21. Mattinas Jr.	Tom	Calstock, ON
22. Mattinas Sr.	Tom	Calstock, ON
23. McGuire	Michael	Thunder Bay, ON
24. Meeseetawageesic	Madeline	Eabametoong First Nation, ON
25. Merasty	Brennan	Ile-a-La-Crosse, SK
26. Morgan	Lindsay	Regina, SK
27. Nepinak	Melanie	Winnipeg, MB
28. Nevin	Moin	Rexton, NB
29. Peterson	Krista	Fredericton, NB
30. Richard	Mary	Mississauga, ON
31. Schoenthal	Walter	Regina, SK
32. Sinclair	Jim	Regina, SK
33. Williams	Gordon	Ottawa, ON
NWMO		
34. Hodge	Tony	Facilitator
35. Jourdain	Cynthia	NWMO staff
36. Patton	Pat	NWMO staff
NWMO Staff & Advisory Council – Wednesday evening, October 11th		
Nash	Ken	President
Shaver	Kathryn	NWMO staff

Last Name	First Name	Community
Facella	Jo-Ann	NWMO staff
Adshead	Gillian	NWMO staff
Herman	Morrie	NWMO staff
Russell	Sean	NWMO staff
Maurer	Hilda	NWMO staff
Smythe	Karen	NWMO staff
Cooper	Helen	Advisory Council
Ligetti	Eva	Advisory Council
NWMO Advisory Council – Friday, October 13th		
Obonsawin	Donald	Advisory Council
FACILITATOR/RAPPORTEUR		
37. Joanne Barnaby Joanne Barnaby Consulting		Hay River, NWT

*Elders' names are in bold

Appendix 2

Principles of Participation

The following principles of participation are offered as a guide to deliberations. They will be reviewed at the beginning of the meeting and modified if the meeting directs.

Intent of the Dialogue

1. To share experience and learn from dialogue among participants;
2. To understand and respect the diversity of perspectives brought to the table;
3. To build working relationships; and
4. To identify areas of common ground, of differences and the various underlying reasons.

Participation

Participants in the discussion have been selected to reflect a range of values, interests and experience and to share these with other participants and the NWMO. They are invited in their personal capacity and not as representative of any organization or interest. There is no expectation that participants will report back to or seek approval from any organization of interest. Further, participation is not to be seen as an endorsement by any participant of NWMO decision-making or any specific outcome.

Report

A summary report of the meeting will be prepared and distributed to participants for review before being finalized. The report will include a list of participants as well as these Principles of Participation.

No specific attribution of any comment made by any participant will be referenced in the report of the meeting, unless specifically requested by a participant.

Appendix 3

NWMO Elders' Forum 2 - Original Agenda

Wednesday Evening, October 11th, Delta Toronto Airport West Hotel

Evening

5:45 p.m.	Smudging Ceremony	<i>Elder</i>
6:00 p.m. <i>Group</i>	Opening Ceremony	<i>Elder & Drum</i>
	Welcome and Introductions	<i>All, 20 min.</i>
	Dinner	
7:30 p.m.	Presentations	
	1. Review of Agenda, Meeting Objectives, Principles of Participation <i>min.</i>	<i>Tony Hodge, 5</i>
	2. NWMO Status and Looking Ahead <i>min.</i>	<i>Ken Nash, 15</i>
	3. Guidance for Nourishing the NWMO-Aboriginal Relationships	<i>Team, 10 min.</i>
8:00 p.m.	Opening Circle	<i>All, 90 min.</i>
9:25 p.m. <i>Barnaby, brief</i>	Preview of Tomorrow's Activities	<i>Joanne</i>
9:30 p.m.	Closing Prayer	<i>Elder</i>

Thursday, October 12th, Pickering Nuclear Waste Facility

Morning

7:00 a.m.	Breakfast (in restaurant, flexible time)	
8:00 a.m.	Depart by bus for the Pickering Nuclear Waste Facility	
9:00 a.m.	Arrive at Pickering, Refreshments	
9:30 a.m.	Opening Prayer	<i>Elder</i>
<i>brief</i>	Review of day's activities	<i>Tony Hodge,</i>
	Focus Session: 2.1, 2.2 and related thoughts	<i>All, 50 min.</i>
10:30 a.m.	Refreshment break	
11:00 a.m.	Focus Session: 2.3, 2.4 and related thoughts	<i>All, 60 min.</i>
12:00 noon	Lunch	

Afternoon

1:00 p.m.	Management of Used Nuclear Fuel at Pickering	
	Overview of Management System	<i>Pickering Staff</i>
	Tour of Dry Management Facilities	<i>All</i>

4:00 p.m.	Leave Pickering for Hotel	
-----------	---------------------------	--

Evening

6:30 p.m.	Dinner	
-----------	--------	--

7:30 p.m.	Open Discussion: Observations and Reactions to the Tour	<i>All, 80 min.</i>
8:50 p.m.	Preview of Tomorrow's Activities	<i>Tony Hodge, 2 min.</i>

8:55 p.m.	Closing Prayer	<i>Elder</i>
-----------	----------------	--------------

Friday, October 13th, Delta Toronto Airport West Hotel

Morning

7:30 a.m.	Breakfast (in restaurant, flexible time)	
8:45 a.m.	Smudging Ceremony	<i>Elder</i>

9:00 a.m.	Opening Prayer	<i>Elder</i>
-----------	----------------	--------------

	Review of Day's Activities,	<i>Tony Hodge,</i>
<i>brief</i>	Focus Session: 2.5, 2.6 and related thoughts	<i>All, 60 min.</i>

10:00 a.m.	Refreshment break	
------------	-------------------	--

10:30 a.m.	Focus Session: 2.7, 2.8 and related thoughts	<i>All, 60 min.</i>
------------	---	---------------------

11:30 a.m.	Next Steps	<i>All, 30 min.</i>
------------	------------	---------------------

12:00 noon	Lunch	
------------	-------	--

Afternoon

1:00 p.m.	Closing Circle	<i>All, 150 min.</i>
	1. Final Comments	
	2. Meeting Evaluation: What went well, what could be improved and how?	
	3. Closing remarks	

3:30 pm	Closing Prayer	<i>Elder</i>
---------	----------------	--------------

NWMO Elders' Forum 2, Revised Agenda

Wednesday, October 11th, Delta Toronto Airport West Hotel

Evening

5:45 p.m.	Smudging Ceremony	<i>Elder</i>
6:00 p.m. <i>Drummers</i>	Opening Ceremony	<i>Elder &</i>
	Welcome and Introductions	<i>All</i>
	Dinner	
7:30 p.m.	Presentations	
	4. Review of Agenda, Meeting Objectives, Principles of Participation	<i>Tony Hodge .</i>
	5. NWMO Status and Looking Ahead	<i>Ken Nash</i>
	6. Guidance for Nourishing the NWMO-Aboriginal Relationships	
8:00 p.m.	Open Discussion with Ken Nash	<i>All.</i>
9:25 p.m. <i>Barnaby</i>	Preview of Tomorrow's Activities	<i>Joanne</i>
9:30 p.m.	Closing Prayer	<i>Elder</i>

Thursday, October 12th, Pickering Nuclear Waste Facility

Morning

7:00 a.m.	Breakfast	
8:00 a.m.	Depart by bus for the Pickering Nuclear Waste Facility	
9:00 a.m.	Arrive at Pickering, Refreshments	
9:30 a.m.	Opening Prayer	<i>Elder</i>
<i>Barnaby</i>	Review of day's activities	<i>Joanne</i>
	Focus Session: 2.1, 2.2 and related thoughts	<i>All</i>
10:30 a.m.	Refreshment break	
11:00 a.m.	In camera Session	<i>Delegates</i>
	<u>Afternoon</u>	
1:00 p.m.	Management of Used Nuclear Fuel at Pickering	
	Overview of Management System	<i>Pickering Staff</i>
	Tour of Dry Management Facilities	<i>All</i>
4:00 p.m.	Leave Pickering for Hotel	

Evening

6:30 p.m.	Dinner	
7:30 p.m.	Open Discussion: Observations and Reactions to the Tour	<i>All.</i>
8:50 p.m.	Preview of Tomorrow's Activities	<i>Tony Hodge, 2 min.</i>
8:55 p.m.	Closing Prayer	<i>Elder</i>

Friday, October 13th, Delta Airport Hotel

Morning

7:30 a.m.	Breakfast (in restaurant, flexible time)	
8:45 p.m.	Smudging Ceremony	<i>Elder</i>
9:00 a.m.	Opening Prayer	<i>Elder</i>
	Review of Day's Activities	<i>Tony Hodge</i>
	Selection of Forum Representatives	<i>Delegates</i>
10:00 a.m.	Refreshment break	
10:30 a.m.	Completion of Round Table Session	<i>All</i>
.		
12:30 noon	Lunch	
1:30 pm	Closing Prayer	<i>Elder</i>