SUBMISSION TO NWMO RE APM FROM TOM LAWSON DEC.10, 2008

My name is Tom Lawson. I am a lifelong resident of Port Hope, and have been immersed in nuclear issues since our 1995 "Crazy Caverns" crisis.

That scheme was to bury a million tonnes of radioactive and toxic waste right under our waterfront. I initiated the citizens coalition that challenged and defeated it. Having learned nothing from their humiliation, the same people are now planning to build a similar Caverns complex twice the size near Kincardine on the Bruce Peninsula!

The present plan is to concentrate all Port Hope's waste just west of town on a wetland! Meanwhile the Cameco Corporation, whose facility has dominated, defaced, and contaminated not only our waterfront, but the whole community for 60 years, is planning further expansion, including the highly dangerous processing of SEU (enriched uranium).

Our town is tragically divided. Everyone on both sides knows that an urban centre is no place for such a facility. The problem is that no other community wants it.

Perhaps the above will enable you to understand the following.

I have four fundamental objections to your plans for long range storage of nuclear waste: i) Feasibility, ii) Ethics, iii) Safety, and iv) Cost.

- i) FEASIBILITY: In purely engineering terms, APM strikes me as no more likely to work than were the Crazy Caverns. There are simply too many "ifs" involved, and the notion that deep rock "disposal" (an oxymoron) can ever be foolproof seems to me to Alice-in -Wonderland dreaming. We are no closer than we were 40 years ago to a solution for nuclear waste. Since there is no such thing as permanent disposal of anything in creation, the most permanent jobs anywhere will be for those managing and monitoring nuclear waste. Surely the only responsible farsighted policy is to stop producing the stuff.
- ii) ETHICS: The prospect of finding a willing host strikes me as unrealistic. Your excessive focus on bribing an aboriginal community to abandon its traditional sense of the sanctity of the Earth is essentially unethical. It reminds me of the French Government conducting its nuclear tests among the inhabitants of the Pacific Islands.
- iii) SAFETY: I understand that nothing in the engineering world can be guaranteed beyond 500 years. When you consider that human civilization covers 10,000 years, 500 years is a really a very short time. We need at least the aboriginal Seventh Generation perspective.

iv) COSTS: The costs of nuclear schemes have always proved far above the industry's estimates. They promise to drain off the bulk of funds desperately needed to develop cleaner, greener, more efficient technologies.

Throughout your massive, meticulously prepared, impressively professional literature, you seem to me so focused on PROCESS that you can't see the woods for the trees. What is the source of the problem? Can anything be done about THAT? Where is there room for genuine farsightedness? I have a button that says "If you can't change your mind, are you sure you still have one?"

Are we capable of changing the WAY we think (and the way we act), or are we in a straitjacket, condemned to trying to solve our problems by the very thinking that created those problems?

Nuclear Waste Management Organization Moving Forward Together: Designing the Process for Selecting a Site

1.	Does the framework of objectives, ethical principles and requirements provide a sound foundation for designing the process for selecting a site?
	No
2.	How can we ensure that the process for selecting a site is fair?
	WE CAN'T
3.	From what models and experience should we draw in designing the process?
	None exist to do The job responsibly
4.	Who should be involved in the process for selecting a site, and what should be their role?
	Those who select such a site should be personally liable for the implications of what they decide. I heally the site should be where They live I not impreed on other
5.	What information and tools do you think would facilitate your participation? They would have to be sufficient to rob me of far sightedness, and of my ability to think eleasly.

6. What else needs to be	e considered?
You rea	elly need to consider the impossibility of
what no	one undertalling, & to look again at
the f	ature of the mulear industry of its part in
The col	large of industrial airligation.
Please return to:	Nuclear Waste Management Organization 22 St. Clair Avenue East, 6 th Floor Toronto, ON M4T 2S3
	Attention: Dr. Anda Kalvins Fax: 647.259.3007
	c if you agree to your submission being posted on our website. ave my submission posted.

.

Dear NWMO + Alvin Kalvins -Most of my 75 yrs. in Port Hope has been spent on nuclear waste; not your kind but sufficiently damaging to health that millions of I have already been spent by us taxpayors.

My most encouraging exercise to try treach reasonable decisions was during the Siting Task topice process when a group of us representing the industry, the workers the 3 municipalities involved at that time, tothers soft down together, listened to each other + come to some conclusions in the kind of open, transparent process you advocate. At that time we were classified as Host Communities. All this work was overturned by a newly appointed Sec. General, who refused to tollow the procedures described in the Siting Task force constitution + then went on to initiate "the Coverns" scheme. I describe this but I only do the phone of you don't pay

We have files in the fort Hope Library.
Yours sincerely,
Patricia Lawson

Nuclear Waste Management Organization Moving Forward Together: Designing the Process for Selecting a Site

1. Does the framework of objectives, ethical principles and requirements provide a sound	*
Tournel foundation for designing the process for selecting a site?	7
How can we advertise we have a processi lased on a	
Sound foundation + othical principles That does not	
address the future 10 more fuel rods ? I have	
Children & granolchildren:	
2. How can we ensure that the process for selecting a site is fair?	
It should be an close to the majority of unare	
It should be as close to the majority of users	
technicolly safe,	
3. From what models and experience should we draw in designing the process?	
a construction and experience ended to draw in designing the process;	
The head may to sent the Allies James on The and and allies	'n
The well mods are toxic to all life topever The information	n
we have now is what does not work is the hish sea, the cave	ď
The feel mods are toxic to all life totaver. The information we have now is what does not work is the hish sea. The cave in Europe: lam not confident there can ever be a foreve	ď
The feel mods are toxic to all life topever The information we have now is what does not work in the hish sea. The cave in Europe - lam not confident there can ever be a force solution. The contamination of around water in But Hope	ď
The well mods are toxic to all life toper. The unbination we have now is what does not work is the hish sea. The cave in Europe - lam not confident there can ever be a long solution. The contamination of around water in But Hope proves disasterous to the lake + land by the de	ď
The feel mods are toxic to all life topever The information we have now is what does not work in the hish sea. The cave in Europe - lam not confident there can ever be a force solution. The contamination of around water in But Hope	ď
The well mods are toxic to all life toper. The unbination we have now is what does not work is the hish sea. The cave in Europe - lam not confident there can ever be a long solution. The contamination of around water in But Hope proves disasterous to the lake + land by the de	ď
The well mods are toxic to all life to be the information we have now is what does not work it the hish sea. The cave in Europe lam not confident there can ever be a forever solution. The contamination of around water in But Hope proves distributed disasterous to the lake + land by the object. 4. Who should be involved in the process for selecting a site, and what should be their role?	ď
The fuel mods are toxic to all life topeter. The unformation have now is what does not work is the high sea. The cave in Europe - lam not confident there can ever be a force solution. The contamination of around water in Partitope proves disasterous to the lake + land by the day. 4. Who should be involved in the process for selecting a site, and what should be their role? The people + especially the mothers as well as the	ď
The well mods are toxic to all like traver. The intermetion we have now is what does not work in the high sea. The cave in Europe - lam not confident there, can ever be a forced solution. The contamination of around water in fat Hope proves districted disasterous to the lake + land by the day. 4. Who should be involved in the process for selecting a site, and what should be their role? The people + especially the mothers as well as the political leaders - from every area under	ď
The well mods are toxic to all like traver. The intermetion we have now is what does not work in the high sea. The cave in Europe - lam not confident there, can ever be a forced solution. The contamination of around water in fat Hope proves districted disasterous to the lake + land by the day. 4. Who should be involved in the process for selecting a site, and what should be their role? The people + especially the mothers as well as the political leaders - from every area under	ď
The well mods are toxic to all like traver. The intermetion we have now is what does not work in the high sea. The cave in Europe - lam not confident there, can ever be a forced solution. The contamination of around water in fat Hope proves districted disasterous to the lake + land by the day. 4. Who should be involved in the process for selecting a site, and what should be their role? The people + especially the mothers as well as the political leaders - from every area under	ď
The well mods are toxic to all like to better. The imprination we have now in what alocs not work is the hish sea. The cave in Europe. I am not confident there, can ever be a forever solution. The contamination of around water in Bottle pe proves districted disasterand to the lake + land by the about 4. Who should be involved in the process for selecting a site, and what should be their role? The people + especially the mothers as well as the political leaders - from every area under consideration. It seems you have managed this, well so that information and tools do you think would facilitate your participation?	ď
The well mods are toxic to all life states. The infirmation we have now is what all so not work in the his hear. The cave in Europe Jam not confident there can ever be a forced solution. The contamination of around water in fat the partners disasterant to the lake + land by the due. 4. Who should be involved in the process for selecting a site, and what should be their role? The people + especially the mothers as well as the political leaders - from every area under consideration. It seems you have managed this, well so what information and tools do you think would facilitate your participation? Regular Conference calls in order to hear ther pain	ď
The well mods are toxic to all like to better. The imprination we have now in what alocs not work is the hish sea. The cave in Europe. I am not confident there, can ever be a forever solution. The contamination of around water in Bottle pe proves districted disasterand to the lake + land by the about 4. Who should be involved in the process for selecting a site, and what should be their role? The people + especially the mothers as well as the political leaders - from every area under consideration. It seems you have managed this, well so that information and tools do you think would facilitate your participation?	ď

rentories of .	fuel rods: new fuel rods from refurbis
Please return to:	Nuclear Waste Management Organization 22 St. Clair Avenue East, 6 th Floor Toronto, ON M4T 2S3
	Attention: Dr. Anda Kalvins