

Preliminary Assessment for Siting a Deep Geological Repository for Canada's Used Nuclear Fuel



**The Corporation of the Township of
Ear Falls, Ontario**

FINDINGS FROM PHASE ONE STUDIES

APM-REP-06144-0017

NOVEMBER 2013

About the NWMO and its work

The Nuclear Waste Management Organization (NWMO) was created by Canada's nuclear energy generators in 2002 as a requirement of the *Nuclear Fuel Waste Act*. The Act requires the NWMO to study, recommend and then implement a plan for the long-term management of used nuclear fuel in Canada.

The NWMO approaches its work with the following vision: the long-term management of Canada's nuclear waste in a manner that safeguards people and respects the environment, now and in the future.

The NWMO is guided by five fundamental values:

Integrity: We will conduct ourselves with openness, honesty and respect for all persons and organizations with whom we deal.

Excellence: We will pursue the best knowledge, understanding and innovative thinking in our analysis, engagement processes and decision-making.

Engagement: We will seek the participation of all communities of interest and be responsive to a diversity of views and perspectives. We will communicate and consult actively, promoting thoughtful reflection and facilitating a constructive dialogue.

Accountability: We will be fully responsible for the wise, prudent and efficient management of resources, and be accountable for all our actions.

Transparency: We will be open and transparent in our process, communications and decision-making, so that the approach is clear to all Canadians.

The work of the NWMO is subject to federal regulatory oversight and is regulated under the *Nuclear Safety and Control Act*. The NWMO's work is required to meet all applicable regulatory standards and requirements for protecting the health and safety of persons, the environment and national security, and to respect Canada's international commitments on the peaceful use of nuclear energy. For financial surety, its work is also required to be fully funded by the waste-producing organizations through independently managed trust funds.

Preface

Since May 2010, the Nuclear Waste Management Organization (NWMO) has worked collaboratively with interested communities to implement Adaptive Phased Management (APM), Canada's plan for the long-term care of used nuclear fuel. At this early point in the multi-year site selection process, the focus of work is on exploring potential to meet specific requirements to safely host a deep geological repository and Centre of Expertise, the core components of Canada's plan.

Findings summarized in this document have emerged from studies conducted as part of Phase 1 of the Preliminary Assessment, the initial phase of study in Step 3 of the nine-step process for selecting a site. The document reviews the outcome of desktop studies that explored the potential to find a site that can safely and securely contain and isolate used nuclear fuel from people and the environment for the long time period required. It also summarizes learning that transpired through working with the community to build understanding about APM and to explore the project's potential to align with the long-term vision of the community in a way that contributes to its well-being.

The Township of Ear Falls is one of 21 communities engaged in exploring potential interest in hosting this national infrastructure project. Findings from its Phase 1 Preliminary Assessment are intended to support the Township and the NWMO in taking stock of the community's potential to meet the requirements for hosting APM facilities. These assessments also provide the basis upon which the NWMO will identify a smaller number of communities to be the focus of the next phase of more detailed studies.

~~~~~

The journey of the Township of Ear Falls in the APM process began in November 2009, when Council passed a resolution and the Mayor sent a letter asking to learn more about the program. This request came to the NWMO in response to an open invitation to communities and groups to learn more about Canada's plan. Highlights of Ear Falls' engagement to date in this Learn More process are provided below.

After the NWMO formally initiated the site selection process in May 2010, the Township's Council passed a resolution in June 2010 to request a Learn More briefing and an initial screening of the community's potential suitability for the project. In August 2010, the Mayor, Council, municipal staff members and a community member received a briefing from the NWMO in Toronto. They also toured OPG's Darlington Waste Management Facility (DWMF) to learn how used nuclear fuel is currently managed.

In August 2010, the NWMO delivered a presentation to community officials to review the plan for conducting the initial screening and to confirm details of the work.

In October 2010, a new Mayor and Council were elected in Ear Falls. At their request, in May 2011 the NWMO provided a Learn More briefing and tour of the DWMF to the new Mayor, Council and some members of the community. The following day, this delegation travelled to Ottawa to meet with representatives of the Canadian Nuclear Safety Commission.

In May 2011, the NWMO and the contractor that conducted the initial screening work presented findings to Council. Copies of the final report (summary version, as well as detailed report) were

also provided. The report's findings indicated that *“the review of readily available information and application of the five initial screening criteria did not identify obvious conditions that would exclude the Township of Ear Falls from further consideration in the site selection process.”*

The Township has actively pursued learning opportunities to become better informed about the project and nuclear waste management in general. To make information about the project and the site selection process readily available to community members, Council asked the NWMO to set up an information kiosk about the APM project at the local arena. The kiosk was later moved to the municipal office.

Ear Falls also engaged the services of a social planning consultant to develop a strategic plan for the Township. This plan was presented to the community in December 2011. One of the strategic actions recommended in the plan was to continue learning about the APM site selection process.

To facilitate learning and dialogue within the community, Council established the Ear Falls Nuclear Waste Community Committee (NWCC) in April 2011. The NWCC was directed by Council to help facilitate involvement of community members in learning about the project in an open and inclusive manner, and to help inform NWMO studies. The NWCC held its first meeting in September 2011.

At the invitation of Council, the NWMO convened an open house in Ear Falls in May 2011 to review initial screening results. Individuals and groups who met with the NWMO during this event included Town Council and staff, students, representatives from the business community, seniors, camp operators, hunters and anglers, and representatives of First Nations communities.

In June 2011, a small delegation from the community attended the Federation of Canadian Municipalities conference to hear from representatives of Swedish communities that were involved in a similar site selection process. Community representatives also attended meetings in the community in May 2011 by environmental groups to hear alternate perspectives. In September 2011, representatives of Ear Falls attended the Canadian Nuclear Society conference in Toronto to learn from the perspective of a broad range of specialists, and to hear first-hand about progress, issues and challenges associated with nuclear waste management practices in Canada and other countries. The costs associated with these learning activities were covered by the NWMO as part of a funding program provided to interested communities.

In Fall 2011, Council expressed an interest in learning more about preliminary assessments, the next step in the site selection process. At their request, in November 2011 the NWMO provided a briefing that outlined what would be involved should the Council wish to proceed to this step.

After further consideration, Council passed a resolution in February 2012 expressing its interest in continuing to learn more about APM and to initiate feasibility studies by proceeding to Step 3 for the first phase of preliminary assessment activities.

Beginning in March 2012, the NWCC and the NWMO worked together to review plans for the range of technical and social well-being studies associated with the Phase 1 assessment process. They also reviewed the resource program available to the community to support activities to learn about and reflect on its interest in the project, encourage local discussion, and engage with the NWMO as the assessment was undertaken. The community worked closely with the NWMO to plan local dialogues and engagement.



To support engagement in the assessment process, in 2012 the NWCC established a monthly meeting schedule, with NWMO staff attending as requested to be part of the discussion and to work with the committee to complete preliminary assessment studies. These meetings were advertised in advance and open to the public. The committee also appointed a project coordinator, established a website and regular newsletter, sought presentations from NWMO staff specialists about topics of interest to the committee, and helped organize open houses. At these open houses, NWMO specialists used interactive exhibits, videos, poster displays and printed materials to help explain various aspects of APM and answer questions about the project.

Working collaboratively, the Township, the NWCC and the NWMO undertook a wide range of outreach activities in 2012 and 2013 with local individuals and groups such as first responders, educators, health-care providers, business leaders, students and community group members. The NWMO also took part in a number of community activities, such as Family Safety Night, as a way to interact with residents and share information about the project. A broad range of community leaders was also engaged through individual briefings and conversations held as part of the study process.

In September 2012, the NWCC sponsored an evening presentation for the community by two speakers, one a seasonal resident of Ear Falls. They shared their experiences with Whiteshell Laboratories in Pinawa, Manitoba. Also in September 2012, a small community delegation attended the International Conference on Geological Repositories to learn about how other countries are approaching site selection processes. In November 2012, CNSC staff made a presentation to the NWCC.

The NWCC actively involved the community in the development of a community profile and community well-being assessment report. Open houses were organized to share the progress of studies and learning, and to seek the input of community members. Open houses were convened in Spring 2012 to engage community members in discussion of the work involved in Phase 1 studies and in the Fall to report on findings of work to date.

The NWCC made a visit to the OPG interim storage facility at Pickering in May 2013, followed by a briefing with the NWMO. In April 2013, the CNSC hosted open houses for the community.

Led by the NWCC, engagement activities in 2013 also included hosting the NWMO's Mobile Transportation Exhibit. This exhibit provided community members an opportunity to see a licensed used fuel transportation container, and learn more about the robust regulations, policies and procedures that must be met. The exhibit's visit to the community in July 2013 coincided with an event hosted by the English River Miners hockey team.

Recognizing the importance of engaging surrounding communities and Aboriginal peoples in discussion about this project, the NWMO began to reach out to groups and individuals beyond the community in a very preliminary way. This outreach included First Nations and Métis communities, and mayors and council members of neighbouring communities.

~~~~~

The objective of the site selection process, through several phases of progressively more detailed assessments, is to arrive at a single location for both the deep geological repository for Canada's used nuclear fuel and for the Centre of Expertise. The preferred site will need to ensure safety and security for people and the environment and contribute to the well-being of

the area. Selecting a site will require many more years of detailed technical, scientific and social study and assessments, and much more engagement with interested communities, as well as surrounding communities and Aboriginal peoples.

With 21 communities engaged in exploring their interest and suitability for hosting APM, the site selection process must provide a basis for progressively identifying a smaller number of communities for more detailed assessment. Through increasingly more detailed studies, communities with strong potential to meet the project's specific requirements will be identified to become the focus of further assessment.

This process of stepwise reflection and decision-making will be supported by a sequence of assessments and engagement that will enable the NWMO and communities to learn more about the suitability of each potential siting area and make decisions about where to focus more detailed work. Communities may choose to end their involvement at any point during the site evaluation process until a final agreement is signed, subject to all regulatory requirements being met and regulatory approvals received.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
1. INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 The Purpose of This Document	1
1.2 Towards Partnership	1
1.3 A Matter of Responsibility	2
1.4 The Foundation of Canada’s Plan.....	2
1.5 The Site Selection Process	3
1.6 Initial Community Involvement	5
1.7 Approach to Preliminary Assessments.....	6
1.8 Next Steps	10
1.9 Moving Forward in Partnership	11
1.10 Organization of Report	11
2. INTRODUCTION TO THE TOWNSHIP OF EAR FALLS.....	13
3. PRELIMINARY ASSESSMENT OF ENGINEERING	17
3.1 Engineering Assessment Approach.....	17
3.2 Characteristics of the Material to Be Managed: Used Nuclear Fuel	17
3.3 Conceptual Description of the APM Facility	18
3.4 APM Surface Facilities.....	19
3.4.1 Used Fuel Container	20
3.4.2 Used Fuel Packaging Plant.....	21
3.4.3 Sealing Materials Production Plants.....	22
3.4.4 Shafts and Hoists.....	24
3.5 Underground Facilities	24
3.6 Centre of Expertise	27
3.7 Engineering Feasibility in the Ear Falls Area.....	27
3.8 Engineering Costs for Ear Falls.....	28
3.9 Engineering Findings	29
4. PRELIMINARY ASSESSMENT OF GEOSCIENTIFIC SUITABILITY	30
4.1 Geoscientific Preliminary Assessment Approach.....	30
4.2 Geoscientific Site Evaluation Factors	30
4.3 Geoscientific Characteristics of the Ear Falls Area.....	31
4.3.1 Physical Geography.....	31
4.3.2 Bedrock Geology	32
4.3.3 Quaternary Geology.....	34
4.3.4 Structural Geology	34
4.3.4.1 Mapped Faults	34
4.3.4.2 Lineament Interpretation	35
4.3.5 Erosion	35
4.3.6 Seismicity and Neotectonics	36
4.3.6.1 Seismicity	36
4.3.6.2 Neotectonic Activity.....	36
4.3.7 Hydrogeology.....	37
4.3.7.1 Overburden Aquifers.....	37
4.3.7.2 Bedrock Aquifers.....	37
4.3.7.3 Regional Groundwater Flow.....	37

4.3.8	Hydrogeochemistry	38
4.3.9	Natural Resources	38
4.3.10	Geomechanical and Thermal Properties	39
4.4	Potential Geoscientific Suitability of the Ear Falls Area	39
4.4.1	Potential for Finding General Potentially Suitable Areas	39
4.4.1.1	General Potentially Suitable Areas Within the Bluffy Lake Batholith	41
4.4.1.2	General Potentially Suitable Areas Within the Metasedimentary Rocks	42
4.4.1.3	Other Areas	43
4.4.2	Evaluation of General Potentially Suitable Areas in the Ear Falls Area	43
4.4.2.1	Safe Containment and Isolation of Used Nuclear Fuel	43
4.4.2.2	Long-Term Resilience to Future Geological Processes and Climate Change.....	44
4.4.2.3	Safe Construction, Operation and Closure of the Repository	45
4.4.2.4	Isolation of Used Fuel From Future Human Activities.....	45
4.4.2.5	Amenability to Site Characterization and Data Interpretation Activities.....	45
4.5	Geoscientific Desktop Feasibility Study Findings.....	46
5.	PRELIMINARY ENVIRONMENT AND SAFETY ASSESSMENT	59
5.1	Environment and Safety Assessment Approach.....	59
5.2	Description of the Environment.....	60
5.2.1	Communities and Infrastructure	60
5.2.2	Natural Environment	61
5.2.3	Natural Hazards.....	62
5.2.4	Environment Summary.....	63
5.3	Potential Environmental Effects	64
5.3.1	Potential Effects During the Site Selection Process	65
5.3.2	Potential Effects During Construction.....	66
5.3.3	Potential Effects During Operation	69
5.3.4	Potential Effects During Decommissioning and Closure.....	72
5.3.5	Potential Effects During Monitoring	74
5.4	Postclosure Safety.....	75
5.4.1	Postclosure Performance.....	75
5.4.2	Postclosure Assessment.....	75
5.5	Climate Change Considerations	77
5.5.1	Near-Term Climate Change	77
5.5.2	Glaciation.....	78
5.6	Environment and Safety Findings	79
6.	PRELIMINARY ASSESSMENT OF TRANSPORTATION	83
6.1	Transportation Assessment Approach	83
6.2	Regulatory Framework	83
6.2.1	Canadian Nuclear Safety Commission.....	84
6.2.2	Transport Canada.....	84
6.2.3	Provincial and Local Safety Responsibilities	85
6.3	Transportation Safety	85
6.3.1	Used CANDU Nuclear Fuel.....	85
6.3.2	Used Fuel Transportation Package.....	86
6.3.3	Commercial Vehicle Safety	87
6.3.4	Radiological Safety	87
6.3.5	Radiological Dose.....	88
6.4	Used Fuel Quantities and Transport Frequency.....	89

6.5	Used Fuel Transportation Experience	89
6.6	Transportation Operations	90
6.6.1	Responsibility.....	90
6.6.2	Communications	90
6.6.3	Security.....	91
6.6.4	Emergency Response Planning	91
6.7	Transportation Logistics to Ear Falls, Ontario	91
6.7.1	Existing Transport Infrastructure	93
6.7.2	Road Transport From Interim Storage to a Repository	93
6.7.3	Railroad Transport From Interim Storage to a Repository	95
6.7.4	Weather	96
6.7.5	Carbon Footprint.....	96
6.7.6	Conventional Accidents.....	97
6.7.7	Transportation Costs to Ear Falls, Ontario	97
6.8	Transportation Findings	98
7.	PRELIMINARY SOCIAL, ECONOMIC AND CULTURAL ASSESSMENT	103
7.1	Approach to Assessment	103
7.1.1	Activities to Explore Community Well-Being.....	104
7.1.2	Assumptions of the APM Project – Drivers of Community Well-Being.....	104
7.2	Community Well-Being Assessment – Implications of the APM Project for Ear Falls	106
7.2.1	Community Aspirations and Values	106
7.2.2	Implications for Human Assets.....	107
7.2.3	Implications for Economic Assets.....	108
7.2.4	Implications for Infrastructure	110
7.2.5	Implications for Social Assets.....	111
7.2.6	Implications for Natural Environment.....	112
7.2.7	Summary of APM and its Implications for Ear Falls.....	113
7.3	Criteria to Assess Factors Beyond Safety – Summary in Ear Falls	117
7.4	Overview of Engagement in Ear Falls	121
7.4.1	Summary of Issues and Questions Raised.....	122
7.5	Community Well-Being – Summary Findings	122
8.	REFLECTION ON POTENTIAL SUITABILITY	125
8.1	Early Findings	125
8.2	Preliminary Conclusions	125
8.3	Observations About Suitability	126
8.3.1	General Observations	126
8.3.2	Uncertainties and Challenges	127
8.4	Partnership	129
8.5	The Way Forward	130
9.	REFERENCES	131
10.	GLOSSARY	140

LIST OF TABLES

	Page
Table 1-1: Steps in the Site Selection Process – At a Glance	4
Table 3-1: Estimated Expenditures by Implementation Phase.....	28
Table 4-1: Water Well Record Summary for the Ear Falls Area.....	37
Table 5-1: Summary of Environmental Features Within the Ear Falls Area	63
Table 5-2: Potential Interactions With the Biophysical Environment During Site Selection Process.....	65
Table 5-3: Potential Interactions With the Biophysical Environment During Construction	68
Table 5-4: Potential Interactions With the Biophysical Environment During Operation	71
Table 5-5: Potential Interactions With the Biophysical Environment During Decommissioning and Closure Activities.....	74
Table 6-1: Maximum Public Individual Dose Due to Used Fuel Transported by Road	88
Table 6-2: Estimated Used Fuel Quantities by Owner	89
Table 6-3: Transport Summary From Interim Storage Sites to Ear Falls, Ontario	93
Table 6-4: All Road Transport From Interim Storage Sites to Ear Falls, Ontario.....	94
Table 6-5: Mostly Rail Transport From Interim Storage Sites to Ear Falls, Ontario	96
Table 6-6: Used Fuel Transportation Program Costs – 4.6 Million Bundles	98
Table 7-1: On-Site Workforce.....	105
Table 7-2: Overall Community Well-Being Implications	115
Table 7-3: Summary Table of Criteria to Assess Factors Beyond Safety.....	119

LIST OF FIGURES

	Page
Figure 1-1: Communities Currently Involved in the Site Selection Process.....	6
Figure 1-2: The Phase 1 Preliminary Assessment Studies	8
Figure 2-1: Ear Falls and Surrounding Lands	14
Figure 3-1: CANDU Fuel Bundle	17
Figure 3-2: Illustration of an APM Facility	19
Figure 3-3: APM Surface Facilities	20
Figure 3-4: Used Fuel Container for a Deep Geological Repository	21
Figure 3-5: Conceptual Layout of a Used Fuel Packaging Plant.....	22
Figure 3-6: Example of a Large Press for the Sealing Materials Compaction Plant	23
Figure 3-7: In-Floor Borehole Placement of Used Fuel Containers.....	25
Figure 3-8: Example Underground Layout for a Deep Geological Repository.....	26
Figure 3-9: APM Cost Estimate for a Deep Geological Repository in Ear Falls	29
Figure 4-1: Township of Ear Falls and Surrounding Area	49
Figure 4-2: Elevation and Major Topographic Features of the Ear Falls Area.....	50
Figure 4-3: Bedrock Geology of the Ear Falls Area	51
Figure 4-4: Quaternary Geology of the Ear Falls Area.....	52
Figure 4-5: Surficial Lineaments of the Ear Falls Area.....	53
Figure 4-6: Geophysical Lineaments of the Ear Falls Area.....	54
Figure 4-7: Historical Earthquake Records of the Ear Falls Area 1985–2011	55
Figure 4-8: Mineral Resources in the Ear Falls Area	56
Figure 4-9: Geoscientific Characteristics of the Ear Falls Area.....	57
Figure 5-1: Infrastructure and Land Use Within the Ear Falls Area.....	81
Figure 5-2: Natural Environment Within the Ear Falls Area	82

Figure 6-1: Used Fuel Transportation Package	87
Figure 6-2: Example Transport Processes for Used Nuclear Fuel	92
Figure 6-3: Highways 105 and 657 in Ear Falls, Ontario	92
Figure 7-1: Direct and Indirect Effects From the Project	106

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1 The Purpose of This Document

Since May 2010, the Nuclear Waste Management Organization (NWMO) has worked with interested communities to implement Adaptive Phased Management (APM), Canada's plan for the long-term care of used nuclear fuel. Over the past two years, the NWMO has worked collaboratively with interested communities to begin to explore their potential to meet site selection requirements for locating the deep geological repository and Centre of Expertise, the core components of Canada's plan.

Following a road map for decision-making that was developed collaboratively through two years of public engagement, the site selection process is now advancing through a multi-year series of steps and engagement to ensure, above all, that the site which is selected is safe and secure, and meets the highest scientific, professional and ethical standards.

This document, together with a series of supporting reports, captures learning to date from the Phase 1 Preliminary Assessment conducted with the Township of Ear Falls, Ontario.

Findings summarized in this document have emerged from studies conducted as part of Phase 1 of the Preliminary Assessment – the initial phase of study in Step 3 of the nine-step site selection process. The document reviews the outcome of desktop studies that explored the potential to find a site which can safely and securely contain and isolate used nuclear fuel from people and the environment for the long time period required. It also summarizes the learning that has emerged through working with the community to help them understand the safety of the project, and explore the potential for the project to align with the values and aspirations of the community over the long term and contribute to the well-being of the community and area.

The findings presented in this Phase 1 report are intended to provide input to early stock-taking of the potential for the community to meet the requirements to host the APM facilities. It is also intended to be an aid in NWMO decision-making to identify the smaller number of communities as the focus of more detailed Phase 2 studies, should the community be willing to continue in the process.

1.2 Towards Partnership

Although the focus of this assessment is the Township of Ear Falls, it is understood that a broader partnership involving surrounding communities and Aboriginal peoples would be needed in order for the project to proceed in this or any other area.

Through working with Ear Falls and other communities involved in the site selection process in Phase 1 activities, and initial outreach with surrounding communities and Aboriginal peoples, the nature and shape of the partnerships required to implement the APM Project is beginning to emerge. This project will only proceed with the involvement of the interested community, surrounding communities and potentially affected Aboriginal peoples in an area working in partnership to implement the project.

1.3 A Matter of Responsibility

For decades, Canadians have been using electricity generated by nuclear power reactors in Ontario, Quebec and New Brunswick. Just over 2 million used fuel bundles have been produced. When used nuclear fuel is removed from a reactor, it is considered a waste product, is radioactive and requires careful management. Although its radioactivity decreases with time, chemical toxicity persists and the used fuel will remain a potential health risk to people and the environment for many hundreds of thousands of years. Canada's used nuclear fuel is now safely stored on an interim basis at licensed facilities located where it is produced. Putting in place a plan for the long-term, safe and secure management of used nuclear fuel for the protection of people and the environment is an important responsibility that Canadians share. Through dialogues with citizens and Aboriginal peoples across Canada, the NWMO has heard that this generation wants to move forward in dealing with our used nuclear fuel, believing it to be imprudent and unfair to future generations to wait any longer.

1.4 The Foundation of Canada's Plan

The Government of Canada selected Canada's plan for the long-term management of used nuclear fuel in 2007. The plan, called Adaptive Phased Management, involves the development of a large national infrastructure project in an informed and willing host community. The project involves the long-term containment and isolation of used nuclear fuel from people and the environment in a deep geological repository in a suitable rock formation. It also involves the development of a Centre of Expertise and transportation plan.

As required by the *Nuclear Fuel Waste Act, 2002*, the NWMO is responsible for implementing Canada's Plan. The NWMO is committed to carrying out its work collaboratively with interested and affected citizens and organizations in a manner that is socially acceptable, technically sound, environmentally responsible and economically feasible.

Adaptive Phased Management (APM) – At a Glance:

- *Was developed through a nationwide dialogue between 2002 and 2005*
- *Was selected as Canada’s plan by the Government of Canada in 2007, consistent with the Nuclear Fuel Waste Act*
- *Key features include:*
 - *Safe and secure centralized containment and isolation of used nuclear fuel in a repository deep underground in a suitable rock formation*
 - *A series of steps and clear decision points that can be adapted over time*
 - *An open, inclusive and fair siting process to identify an informed and willing host community*
 - *Opportunities for people and communities to be involved throughout the implementation process*
 - *Optional temporary shallow storage at the central site, if needed*
 - *Long-term stewardship through the continuous monitoring of used fuel*
 - *Ability to retrieve the used fuel over an extended period should there be a need to access the waste or take advantage of new technologies*
 - *Financial surety and long-term program funding to ensure the necessary money will be available for the long-term care of used nuclear fuel*

1.5 The Site Selection Process

Through a collaborative process in 2008 and 2009, the NWMO worked with interested Canadians to develop the decision-making framework for selecting a site for the project. The site selection process is laid out in the NWMO’s document: *“Moving Forward Together: Process for Selecting a Site for Canada’s Deep Geological Repository for Used Nuclear Fuel”* (NWMO, 2010).

The site selection process is designed to ensure safety, security and protection of people and the environment. Reflecting the guidance provided by Canadians, the site selection process is built on a set of principles that reflects the values and priorities of Canadians on this issue. The process also contains a number of steps that these Canadians told us need to be part of the decision-making process to ensure it is an appropriate one for Canada, as set out in the table on the next pages.

Phase 1 Preliminary Assessments are conducted as part of Step 3 activities early in the site selection process. Several additional steps must be completed over the course of the next several years before a preferred site will be identified and environmental assessment and regulatory review will be sought. Interested communities may leave the site selection process at

any time during this process until a final agreement is signed, subject to all regulatory requirements being met and regulatory approval received.

It is fundamental to the siting process that only an informed and willing community be selected to host the project as evidenced by a compelling demonstration of willingness involving community residents. The project will only be implemented in an area in which robust safety requirements can be met and well-being will be fostered.

Table 1-1: Steps in the Site Selection Process – At a Glance

Getting Ready	The NWMO publishes the finalized siting process, having briefed provincial governments, the Government of Canada, national and provincial Aboriginal organizations, and regulatory agencies on the NWMO’s activities. The NWMO will continue briefings throughout the siting process to ensure new information is made available and requirements which might emerge are addressed.
Step 1	The NWMO initiates the siting process with a broad program to provide information, answer questions and build awareness among Canadians about the project and siting process. Awareness-building activities will continue throughout the full duration of the siting process.
Step 2	Communities identify their interest in learning more, and the NWMO provides detailed briefing. An initial screening is conducted. At the request of the community, the NWMO will evaluate the potential suitability of the community against a list of initial screening criteria.
Step 3	For interested communities, a preliminary assessment of potential suitability is conducted. At the request of the community, the NWMO will conduct a feasibility study collaboratively with the community to determine whether a site has the potential to meet the detailed requirements for the project. Regional engagement will be initiated, and an initial review of transportation considerations will be conducted. Interested communities will be encouraged to inform surrounding communities, including potentially affected Aboriginal communities and governments, as early as possible to facilitate their involvement.
	Phase 1: For interested communities passing the Initial Screening, a preliminary desktop assessment is conducted. Some communities may be screened out based on these assessments (one year or more).
	Phase 2: Field investigations and expanded regional engagement proceed with smaller number of communities (three to four years).
Step 4	For interested communities, potentially affected surrounding communities are engaged if they have not been already, and detailed site evaluations are completed. In this step, the NWMO will select one or more suitable sites from communities expressing formal interest for regional study and/or detailed multi-year site evaluations. The NWMO will work collaboratively with these communities to engage potentially affected surrounding communities, Aboriginal governments and the provincial government in a study of health, safety, environment, social, economic and cultural effects of the project at a broader regional level (Regional Study), including effects that may be associated with transportation. Involvement will continue throughout the siting process as decisions are made about how the project will be implemented.

Step 5	Communities with confirmed suitable sites decide whether they are willing to accept the project and propose the terms and conditions on which they would have the project proceed.
Step 6	The NWMO and the community with the preferred site enter into a formal agreement to host the project. The NWMO selects the preferred site, and the NWMO and community ratify a formal agreement.
Step 7	Regulatory authorities review the safety of the project through an independent, formal and public process, and if all requirements are satisfied, give their approvals to proceed. The implementation of the deep geological repository will be regulated under the <i>Nuclear Safety and Control Act</i> and its associated regulations to protect the health, safety and security of Canadians and the environment, and to respect Canada's international commitments on the peaceful use of nuclear energy. Regulatory requirements will be observed throughout all previous steps in the siting process. The documentation produced through previous steps, as well as other documentation that will be required for a licence application, will be formally reviewed by regulatory authorities at this step through an Environmental Assessment, and if this assessment is successful, then licensing hearings related to site preparation (and possible construction) of facilities associated with the project. Various aspects of transportation of used nuclear fuel will also need to be approved by regulatory authorities.
Step 8	Construction and operation of an underground demonstration facility proceeds.
Step 9	Construction and operation of the facility.

1.6 Initial Community Involvement

Communities involved in this stage of work entered the site selection process by expressing interest in learning more about Canada's plan for the long-term management of used nuclear fuel and the APM Project (Step 2) as part of an open invitation process.

With this expression of interest, the NWMO undertook an Initial Screening as part of Step 2 studies and began working with the community as they learned about the project and reflected upon their interest in it. The purpose of the Initial Screening was to determine whether, based on readily available information and five screening criteria, there were any obvious conditions that would exclude the community from further consideration in the site selection process.

For communities that successfully completed an Initial Screening and decided to enter Step 3 of the site selection process (Preliminary Assessments), the NWMO began working with the community to conduct a preliminary assessment. The purpose of Preliminary Assessments is to continue the learning and reflection process within the community, begin to involve surrounding communities and Aboriginal peoples in the process, and further explore the potential for the community to meet the detailed requirements for the project with more detailed scientific and technical studies.

Currently, there are 21 communities involved in the site selection process (20 are in Step 3; one is in Step 2). Figure 1-1 maps the locations of these communities in Saskatchewan and Ontario.



Figure 1-1: Communities Currently Involved in the Site Selection Process

1.7 Approach to Preliminary Assessments

Preliminary Assessments address siting factors and criteria as described in the NWMO's document: *"Moving Forward Together: Process for Selecting a Site for Canada's Deep Geological Repository for Used Nuclear Fuel"* (NWMO, 2010). Preliminary Assessment studies in Step 3 of the siting process are being conducted in two phases, with the opportunity for stock-taking by both the community and the NWMO throughout.

- Phase 1:** Assessments are conducted with all communities that successfully completed an Initial Screening and asked to be the focus of a Preliminary Assessment. This phase involves desktop studies to explore the potential to meet safety requirements, and includes studies of engineering, geoscientific suitability, environment and safety, and transportation. This phase also involves community-learning about the project, and engagement and reflection on the potential for the project to foster the well-being of the community and fit with its long-term vision. Working with communities, this phase also explores early indications as to whether it would be possible to sustain interest in learning through subsequent phases of work required to support informed decision-making and a compelling demonstration of willingness at a future stage. This phase begins to involve surrounding communities and Aboriginal peoples in a dialogue about the project that would continue in future phases. This phase of work is completed in a year or more.

- **Phase 2:** Assessments are conducted with a smaller number of interested communities selected by the NWMO based on the outcome of Phase 1 studies. Phase 2 work will further assess potentially suitable areas through detailed technical studies and field investigations. This phase also involves more detailed exploration of the potential to foster the well-being of the community. Learning and engagement are expanded to involve surrounding communities and Aboriginal peoples in exploring the potential to foster the well-being of the larger area, interest in the project, and the foundation to work together in partnership to implement the project. Together, the NWMO, potentially suitable communities, surrounding communities and Aboriginal peoples will reflect upon the suitability of the community and area to host the APM Project. Phase 2 Preliminary Assessments are expected to require approximately three to four years to complete.

The focus of the preliminary assessments to date has been on Phase 1. The two-phased approach to assessments is discussed in “*Preliminary Assessment of Potential Suitability – Feasibility Studies*” (NWMO, 2011).

The NWMO has adopted an integrated approach to Preliminary Assessments, with assessments focused on safety and community well-being through study of many technical, scientific and social requirements for the project.

In assessing the siting factors and criteria, four overarching research questions have guided this early phase of Preliminary Assessment, and have been a focus of reflection by both the NWMO and the community. These questions are discussed in more detail in *Preliminary Assessment of Potential Suitability – Feasibility Studies* (NWMO, 2011).

1. Safety, security and protection of people and the environment are central to the siting process. ***Is there potential to find a safe site?***

Safety was examined through several perspectives:

- **Potential to find a site with suitable geology.**
 - **Potential to safely construct the facility at the potential site.**
 - **Potential for safe and secure transportation to the potential site.**
 - **Potential to manage any environmental effects and to ensure safety of people and the environment.**
2. The project will be implemented in a way that will foster long-term well-being of the community. ***Is there potential to foster the well-being of the community through the implementation of the project, and what might need to be put in place (e.g. infrastructure, resources, planning initiatives) to ensure this outcome?***
 3. At a later step in the process, the community must demonstrate it is informed and willing to host the project. ***Is there potential for citizens in the community to continue to be interested in exploring this project through subsequent steps in the site selection process?***

4. The project will be implemented in a way that will foster the long-term well-being of the surrounding area. ***Is there potential to foster the well-being of the surrounding area and to establish the foundation to move forward with the project?***

These broad questions were addressed through a series of studies as outlined in the following figure.




Figure 1-2: The Phase 1 Preliminary Assessment Studies

In Phase 1, studies have involved a range of activities. Some activities have been completed by expert consultants, such as the assessment of the geological characteristics of the area, which is one of several studies focused on assessing the potential to find a safe site. Other activities were completed in partnership with the community; for instance, exploring the potential for the project to be implemented in a way that contributes to the long-term well-being of the community. Throughout, the NWMO has worked with community leaders to engage residents, and begin to reach out to surrounding communities, Aboriginal peoples and others in the area to involve them in the work. In Phase 2, these studies will be expanded through commencement of fieldwork and broadened engagement with communities progressing to Phase 2.

As discussed in the NWMO site selection process, the suitability of potential sites is assessed against a number of site evaluation factors, organized under six safety functions a site would need to satisfy to be considered suitable (NWMO, 2010). Phase 1 safety assessment studies initiated exploration of a subset of these factors using a desktop study approach. Phase 2 assessments will include field studies and borehole investigation, which will allow for a

broadening of the assessment to more comprehensively address the evaluation factors. The six safety evaluation factors are:

- **Safe containment and isolation of used nuclear fuel:** Are the characteristics of the rock at the site appropriate to ensuring long-term containment and isolation of used nuclear fuel from humans, the environment and surface disturbances caused by human activities and natural events?
- **Long-term resilience to future geological processes and climate change:** Is the rock formation at the siting area geologically stable and likely to remain stable over the very long term in a manner that will ensure the repository will not be substantially affected by geological and climate change process such as earthquakes and glacial cycles?
- **Safe construction, operation and closure of the repository:** Are conditions at the site suitable for the safe construction, operation and closure of the repository?
- **Isolation of used fuel from future human activities:** Is human intrusion at the site unlikely, for instance through future exploration or mining?
- **Amenable to site characterization and data interpretation activities:** Can the geologic conditions at the site be practically studied and described on dimensions that are important for demonstrating long-term safety?
- **Safe transportation:** Does the site have a route that exists or is amenable to being created that enables the safe and secure transportation of used fuel from storage sites to the repository site?

A number of factors beyond safety were identified for assessment of the potential for the project to foster the well-being of the interested community (NWMO, 2010). Phase 1 community well-being studies were focused on each community that expressed interest in learning about the project. For this reason, the studies addressed the subset of factors pertaining to the community. Phase 2 studies are designed to expand the assessment to consider factors related to the surrounding area, including surrounding communities and Aboriginal peoples. The factors beyond safety are:

- **Potential social, economic and cultural effects during the implementation phase of the project, including factors identified by Aboriginal Traditional Knowledge.**
- **Potential for enhancement of the community's and the region's long-term sustainability through implementation of the project, including factors identified by Aboriginal Traditional Knowledge.**
- **Potential to avoid ecologically sensitive areas and locally significant features, including factors identified by Aboriginal Traditional Knowledge.**
- **Potential for physical and social infrastructure to adapt to changes resulting from the project.**
- **Potential to avoid or minimize effects of the transportation of used nuclear fuel from existing storage facilities to the repository site.**

In order to ensure a broad, inclusive and holistic approach to assessment in these areas, a community well-being framework was identified to help understand and assess the potential effects of the APM Project. This framework was used to help explore the project, understand how the community and the surrounding area may be affected should the project be implemented in the area, and identify opportunities to leverage the project to achieve other objectives important to people in the area.

1.8 Next Steps

The objective of the site selection process, through several phases of progressively more detailed assessment, is to arrive at a single location for the deep geological repository and Centre of Expertise. It will take several more years of detailed technical, scientific and social study and assessments, and more engagement with interested communities, their neighbours and Aboriginal peoples before a preferred safe site for the project can be confirmed.

With 21 communities exploring potential interest and suitability for hosting the project, the siting process must provide a basis to progressively narrow the focus to communities with strong potential to meet requirements until a single preferred site and area is identified. These decisions will be supported by a sequence of assessments and engagement designed to enable the NWMO and communities to learn more about the potential suitability of each area and decide whether to proceed to the next stage.

The process of narrowing down the communities engaged in site selection commenced in Fall 2013 and will continue gradually over several years as more technical and social assessments are completed.

- By the end of 2013, the NWMO will implement an initial phase of narrowing down based on the results of Phase 1 Preliminary Assessment for an initial group of eight communities (English River First Nation, Pinehouse, Creighton, Ear Falls, Ignace, Schreiber, Hornepayne and Wawa). A number of these communities with strong overall potential to meet the site selection requirements are identified as warranting further study through Phase 2 assessments.
- In 2014, the NWMO expects to complete Phase 1 Preliminary Assessments as requested for all remaining communities in the site selection process. As these assessments are completed, another phase of narrowing down will be implemented, with communities showing strong potential to be suitable identified for further study in Phase 2.
- Beginning in 2014, Phase 2 Preliminary Assessment studies will take place over a multi-year period with a smaller number of communities with relatively strong potential to host APM. Over this period, field studies will commence, and engagement will be broadened. Building on earlier studies, Phase 2 will include preliminary geoscientific- and environment-focused field investigations, more detailed social and economic studies, awareness building and deepening learning and reflection by the interested community, and broadening of engagement to involve surrounding communities and Aboriginal peoples in learning and assessment of the suitability of the area.
- By the end of the second phase of study, one or possibly two communities with strong potential to meet requirements to host the facility will be the focus of Step 4, Detailed Site Characterization. This step will include extensive studies to assess and confirm safety, and may require an additional three to five years or more to complete. Findings will support identification of the preferred location that will be the focus of a regulatory approvals process led by the Canadian Nuclear Safety Commission (CNSC).

1.9 Moving Forward in Partnership

Each community engaging in Phase 1 Preliminary Assessments has helped initiate the process of relationship building that is needed to support the implementation of APM. The NWMO has learned a great deal from communities over the course of these initial studies about working together to envision the project and how best to implement the project with those potentially affected.

Through work with interested communities, and initial outreach to surrounding communities and Aboriginal peoples, the NWMO is learning about the nature and shape of partnerships that will be required to implement the APM Project together. Involving surrounding communities and Aboriginal peoples in learning and decision-making will be an important focus of activity of Phase 2 work with communities that proceed in the siting process. The implementation of Canada's plan will only proceed with the involvement of the interested community, surrounding communities and potentially affected Aboriginal peoples working in partnership to implement the project.

As Canada continues along the path of implementing APM, it will take our best knowledge and expertise, the continued leadership of communities, and all of us working together to ensure the safe long-term management of Canada's used nuclear fuel.

1.10 Organization of Report

Findings from the Phase 1 for the Township of Ear Falls are outlined in the chapters of this report. The chapters are based on a series of supporting technical documents, each of which are identified in the relevant chapter.

Report Overview

- **Chapter 2** – Brief introduction to the community.
- **Chapter 3** – Preliminary assessment of Engineering, which explores the potential to safely construct the facility at the potential site.
- **Chapter 4** – Geoscientific preliminary assessment, which explores the potential to find a suitable site within the community or surrounding area.
- **Chapter 5** – Preliminary Environment and Safety assessment, which explores the potential to manage any environmental effects and to ensure safety of people and the environment.
- **Chapter 6** – Preliminary assessment of Transportation, which explores the potential for safe and secure transportation to the potential site.
- **Chapter 7** – Preliminary Social, Economic and Cultural assessment, which explores the potential to foster the well-being of the community and surrounding area, and potential to create the foundation for community and area confidence and support needed to implement the project.
- **Chapter 8** – Taking into account the assessment in each of the major fields of investigation, this chapter concludes with reflections on potential suitability of the community and area and a discussion of the work which would be required if a decision were made to proceed to further studies.

2. INTRODUCTION TO THE TOWNSHIP OF EAR FALLS

Ear Falls is a community located on Highway 105 at the northwest end of Lac Seul, approximately 98 kilometres north of the Trans-Canada Highway and 70 kilometres south of Red Lake. It is located roughly 150 kilometres west of Dryden and 200 kilometres east of Kenora.

Ear Falls was incorporated in 1970, though settlement and activity in the area began in the early part of the last century and focused on forestry and mining. The population currently stands at 1,026, which is roughly half its peak of 2,200 just prior to the closure of the Griffith Mine in 1986. Many residents of Ear Falls now work in the gold mine located at Red Lake. Plans are currently underway to reopen the Ear Falls saw mill.

The Ear Falls townsite is surrounded by extensive wilderness predominately comprised of Crown land. Located on the Canadian Shield within the Boreal Forest Region, Ear Falls is in the “Sunset Country” area of Ontario.

Figure 2-1 shows Ear Falls in its regional context. There are a number of Aboriginal communities and organizations in the Ear Falls area, including Asubspeeschoseewagong Netum Anishinabek (Grassy Narrows First Nation), Eagle Lake First Nation, Lac Seul First Nation, Wabaseemoong Independent Nations (Whitedog First Nation) and Waubuskang First Nation. Métis Councils in the area include Kenora Métis Council, Northwest Métis Council, Sunset Country Métis Council, and Atikokan and Area Métis Council as represented by Lake of Woods/Lac Seul, Rainy Lake/Rainy River and Treaty 3 Traditional Territory Consultation Committee and the Métis Nation of Ontario.

A more in-depth discussion of Ear Falls and the surrounding area is contained in the Community Profile (SENES, 2013) and is woven throughout the chapters of this report, including the geoscientific characteristics of the Ear Falls area, the natural environment, transportation infrastructure, and the people and activities which contribute to the well-being of the community.



Figure 2-1: Ear Falls and Surrounding Lands

Safety: Potential to Find a Site Which Will Protect People and the Environment Now and in the Future

Any site that is selected to host the Adaptive Phased Management (APM) Project must be demonstrated to be able to safely contain and isolate used nuclear fuel for a very long period of time. The preferred site will need to address scientific and technical siting factors that acknowledge precaution and ensure protection for present and future generations.

A fundamental component of APM is the long-term containment and isolation of used nuclear fuel in a deep geological repository. The ability of the deep geological repository to safely contain and isolate used nuclear fuel relies on the form and properties of the waste, the human-made or engineered barriers placed around the waste, and the natural barriers provided by the host rock formation in which the repository will be located.

Transportation is an important consideration in the assessment of the safety of any site. In order for a site to be considered technically safe, a transportation route must be identified, or be capable of development, by which used nuclear fuel can safely and securely be transported to the site from the locations at which it is currently stored. Physical security aspects of the project and site, and potential to meet Canadian Nuclear Safety Commission (CNSC) requirements are also important and will be assessed at a later phase of study.

The potential to find a safe site is examined from four perspectives. In each, a strong potential must be demonstrated to meet or exceed the regulatory expectations of the CNSC, the guidance of the International Atomic Energy Agency and evolving international best practice. The four perspectives are:

Engineering – Is there the potential to safely construct the facility in the area?

Geoscientific suitability – Is there the potential to find a site in the area with suitable geoscientific characteristics?

Environment and safety – Is there the potential to manage any environmental effects and to ensure health and safety of people and the environment in the area?

Transportation – Is there the potential for safe and secure transportation from interim storage facilities to a site located in the area?

Preliminary Assessments at this phase of work focus on the potential to find broad siting areas in the vicinity of the interested community that entered the site selection process, and meet engineering, geoscientific, environment and safety, and transportation requirements at a high level. Should the community be selected to proceed to Phase 2, the next phase of work will involve identification of specific locations for more detailed studies. These safety-related studies, particularly those related to understanding geoscientific suitability and environmental effects, would be conducted collaboratively with the community, surrounding communities and Aboriginal peoples in the area as possible.

Throughout this work, the NWMO will look to Aboriginal peoples as practitioners of Traditional Knowledge to help, to the extent they wish, to guide the decisions involved in site selection and ensure that the factors and approaches used to assess the site appropriately interweave Traditional Knowledge.

3. PRELIMINARY ASSESSMENT OF ENGINEERING

3.1 Engineering Assessment Approach

The objective of the engineering preliminary assessment is to assess the potential to safely construct and operate the facility in the Ear Falls area. The chapter also identifies infrastructure that would be required to safely construct and operate the facility in Ear Falls. This chapter presents a brief description of the facilities to be constructed and the characteristics of used fuel as the material to be managed, identifies specific infrastructure requirements for the project in this community, and concludes with a community-specific estimate of cost. The findings of the preliminary assessment to determine the engineering feasibility to safely construct the Adaptive Phased Management (APM) facility in Ear Falls are presented at the end of this chapter.

3.2 Characteristics of the Material to Be Managed: Used Nuclear Fuel

For decades, Canadians have been using electricity generated by nuclear power reactors in Ontario, Quebec and New Brunswick. When used nuclear fuel is removed from a reactor, it is considered a waste product, is radioactive and requires careful management. Although its radioactivity decreases with time, chemical toxicity persists and the used fuel will remain a potential health risk for many hundreds of thousands of years. For this reason, used fuel requires careful management essentially indefinitely.

The nuclear fuel in Canadian (CANDU) reactors is natural uranium dioxide (UO_2) which is pressed into ceramic pellets and placed inside a fuel element or sheath made of a zirconium-tin alloy. The most common type of fuel bundle contains 37 fuel elements which are welded to end plates to form a bundle.

Each fuel bundle has a length of about 0.5 metre, a diameter of about 0.10 metre and a mass of about 24 kilograms. Other types of CANDU fuel bundles have similar dimensions and mass, but differ in the number or configuration of the fuel elements. The reference design for a deep geological repository assumes an out-of-reactor cooling period of 30 years which results in a thermal output of 3.5 watts per bundle.

A standard CANDU fuel bundle is illustrated in Figure 3-1.



Figure 3-1: CANDU Fuel Bundle

To date, Canada has produced just over two million used fuel bundles. If Canada's existing reactors operate to the end of their planned lives, including planned refurbishments, the inventory that will need to be managed in the APM facility could be 4 million bundles or more, depending on future operating experience. The NWMO reviews projected used fuel inventories annually and has conservatively assumed a reference used fuel inventory of 4.6 million used CANDU fuel bundles (Garamszeghy, 2012).

The repository will need to be large enough to contain and isolate the volume of used fuel from existing plants in Canada. The specific amount of used fuel to be placed in the repository will be agreed with the community using the best information available at the time, and an open and transparent consultation process involving surrounding communities and others who are interested and potentially affected. Regulatory review processes and approvals, which are required by law before the project can proceed, will be based on a specific fuel inventory and will involve an open and transparent consultation process.

3.3 Conceptual Description of the APM Facility

A conceptual "reference design" has been developed by the NWMO as a basis for planning and costing. Some aspects of the reference design may be refined through discussions with potential host communities and those in the surrounding area to ensure that it better addresses their values, needs and preferences while still maintaining its primary safety functions. Some aspects of the reference design will also be refined through technology development and demonstration programs conducted in Canada and internationally. Other aspects of the design can only be confirmed once a potential site has been identified and site-specific technical and scientific studies have been completed. Canada's plan, called Adaptive Phased Management, is designed to be implemented collaboratively with an informed and willing host community.

The reference design of the APM facility is a self-contained complex with a combination of surface and underground structures designed to provide multiple engineered and natural barriers to safely contain and isolate Canada's used nuclear fuel over the long term. The APM facility will require a dedicated surface area of about 600 metres by 550 metres for the main surface buildings and about 100 metres by 100 metres for the ventilation shaft area. In addition, the APM facility will need an off-site storage area of about 700 metres by 700 metres for the rock excavated from the underground repository; its location would be selected in consultation with the Township of Ear Falls and surrounding region.

An illustration of the conceptual APM facility is shown in Figure 3-2.




Figure 3-2: Illustration of an APM Facility

The underground footprint of the repository will depend on a number of factors, including the particular characteristics of the rock at the preferred site, the final design of the repository and the inventory of used fuel to be managed.

The layout of the underground repository has been developed for a projected reference inventory of 4.6 million used CANDU fuel bundles. It would require a subsurface area of about 2 kilometres by 3 kilometres at a depth of approximately 500 metres in suitable rock. The exact depth and layout will depend on the characteristics of the chosen site.

3.4 APM Surface Facilities

The used nuclear fuel will be transported from the licensed interim storage facilities at the reactor sites to the APM facility in transportation packages certified for road, rail and ship (CNSC, 2013). The packages will be received at the Used Fuel Packaging Plant where the used fuel bundles will be transferred into corrosion-resistant used fuel containers. The used fuel containers will be filled, sealed, inspected and dispatched for placement in the underground repository.

The APM surface facilities consist of a Nuclear Security Protected Area for all buildings and activities associated with the receiving, handling and storage of used nuclear fuel, and a Balance of Site for the remaining buildings and activities. The Nuclear Security Protected Area includes the Used Fuel Packaging Plant, the main shaft and service shaft buildings, auxiliary building, quality control offices, laboratory, active waste handling facilities, switch yard, transformer area and the powerhouse.

The Balance of Site includes the administration building, fire hall, security monitoring room, ventilation shaft building, cafeteria, garage, warehouse, water and sewage treatment plants, helicopter pad, fuel storage tanks, water storage tanks, air compressor building, an aggregate plant, concrete batch plant and sealing materials compaction plant. An off-site rock pile for the excavated rock from the underground repository would also be required.

The principal APM surface facilities are illustrated in Figure 3-3. The key structures in the APM surface facilities are described below.




Figure 3-3: APM Surface Facilities

3.4.1 Used Fuel Container

The used fuel container is one of the principal engineered barriers in the multi-barrier deep geological repository concept. The key features of the design of the used fuel container are corrosion resistance, mechanical strength, geometry, capacity and compatibility with surrounding sealing materials such as bentonite clay.

The reference design of the used fuel container employs an outer corrosion-resistant material and an inner supporting material. The container is designed for a load of 45 megapascals, which will withstand the combined mechanical and hydraulic pressures in a repository, including glacial events with up to 3 kilometres of ice combined with lithostatic loads at 500 metres depth, and the swelling pressure of the bentonite buffer seal surrounding the container. The NWMO is examining several used fuel container designs for the deep geological repository and will further study, test and refine these designs over time.

The deep geological repository will require thousands of used fuel containers over the operating period. The used fuel containers and supporting components will be manufactured and assembled at the Container Manufacturing Plant, which could potentially be located in the community or surrounding region. For each year of operation, hundreds of used fuel containers will need to be manufactured and shipped to the repository site.

An example of a design for a used fuel container in crystalline rock is illustrated in Figure 3-4. It employs an outer corrosion-resistant shell and an inner vessel for strength. This reference container holds 360 used fuel bundles distributed in six layers of 60 bundles per layer in three steel baskets (with two bundle layers per basket). Other configurations with differing number of bundles are also possible. The final design will affect the number of containers required.

For a reference used fuel inventory of 4.6 million bundles, a total of 12,800 of these used fuel containers would be placed in the repository. At a placement rate of 333 containers per year (i.e., one to two containers per working day), the used fuel containers would be placed underground over a 38-year operating period.




Figure 3-4: Used Fuel Container for a Deep Geological Repository

3.4.2 Used Fuel Packaging Plant

The Used Fuel Packaging Plant is an important facility for transferring Canada's used nuclear fuel from interim storage to a deep geological repository. The Used Fuel Packaging Plant encompasses all necessary areas and equipment for receiving used fuel transported from the interim storage sites to the repository, receiving empty containers, loading used fuel into the containers, and sealing, inspecting and dispatching filled containers for underground transfer and placement in the deep repository. There are also provisions for cutting open and emptying

any used fuel containers that do not fulfill specified requirements following non-destructive testing and examination.

To ensure reliable delivery of used fuel containers to the deep geological repository, the plant includes storage areas for used fuel, empty containers and filled containers. Used nuclear fuel will be packaged and placed in the repository as it is received; thus it is expected that there will be only minimal storage of used fuel in the Used Fuel Packaging Plant for a short duration of time.

A conceptual layout of the Used Fuel Packaging Plant is illustrated in Figure 3-5.




Figure 3-5: Conceptual Layout of a Used Fuel Packaging Plant

3.4.3 Sealing Materials Production Plants

The sealing materials production plants provide the clay-based and cement-based engineered barriers in the repository to backfill and seal the excavation openings, and to inhibit groundwater movement and microbial activity, thus inhibiting radionuclide transport in the region surrounding the used fuel containers.

As per the reference design, the sealing materials to be prepared at the production plants could include such materials as:

- Highly compacted buffer: bentonite clay disks and rings
- Dense backfill composed of: bentonite clay, lake clay, and aggregate
- Light backfill composed of: bentonite clay and sand
- Gapfill composed of: bentonite clay pellets
- Shaft seal composed of: bentonite clay and sand
- Low-heat high-performance concrete

The aggregate plant will consume a portion of the excavated rock from the repository to manufacture the crushed rock and sand for the backfill and concrete. These products would be stockpiled and stored on-site for use in the compaction plant for preparation of buffer disks, rings and dense backfill blocks using a large press (see Figure 3-6).



Figure 3-6: Example of a Large Press for the Sealing Materials Compaction Plant

3.4.4 Shafts and Hoists

The conceptual reference design for the APM Project includes three shafts to facilitate the transfer of rock, material, equipment and people between the surface facilities and the underground repository. The three shafts are:

- Main Shaft: with a finished inside diameter of 7 metres and will convey the used fuel containers within a shielded transfer cask. The Main Shaft has a friction hoist with a design payload of 63.5 tonnes.
- Service Shaft: with a finished inside diameter of 6.5 metres and will convey personnel, equipment, waste rock and sealing materials such as bentonite clay. The Service Shaft has a drum hoist with a design payload of 10 tonnes and can carry up to 50 people.
- Ventilation Shaft: with a finished inside diameter of 6.5 metres, handles the majority of the repository exhaust to the surface and is able to support mine rescue or evacuation efforts, if required during operations. The Ventilation Shaft has a drum hoist with a design payload of 1.6 tonnes.

The headframes for the three shafts will be of slip-formed concrete construction for a durable and easily maintainable structure, one that will provide a high level of protection against weather-related disturbances. All shafts will be concrete lined to minimize inflow of water and to provide a durable, easy-to-maintain surface. The shaft infrastructure concrete lining will be removed during decommissioning of the underground repository.

During closure, the shafts will be sealed, and all headframes and peripheral equipment will be removed.

3.5 Underground Facilities

The deep geological repository is a network of underground tunnels, access drifts, placement rooms for used fuel containers, supporting infrastructure, and provision for an underground facility for site-specific demonstration of repository technology.

The repository is expected to be constructed at a single elevation at a depth of about 500 metres below ground surface. The exact depth will be determined as part of the detailed site characterization and final design. Excavation of rock is primarily done with controlled drill and blast or with the use of rock boring technology.

An example design and layout of a repository based on the in-floor placement of used fuel containers in boreholes drilled along the room centre line is illustrated in Figure 3-7. This approach for container placement is consistent with reference repository designs developed by the national radioactive waste management organizations in Sweden (SKB) and in Finland (Posiva). Each placement room is designed to be 5.5 metres high with a length of 396 metres and a centre-to-centre room spacing of 40 metres. Within a placement room, the in-floor boreholes are about 2 metres in diameter and have a centre-to-centre spacing of 4.2 metres.

Each borehole in the floor along the placement room centre line has a used fuel container surrounded by highly compacted bentonite buffer disks, rings and gapfill pellets. The placement room above the boreholes is filled with backfill materials such as a bentonite/sand mixture and other sealing materials. Each group of placement rooms, or a “placement panel,” would require about three to four years to develop, and would be constructed in parallel with container placement operations in a previously completed panel in another area of the repository.




Figure 3-7: In-Floor Borehole Placement of Used Fuel Containers

The placement room spacing and used fuel container spacing are conservatively designed to ensure the repository meets thermal-mechanical design requirements (e.g., at least 25 centimetres of buffer with temperatures below 100°C).

The repository layout is expected to have a rectangular configuration with two central access drifts and two perimeter access drifts connected by perpendicular tunnels (crosscuts) that provide access to the used fuel container placement rooms. The placement rooms are grouped in panels, as illustrated in Figure 3-8. The exact arrangement of the panels will depend on the site (e.g., to avoid any potential fractures in the rock mass). The entrance to the rooms has a 50-metre turning radius to facilitate the movement of the container transfer cask and related systems.

After used fuel container placement, the room will be filled with dense backfill blocks. Light backfill will be placed in the interstitial spaces and compacted in situ to fill the residual volume between the backfill blocks and the excavated rock. A 6-metre-thick bentonite seal and a 10- to 12-metre-thick concrete bulkhead will be used to seal the entrance to the placement rooms. Monitoring equipment will be installed to confirm the performance of the repository system.

The repository design includes provision for an underground demonstration facility (UDF) located near the main shaft and service shaft area. The purpose of the underground demonstration facility is to support site-specific demonstration of repository technology such as placement and retrieval of used fuel containers, and long-term tests such as corrosion and monitoring tests.

An example underground layout for a deep geological repository would require an underground footprint of about 2 kilometres by 3 kilometres, as illustrated in Figure 3-8.




Figure 3-8: Example Underground Layout for a Deep Geological Repository

3.6 Centre of Expertise

A Centre of Expertise will be established in communities selected for detailed evaluation in the later stages of the site selection process. The centre will be located in or near the community, as determined in collaboration with the community. Its purpose will be to support the multi-year testing and assessment of the site on technical safety and community well-being related dimensions, which are key components of the site selection process. It will be the home for an active technical and social research and technology demonstration program during this period, involving scientists and other experts in a wide variety of disciplines, including geoscience, engineering, and environmental, socio-economic and cultural impact assessment.

The design details of the Centre of Expertise will be developed with the community and the surrounding region, with their preferences in mind. The centre could be designed as a focus for engaging members of the community to learn more about the project, and to view the scientific and engineering work-in-progress involved in site assessment, through public viewing galleries and interactive displays. The centre will attract many visitors per year, including scientists, experts and community members from around the world. The centre could highlight and demonstrate the science and technology being used to determine the suitability of the site, and could be used as a meeting and learning centre for the community to welcome visitors.

Should the site ultimately be selected to host the deep geological repository, the Centre of Expertise would be expanded to support site verification, construction and operation activities, and become a hub for knowledge sharing across Canada and internationally.

3.7 Engineering Feasibility in the Ear Falls Area

The Township of Ear Falls and the surrounding region is located on the Canadian Shield in an area that is characterized by a gently undulating land surface which is amenable for the construction of an APM facility. The Ear Falls area contains existing infrastructure that could be used for the APM Project, including highways and high-voltage transmission lines. In addition, existing rail mainlines located approximately 100 kilometres south of Ear Falls could facilitate the transport of goods and materials to the site.

In order to implement the APM Project at a particular site in the Ear Falls area, it is anticipated that the following infrastructure would be needed:

- Main APM surface facilities including:
 - Used Fuel Packaging Plant
 - Main Shaft, Service Shaft and Ventilation Shaft Complexes
 - Sealing Materials Compaction Plant
 - Administration Building, Fire Hall and Cafeteria
 - Quality Control Offices and Laboratory
 - Water Treatment Plant
 - Storage Areas and Commons Services
- A few tens of kilometres of highway to provide access to the APM facility;
- A few tens of kilometres of high-voltage transmission line to supply up to 32 megawatts of electricity;
- A few kilometres of water pipe to supply 200 cubic metres of water per day;
- A Centre of Expertise;

- Provision for accommodations for temporary workers for the limited period of construction; and
- An excavation rock storage area within a few tens of kilometres of the APM facility.

As well, there are opportunities for a number of components associated with the APM repository to potentially be developed locally to improve the well-being of the community or surrounding region. These include a Container Development Laboratory and a Container Manufacturing Plant, as well as infrastructure associated with the transportation of used fuel from the interim storage locations to the site of the APM facility.

The development of this infrastructure has been assumed in the APM repository design and cost estimate prepared for financial planning purposes.

3.8 Engineering Costs for Ear Falls

The APM facility is a large national infrastructure project funded by the waste owners. A cost estimate for a deep geological repository and a used fuel transportation system has been developed for a particular inventory of 4.6 million used fuel bundles. As noted in Section 3.2, the NWMO reviews projected used fuel inventories annually and has conservatively assumed a reference used fuel inventory of 4.6 million used CANDU fuel bundles.

The estimated cost for the APM facility in Ear Falls – that is the deep geological repository and surface handling facilities, as well as the Centre of Expertise – is \$20.1 billion (2010 \$). (The transportation costs from the interim storage facilities at the reactor sites to the central APM facility in Ear Falls have been calculated separately and are discussed in Chapter 6.) This cost estimate includes site selection and approval, construction, operation, extended monitoring, decommissioning and closure.

A summary of the project cost estimate by implementation phase is given in Table 3-1. The first year of project implementation, year Y01, is 2010. The cost estimate includes labour, materials and equipment, fuel, utilities, taxes, fees, accommodation, communication and other expenses.

Table 3-1: Estimated Expenditures by Implementation Phase

Project Phase	Year	Cost 2010 \$ (\$ billion)
Site Selection and Approvals	Y01 – Y15	\$1.5
Construction	Y16 – Y25	\$3.6
Operation	Y26 – Y63	\$12.0
Extended Monitoring	Y64 – Y133	\$1.8
Decommissioning and Closure	Y134 – Y163	\$1.2
Total:		\$20.1

The annual cash flow (2010 \$) for the deep geological repository is illustrated in Figure 3-9.




Figure 3-9: APM Cost Estimate for a Deep Geological Repository in Ear Falls

3.9 Engineering Findings

The engineering assessment of the Ear Falls area found that the APM facility has the potential to be safely constructed and operated. The surface land is characterized as gently undulating, and sufficient space exists outside protected areas and major bodies of water to successfully locate the surface facilities. Additional information on the physical geography of the area is presented in Section 4.3.1. There are few surface topography features that would limit the construction and operation of the surface and underground facilities required by the APM Project. Further, Ear Falls is located close to key infrastructure for the APM facility, including highways and high-voltage transmission lines. As well, existing rail mainlines located approximately 100 kilometres south of Ear Falls could facilitate the transport of goods and materials to the community (see transportation discussion in Chapter 6).

There are opportunities for new businesses and additional infrastructure associated with the APM repository to potentially be located in the community to enhance economic development and community well-being. This infrastructure could include the Container Development Laboratory and the Container Manufacturing Plant. The development of these facilities would be determined collaboratively with the community.

As more information on the geology and characteristics of potential candidate sites becomes available in later phases of the APM Project and further input is obtained from the community and surrounding region, the APM facility design, layout and engineering feasibility will be further refined.

4. PRELIMINARY ASSESSMENT OF GEOSCIENTIFIC SUITABILITY

4.1 Geoscientific Preliminary Assessment Approach

The objective of the Phase 1 desktop geoscientific preliminary assessment is to assess whether the Ear Falls area contains general areas that have the potential to satisfy the geoscientific evaluation factors outlined in the site selection process document (NWMO, 2010). This chapter presents a summary of a detailed desktop geoscientific preliminary assessment conducted by Golder Associates Ltd. (Golder, 2013). The assessment focused on the Township of Ear Falls and its periphery, which are referred to as the “Ear Falls area” (Figure 4-1). The boundaries of the Ear Falls area shown on Figure 4-1 have been defined to encompass the main geological features within the Township and its surroundings.

The desktop geoscientific preliminary assessment built on the work previously conducted for the initial screening (Golder, 2011) and included the following activities:

- Detailed review of available geoscientific information such as geology, structural geology, natural resources, hydrogeology, and overburden deposits;
- Interpretation of available geophysical surveys (magnetic, gravity, radiometric, electromagnetic);
- Lineament studies using available satellite imagery, topography and geophysical surveys to provide information on characteristics such as location, orientation and length of interpreted structural bedrock features;
- Terrain analysis studies to help assess factors such as overburden type and distribution, bedrock exposures, accessibility constraints, watershed and subwatershed boundaries, and groundwater discharge and recharge zones; and
- The identification and evaluation of general potentially suitable areas based on key geoscientific characteristics and the systematic application of the NWMO’s geoscientific site evaluation factors.

The details of these various studies are documented in a main Geoscientific Suitability Report (Golder, 2013) and three supporting documents: Terrain Analysis (JDMA, 2013); Geophysical Interpretation (Mira, 2013); and Lineament Interpretation (SRK, 2013).

4.2 Geoscientific Site Evaluation Factors

As discussed in the NWMO site selection process, the suitability of potential sites will be evaluated in a staged manner through a series of progressively more detailed scientific and technical assessments using a number of geoscientific site evaluation factors, organized under five safety functions that a site would need to ultimately satisfy in order to be considered suitable (NWMO, 2010).

- **Safe containment and isolation of used nuclear fuel:** Are the characteristics of the rock at the site appropriate to ensuring the long-term containment and isolation of used nuclear fuel from humans, the environment and surface disturbances caused by human activities and natural events?

- **Long-term resilience to future geological processes and climate change:** Is the rock formation at the siting area geologically stable and likely to remain stable over the very long term in a manner that will ensure the repository will not be substantially affected by geological and climate change process such as earthquakes and glacial cycles?
- **Safe construction, operation and closure of the repository:** Are conditions at the site suitable for the safe construction, operation and closure of the repository?
- **Isolation of used fuel from future human activities:** Is human intrusion at the site unlikely, for instance through future exploration or mining?
- **Amenable to site characterization and data interpretation activities:** Can the geologic conditions at the site be practically studied and described on dimensions that are important for demonstrating long-term safety?

The assessment was conducted in two steps. The first step assessed the potential to find general potentially suitable areas within the Ear Falls area using key geoscientific characteristics that can realistically be assessed at this stage of the assessment (Section 4.4.1). The second step assessed whether identified potentially suitable areas have the potential to ultimately meet all the safety functions outlined above (Section 4.4.2).

The remainder of this chapter provides an overview of the geoscientific characteristics of the Ear Falls area (Section 4.3), followed by a summary of the geoscientific assessment of suitability (Section 4.4).

4.3 Geoscientific Characteristics of the Ear Falls Area

The following sections provide a summary of available geoscientific information for the Ear Falls area as they relate to physical geography, bedrock geology, quaternary (surficial) geology, seismicity, structural geology, hydrogeology and natural resources.

4.3.1 Physical Geography

A detailed discussion of the physical geography of the Ear Falls area is provided in the terrain analysis report (JDMA, 2013). The Ear Falls area exhibits topographic and drainage features that are typical of the Severn Uplands physiographic region of Ontario (Thurston, 1991), a broadly rolling surface of Canadian Shield bedrock that occupies most of northwestern Ontario.

Topography in the Ear Falls area is generally relatively flat, although considerable relief is observed between lakes in most areas. The Ear Falls area shows approximately 136 metres of relief variation, with land surface elevation ranging from a minimum of about 316 metres to a maximum of about 452 metres above sea level. Topographic highs generally correspond to bedrock outcrops, while topographic lows are generally areas of lakes or thicker overburden. An exception to this generalization is found in the long and linear topographic ridge associated with the prominent Lac Seul moraine that runs north-south through the centre of the Ear Falls area, west of Lac Seul and Wenasaga Lake (Figure 4-2).

About 18 per cent of the Ear Falls area is occupied by water bodies of various sizes. Fourteen lakes within the area are larger than 10 square kilometres, six of which are larger than 20 square kilometres.

4.3.2 Bedrock Geology

Information on the bedrock geology of the Ear Falls area was obtained from publicly available reports and geologic maps, as well as from the geophysical interpretation conducted as part of this preliminary assessment (Mira, 2013). The main desktop preliminary geoscientific assessment report (Golder, 2013) provides a detailed description of the regional and local geology of the Ear Falls area. The entire Ear Falls area is covered by regional-scale (i.e., 1:250,000) geologic mapping, with more detailed mapping available only along the northern and northeastern margins of the area (Golder, 2013). Low-resolution aeromagnetic data (805 metres line spacing) is available for the totality of the Ear Falls area, with higher-resolution data available only over small sections of the north-central portion of the area covering part of the metasedimentary rocks (Mira, 2013).

As shown on Figure 4-3, the bedrock geology in the Ear Falls area is dominated by metasedimentary rocks of the English River Subprovince, which are intruded by a number of large granitic bodies: the Bluffy Lake, Wenasaga Lake and Wapesi Lake batholiths; and the Bruce Lake pluton. Smaller intrusions are also mapped within the metasedimentary rocks. In the northern portion of the Ear Falls area, the bedrock comprises mostly rocks of the Birch-Uchi greenstone belt and granitic rocks of the Long Legged Lake dome. The Sydney Lake and Long Legged Lake fault zones separate these rocks from the metasedimentary rocks to the south. Granitic rocks of the Winnipeg River Subprovince are found along the southwestern margin of the Ear Falls area (Figure 4-3).

The initial screening (Golder, 2011) identified the metasedimentary rocks, and the Bluffy Lake batholith, Wenasaga Lake batholith, and the Bruce Lake pluton as potentially suitable for hosting a deep geological repository. Rocks of the Birch-Uchi greenstone belt in the northern portion of the Ear Falls area were deemed not suitable due to their lithological heterogeneity, structural complexity and potential for mineral resources.

The metasedimentary rocks in the Ear Falls area formed as a result of variable degrees of migmatization (i.e., partial melting) of precursor sedimentary rocks due to high-grade metamorphism. This resulted in the formation of metasedimentary rocks that contain distinct components of granitic composition in variable amounts. The age of deposition of the original sedimentary rocks is estimated to be about 2.704 to 2.696 billion years. The thickness of the metasedimentary rocks in the Ear Falls area is estimated to be from less than 1 kilometre locally to up to 4 kilometres (Niturescu et al., 2006). The interpretation of geophysical data (Mira, 2013) identified a number of magnetic anomalies that coincide with small mapped granitic rocks within the metasedimentary rocks. These anomalies may reflect magnetite enrichment in the metasedimentary rocks due to migmatization (i.e., partial melting).

The Bluffy Lake batholith is a multi-phased intrusion that has a surface extension of approximately 324 square kilometres within the Ear Falls area (Figure 4-3), and is estimated to be approximately 2.698 billion years old (Corfu et al., 1995). Available geological mapping indicates that the composition of this intrusion ranges mostly from tonalite to quartz diorite (Breaks, 1991). Based on a regional gravity survey, Gupta and Wadge (1980 and 1986) modelled a thickness of less than 1.5 to 3 kilometres for the Bluffy Lake batholith. The low resolution of available geophysical data did not allow for the identification of distinct intrusive phases within the Bluffy Lake batholith. However, the data identified a continuance of the aeromagnetic signature of the intrusion into the metasedimentary rocks to the southwest, suggesting that the Bluffy Lake batholith may underlie the metasedimentary rocks in this area (Mira 2013).

The Wenasaga Lake batholith is approximately 7 kilometres wide by 26 kilometres long (Figure 4-3), and is estimated to be approximately 2.698 to 2.691 billion years old (Breaks, 1991; Corfu et al., 1995). The batholith is interpreted to have formed partly by the partial melting of the surrounding metasedimentary rocks and is composed of granite (Breaks, 1991; Breaks and Bond, 1993). The aeromagnetic signature over most of the intrusion is quite subdued, likely reflecting lithological homogeneity (Mira, 2013). No information on the thickness of this intrusion was found in the available literature.

The Bruce Lake pluton has an approximate surface area of 200 square kilometres (Figure 4-3). It is estimated to have formed between approximately 2.69 and 2.67 billion years ago (Breaks, 1991). Available geological mapping indicates that it is mostly composed of diorite, with localized enclaves of metavolcanic and metasedimentary rocks (Breaks and Bond, 1993; Sanborn-Barrie et al., 2004). Interpretation of aeromagnetic data over the intrusion did not reveal the presence of lithologically distinct phases (Mira, 2013). No information was found in the available literature on the thickness of the Bruce Lake pluton.

The Wapesi Lake batholith has a total surface area of approximately 635 square kilometres, but only a small portion of it (approximately 50 square kilometres) lies within the Ear Falls area (Figure 4-3). Breaks (1991) estimated the age of this intrusion to be between approximately 2.692 and 2.668 billion years old. The Wapesi Lake batholith is mapped as monzonite, and is also interpreted to be the result of partial melting of the surrounding metasedimentary rocks (Breaks and Bond, 1993). The aeromagnetic response over this batholith is generally low with little or no contrast with the surrounding rocks (Mira, 2013).

A number of smaller granitic intrusions are also mapped within the metasedimentary rocks in the Ear Falls area, including the Pakwash Lake pluton and the McKenzie Bay stock (Figure 4-3). The Pakwash Lake pluton is approximately 10 square kilometres at surface, and has similar age, structural and mineralogical characteristics as the Bruce Lake pluton (Shklanka, 1970). The McKenzie Bay stock, immediately to the north of the Wapesi Lake batholith, extends over 25 square kilometres at surface and is mapped as diorite (van de Kamp and Beakhouse, 1979). Geophysical data suggest that it may extend beneath the surrounding metasedimentary rocks for a considerable distance to the east and west of its mapped extent (Mira, 2013).

Granitic intrusions of the Winnipeg River Subprovince in the southwestern portion of the Ear Falls area (Figure 4-3) are part of the Lount Lake batholith. They are mapped as massive granitic rocks that formed between approximately 2.695 to 2.685 billion years ago (Melynk et al., 2006).

Only a small number of dykes have been mapped within the Ear Falls area (Golder, 2013), which lies outside the highly concentrated regional dyke swarms that are prevalent along and northeast of the northeastern shoreline of Lake Superior.

4.3.3 Quaternary Geology

The terrain analysis report (JDMA, 2013) provides a detailed description of the Quaternary geology in the Ear Falls area. As shown on Figure 4-4, the Quaternary cover in the Ear Falls area comprises different types of glacial deposits that accumulated with the progressive retreat of the Laurentide Ice Sheet during the end of the Wisconsinan Glaciation (JDMA, 2013; Golder, 2013).

Quaternary deposits are most extensive in the northwestern quadrant of the Ear Falls area, with significant overburden cover also present in the southeastern portion of the area (Figure 4-4). Exposed bedrock is mapped for approximately 25 per cent of the Ear Falls area (JDMA, 2013). The Wenasaga Lake batholith, and the Bruce Lake and Pakwash Lake plutons are mostly covered by overburden deposits, with usually less than 5 per cent of bedrock exposure. The granitic Long Legged dome in the northwestern portion of the Ear Falls area is also mostly covered by quaternary deposits. Similarly, more than 80 per cent of the Wapesi Lake batholith in the Ear Falls area is covered by quaternary deposits.

Overburden cover over the metasedimentary rocks in the Ear Falls area is variable, with approximately 27 per cent of bedrock exposure. Bedrock is mainly exposed in the northeastern portion of the Ear Falls area, with more than 75 per cent of the Bluffy Lake batholith being exposed, and in the Winnipeg River plutons in the southwestern portion of the area.

Information on overburden thickness was obtained from a limited number of water wells located mostly along major roads and diamond drill holes in the former Griffith Iron Mine and in the Birch-Uchi greenstone belt (Figure 4-4). Recorded depths to bedrock in the Ear Falls area range from 0 to 41 metres, with an average depth of 15 metres. The thickest overburden deposits are inferred to occur along the axis of the Lac Seul moraine (Figure 4-4). These observations provide an indication of the typical values and variability in overburden thicknesses that can be expected in the Ear Falls area (JDMA, 2013).

4.3.4 Structural Geology

4.3.4.1 Mapped Faults

The Ear Falls area is crosscut by two regional-scale fault zones, separating the metavolcanic and plutonic rocks of the Uchi Subprovince to the north from the metasedimentary and intrusive rocks of the English River Subprovince to the south (Figure 4-3). These include the east-west-trending Sydney Lake and Long Legged Lake fault zones.

The Sydney Lake fault zone is an approximately 250-kilometre-long shear zone, which is 0.5 to 2 kilometres wide (Stone, 1981; Bethune et al., 2006). It runs along the southern and western margins of the Wenasaga Lake and Bluffy Lake batholiths, respectively (Figure 4-3). The Long Legged Lake fault zone is interpreted to be related to the Sydney Lake fault zone. It splits into two segments, running along the southern margin of the Pakwash Lake pluton and the northeast margin of the Bruce Lake pluton (Figure 4-3). Interpretation of geophysical data in the Ear Falls area did not clearly identify the trace of these shear zones, nor recognize a zone of deformation associated to them (Mira, 2013).

Few smaller-scale, north- to northeast-trending faults are mapped in the Birch-Uchi greenstone belt in the northern margin of the Ear Falls area. No other faults were mapped in the remainder of the Ear Falls area (Figure 4-3).

4.3.4.2 Lineament Interpretation

A detailed lineament study was conducted for the Ear Falls area using multiple datasets (SRK, 2013). Lineaments are linear features that can be observed on remote sensing and geophysical data and that may represent geological structures (e.g., fractures). However, at this stage of the assessment, it is uncertain if interpreted lineaments are a reflection of real geological structures and whether such structures extend to depth. The assessment of these uncertainties would require detailed geological mapping and borehole drilling.

Surficial lineaments were interpreted using remote sensing data consisting of satellite imagery (SPOT) and digital elevation model data (CDED). Surficial lineaments are interpreted as linear traces along topographic valleys, escarpments, and drainage patterns such as river streams and linear lakes. These linear traces may represent the expression of fractures on the ground surface which may not extend to significant depth. Figure 4-5 shows surficial lineaments interpreted in the Ear Falls area. The observed density and distribution of surficial lineaments is influenced by overburden cover, which masks surface expressions of potential fractures. This is particularly evident in portions of the metasedimentary rocks which are covered by thick overburden (Figure 4-5).

Geophysical lineaments were interpreted from available aeromagnetic data. They are less affected by the presence of overburden and reflect potential structures that may or may not have surficial expressions. However, the density and distribution of geophysical lineaments is influenced by the resolution of the available aeromagnetic coverage. Geophysical lineaments interpreted in the Ear Falls area are shown on Figure 4-6. The figure shows that the density of interpreted geophysical lineaments is higher in the areas where high-resolution geophysical data are available. A similar density of lineaments is expected for the remainder of the Ear Falls area where only low-resolution geophysical data is available. The generally low density of geophysical lineaments over the metasedimentary rocks could also be related to the low regional magnetic susceptibility that characterizes the metasedimentary rocks (Breaks, 1991). No dykes were interpreted in the Ear Falls area.

Figures 4-5 and 4-6 also show the classification of surficial and geophysical lineaments by length (longer than 1, 5 and 10 kilometres). The figures show that the spacing between lineaments increases as shorter lineaments are filtered out. Longer lineaments are more likely to extend to greater depth than shorter lineaments.

In summary, the lineament interpretation indicated a variable density of lineaments across the Ear Falls area. At this stage of the assessment, it is uncertain whether interpreted lineaments represent true bedrock structural features (e.g., individual fractures or fracture zones) and whether these features extend to typical repository depths. This would need to be investigated during subsequent site evaluation stages through detailed geological mapping and borehole drilling.

4.3.5 Erosion

There is no site-specific information on erosion rates for the Ear Falls area. Past studies reported by Hallet (2011) provide general information on erosion rates for the Canadian Shield. The average erosion rate from wind and water on the Canadian Shield is reported to be a few metres per 100,000 years. Higher erosion rates are associated with glaciations. The depth of glacial erosion depends on several regionally specific factors, such as the ice sheet geometry, topography, and history, as well as local geological conditions, such as overburden thickness, rock type and pre-existing weathering. Various studies aimed at assessing the impact of

glaciations on erosion over crystalline rocks reported average erosion rates varying from 10 or 20 metres, to up to 120 metres over 3 million years (Flint, 1947; White, 1972; Laine, 1980 and 1982; Bell and Laine, 1985; and Hay et al., 1989).

4.3.6 Seismicity and Neotectonics

4.3.6.1 Seismicity

The Ear Falls area lies within the Canadian Shield, where large parts have remained tectonically stable for the last 2.5 billion years (Percival and Easton, 2007). Figure 4-7 shows the locations and magnitudes of seismic events recorded in the National Earthquake Database (NEDB) for the period between 1985 and 2011 in the Ear Falls area (NRCan, 2012). Over this time period, all recorded seismic events in the area had magnitudes ranging from less than 1 to 3 (Nuttli Magnitude, m_N).

Ma et al. (2008) have recently pointed out the existence of small swarms of microseismic activity in an area extending west and north-northwest of Lake Nipigon. The closest of such occurrences was the Dryden swarm, which occurred in 2002–2003 just north of the Town of Dryden, south of the Ear Falls area, with a total of 22 events recorded, the largest having a magnitude m_N of 3.2. These events may be related to postglacial rebound.

4.3.6.2 Neotectonic Activity

Neotectonics refers to deformations, stresses and displacements in the Earth's crust of recent age or which are still occurring. These processes are related to tectonic forces acting in the North American plate, as well as those associated with the numerous glacial cycles that have affected the northern portion of the plate during the last million years, including all of the Canadian Shield (Shackleton et al., 1990; Peltier, 2002).

The geology of the Ear Falls area is typical of many areas of the Canadian Shield, which have been subjected to numerous glacial cycles during the last million years. Postglacial isostatic rebound is still occurring across most of Ontario. Present-day uplift rates are about 10 millimetres per year near Hudson Bay, where the ice was thickest at the last glacial maximum (Sella et al., 2007). The uplift rates generally decrease with distance from Hudson Bay and change to subsidence (1–2 millimetres per year) south of the Great Lakes. Present-day rebound rates in the Ear Falls area are expected to be well below 10 millimetres per year, likely between 2 and 4 millimetres per year.

No neotectonic structural features are known to occur within the Ear Falls area. McMurry et al. (2003) summarized several studies conducted in a number of granitic intrusions in the Canadian Shield and in the crystalline basement in western Ontario. These studies found that fractures below a depth of several hundred metres in plutonic rocks are ancient features. Subsequent stresses, such as those caused by plate movement or by continental glaciations, generally have been relieved by reactivation along the existing zones of weakness rather than by the formation of large new fracture zones.

4.3.7 Hydrogeology

Information on groundwater in the Ear Falls area was obtained from the Ontario Ministry of the Environment (MOE) Water Well Record (WWR) database (MOE, 2012). Water wells in the Ear Falls area obtain water from overburden or shallow bedrock, and are mostly located along Highway 105 and Separation Lake Road (Figure 4-4). The MOE water well database contains 56 water well records in the Ear Falls area, which provided useful information regarding depth to bedrock, yield and other parameters noted in Table 4-1.

Table 4-1: Water Well Record Summary for the Ear Falls Area

Water Well Type	Number of Wells	Total Well Depth (Metres)	Median Well Depth (Metres)	Static Water Level (Metres Below Ground Surface)	Tested Well Yield (Litres/Minute)	Depth to Top of Bedrock (Metres)
Overburden	27	2.5 to 41	17.3	0 to 15	4.5 to 450	N/A
Bedrock	29	11 to 134	39.6	0 to 24	4.5 to 136	0 to 41
Note: N/A = Not applicable						

4.3.7.1 Overburden Aquifers

There are 27 water well records in the Ear Falls area that can be confidently assigned to the overburden aquifer (Table 4-1). These wells range in depth from 2.5 to 41 metres and have pumping rates of 4.5 to 450 litres per minute. These well yields reflect the purpose of the wells (i.e., the majority being private residential supply) and do not necessarily reflect the maximum sustained yield that might be available from the overburden aquifer.

The limited number of well records, and their clustered locations, limits the interpretation of available information regarding the extent and characteristics of the overburden aquifers in the Ear Falls area.

4.3.7.2 Bedrock Aquifers

No information was found on deep bedrock groundwater conditions in the Ear Falls area at a typical repository depth of approximately 500 metres. There are 29 well records that can be confidently assigned to the shallow bedrock aquifer, ranging from 11 to 134 metres in depth, with most wells between 30 to 40 metres deep (Table 4-1). The Ontario Ministry of the Environment (MOE) Water Well Records (MOE, 2012) indicate that no potable water supply wells are known to exploit aquifers at typical repository depths in the Ear Falls area or anywhere else in northern Ontario.

4.3.7.3 Regional Groundwater Flow

There is little known about the hydrogeologic properties of the deep bedrock in the Ear Falls area, as no deep boreholes have been drilled for this purpose. Experience from other areas in the Canadian Shield has shown that active groundwater flow in bedrock is generally confined to shallow fractured localized systems, and is dependent on the secondary permeability associated with the fracture network (Singer and Cheng, 2002). For example, in Manitoba's Lac du Bonnet batholith, groundwater movement is largely controlled by a fractured zone down to

about 200 metres depth (Everitt et al., 1996). The low topographic relief of the Canadian Shield tends to result in low hydraulic gradients for groundwater movement in the shallow active region (McMurry et al., 2003).

At greater depths, hydraulic conductivity tends to decrease as fractures become less common and less interconnected (Stevenson et al., 1996; McMurry et al., 2003). Increased vertical and horizontal stresses at depth tend to close or prevent fractures, thereby reducing permeability and resulting in diffusion-dominated groundwater movement (Stevenson et al., 1996; McMurry et al., 2003). However, fracture networks associated with deep faults and shear zones will influence advective groundwater flow around bodies of rock characterized by diffusion-controlled conditions.

The exact nature of deep groundwater flow systems in the Ear Falls area would need to be evaluated at later stages of the assessment, through the collection of site-specific information.

4.3.8 Hydrogeochemistry

No information on groundwater hydrogeochemistry was found for the Ear Falls area. However, available literature indicates that groundwater within the Canadian Shield can be subdivided into two main hydrogeochemical regimes: a shallow, freshwater flow system; and a deep, typically saline water flow system (Singer and Cheng, 2002).

Gascoyne et al. (1987) investigated the saline brines found within several plutons of the Canadian Shield and identified a chemical transition at around 300 metres depth marked by a uniform, rapid rise in total dissolved solids (TDS) and chloride. This was attributed to advective mixing above 300 metres, with a shift to diffusion-controlled flow below that depth. It was noted that major fracture zones within the bedrock can, where present, extend the influence of advective processes to greater depths and hence lower the transition to the more saline conditions characteristic of deeper, diffusion-controlled conditions.

Groundwater research carried out in Atomic Energy of Canada Limited's (AECL) Whiteshell Underground Rock Laboratory (URL) in Manitoba reported TDS values ranging from 3 to 90 grams per litre at depths of 300 to 1,000 metres (Gascoyne et al., 1987; Gascoyne, 1994; 2000; 2004). In some regions of the Canadian Shield, TDS values exceeding 250 grams per litre have been reported at depths below 500 metres (Frape et al., 1984).

4.3.9 Natural Resources

As described by Golder (2013), the potential for natural resources in the Ear Falls area is mostly confined to the Birch-Uchi greenstone belt and to localized areas within the metasedimentary rocks. Figure 4-8 shows the areas of known mineral occurrences identified in the Ontario Geological Survey Mineral Deposit Inventory (OGS, 2004), as well as areas of active exploration interest based on active mining claims (MNDM, 2012).

The rocks of the greenstone belt host numerous gold and base metal occurrences and are the subject of ongoing mineral exploitation (Figure 4-8). In the metasedimentary rocks, iron ore was extracted in the past from the Griffith Iron Mine off the western margin of the Bruce Lake pluton (Figure 4-8), and potential for iron deposits may still exist locally within these rocks. There is currently ongoing exploration for gold in the metasedimentary rocks along the Sydney Lake fault zone, but the potential for mineral resources of these rocks remains low. The mineral potential of the granitic intrusions in the Ear Falls area is also considered to be low.

There are no known quarrying operations within the Ear Falls area, although two potential dimension stone occurrences have been identified immediately adjacent to the Ear Falls area. However, the risk that these resources would pose for future human intrusion is negligible, as quarrying operations would be limited to very shallow depths. Potential for peat exists in some low-lying areas, but no commercial peat extraction has occurred in the Ear Falls area to date.

The Ear Falls area is located in a crystalline rock geological setting where the potential for petroleum resources is negligible and where no hydrocarbon production or exploration activities are known to occur.

4.3.10 Geomechanical and Thermal Properties

There is no information available on the general geomechanical properties of the metasedimentary and granitic rocks in the Ear Falls area. However, there is a fair amount of data from comparable geologic units in the Canadian Shield that can provide insight into the possible rocks mass properties of the potentially suitable geological units in the Ear Falls area (Golder, 2013). There are also no site-specific thermal conductivity values or detailed quantitative mineral compositions for the Ear Falls area. Some useful generic comparisons are provided in a summary of thermal conductivity values in the main geoscientific suitability report (Golder, 2013). Site-specific geomechanical and thermal properties of the potentially suitable geological units within the Ear Falls area would need to be investigated during subsequent field evaluations stages.

4.4 Potential Geoscientific Suitability of the Ear Falls Area

This section provides a summary of how key geoscientific characteristics were applied to the Ear Falls area to assess whether it contains general areas that have the potential to satisfy the NWMO's geoscientific site evaluation factors (Section 4.4.1). The potential of identified areas to ultimately satisfy all geoscientific evaluation factors and safety functions outlined in the NWMO's site selection process is also described (Section 4.4.2).

4.4.1 Potential for Finding General Potentially Suitable Areas

The potential for finding general areas that are potentially suitable for hosting a deep geological repository was assessed using the key geoscientific characteristics briefly described below.

- **Geological Setting:** Areas of unfavourable geology identified during the initial screening (Golder, 2011) were not considered. Such areas include rocks of the Birch-Uchi greenstone belt due to their lithological heterogeneity, structural complexity and potential for mineral resources. Intrusive rocks (i.e., batholiths and plutons) and metasedimentary rocks in the Ear Falls area were considered as potentially suitable host rocks (Figure 4-3).
- **Structural Geology:** Areas within or immediately adjacent to regional faults and shear zones were not considered. The main structural features in the Ear Falls area are the Sydney Lake and Long Legged Lake fault zones, which crosscut the Ear Falls area and run along the margins of the Bruce Lake pluton, and the Wenasaga Lake and Bluffy Lake batholiths (Figure 4-3). The thickness of potentially suitable units was also considered when identifying potentially suitable areas. The large granitic intrusions and the metasedimentary rocks in the Ear Falls area are likely to range from 1 kilometre to

approximately 4 kilometres in thickness in most areas, which is sufficient for the purpose of siting a deep geological repository.

- **Lineament Analysis:** In the search for potentially suitable areas, there is a preference to select areas that have a relatively low density of lineaments, particularly a low density of longer lineaments, as they are more likely to extend to greater depth than shorter lineaments (Section 4.3.4.2). For the purpose of this assessment, all interpreted lineaments were conservatively considered as conductive (permeable) features. In reality, many of these interpreted features may be sealed due to higher stress levels at depth and the presence of infilling.
- **Overburden:** The distribution and thickness of overburden cover is an important site characteristic to consider when assessing amenability to site characterization of an area. For practical reasons, it is considered that areas covered by more than approximately 2 metres of overburden deposits would not be amenable to trenching for the purpose of structural mapping. This consideration is consistent with international practices related to site characterization in areas covered by overburden deposits (e.g., Finland; Posiva, 2007). At this stage of the assessment, preference was given to areas with greater mapped bedrock exposures. The extent of bedrock exposure in the Ear Falls area is shown on Figure 4-4. Areas mapped as bedrock terrain are assumed to be covered, at most, with a thin veneer of overburden and are therefore considered amenable to detailed geological mapping.
- **Protected Areas:** All provincial parks and conservation reserves in the Ear Falls area were excluded from further consideration. The largest protected areas in the Ear Falls area include the Pakwash Provincial Park (40 square kilometres) and the Bruce Lake Conservation Reserve (57 square kilometres), which cover part of the Pakwash and Bruce Lake plutons, respectively. The West English River Provincial Park overlies a small portion of the metasedimentary rocks and the granitic rocks of the Winnipeg River Subprovince, and the Lac Seul Islands Conservation Reserve encompasses the islands on Lac Seul (Figure 4-1).
- **Natural Resources:** The potential for natural resources in the Ear Falls area is shown on Figure 4-8. Areas with known potential for exploitable natural resources such as the rocks of the Birch-Uchi greenstone belt were excluded from further consideration. In the metasedimentary rocks, areas with known iron mineralization potential and areas along the Sydney Lake fault zone, where potential for gold is being explored, were also not considered. At this stage of the assessment, areas of active mining claims located in geologic environments judged to have low mineral resource potential were not systematically excluded.
- **Surface Constraints:** Areas of obvious topographic constraints (density of steep slopes), large water bodies (wetlands, lakes), and accessibility were considered for the identification of potentially suitable areas. While areas with such constraints were not explicitly excluded at this stage of the assessment, they are considered less preferable, all other factors being equal. About 18 per cent of the Ear Falls area is occupied by water bodies of various sizes. The majority of the Ear Falls area is accessible by existing logging roads, with the exception of the south portions of the Bluffy Lake batholith and the adjacent portion of metasedimentary rocks.

The consideration of the above key geoscientific characteristics and constraints revealed that the Ear Falls area contains five general areas that have the potential to satisfy the NWMO's geoscientific site evaluation factors. Four of these areas are located within the metasedimentary rocks of the English River Subprovince. The fifth area is within the Bluffy Lake batholith. Interpreted surficial and geophysical lineaments are shown on Figures 4-5 and 4-6, respectively. The other geoscientific characteristics are shown on Figure 4-9.

At this early stage of the assessment, the boundaries of the identified general potentially suitable areas are not yet defined. The location and extent of specific potentially suitable areas would need to be refined through more detailed assessments and field evaluations.

4.4.1.1 General Potentially Suitable Areas Within the Bluffy Lake Batholith

As discussed in Section 4.3.2, the Bluffy Lake batholith is an extensive multi-phase intrusion with an estimated thickness of approximately 1.5 to 3 kilometres. The Sydney Lake fault zone runs along the western margin of the intrusion and crosscuts the batholith through its northern portion (Figures 4-3 and 4-9). Within the Ear Falls area, most of the Bluffy Lake batholith has extensive bedrock exposure, low potential for natural resources, and is free of protected areas and significant surface constraints (i.e., topography and large water bodies). Therefore, the differentiating factors for finding potentially suitable areas within the Bluffy Lake batholith were considered to be mainly structural geology (i.e., away from the Sydney Lake fault zone) and lineament analysis.

The central portion of the Bluffy Lake batholith appears to have a number of favourable geoscientific characteristics for hosting a repository. This general area has very good bedrock exposure, and lies approximately 6 kilometres away from the Sydney Lake fault zone, which is described as having a 0.5- to 2-kilometre-wide deformation zone. The interpretation of geophysical data (Mira, 2013) did not identify any structures associated with this regional fault in the central portion of the batholith. However, the potential impact of the regional Sydney Lake fault zone on the potential suitability of the identified general area would need to be further assessed in future stages of the site evaluation process.

Bedrock in the central portion of the Bluffy Lake batholith is mapped as lithologically uniform, and the low resolution of available geophysical data did not allow for the identification of distinct intrusive phases within the intrusion. The lithological homogeneity in the identified general area would need to be further assessed during subsequent site evaluation stages.

Figure 4-6 shows that geophysical lineament density in this general area is relatively low, which is likely due to the low resolution of available geophysical data. The spacing between geophysical lineaments in the central portion of the Bluffy Lake batholith ranges from about 1 to 5 kilometres, and interpreted lineaments show relatively well-defined orientations, which is a favourable characteristic from a site characterization perspective. The density of surficial lineaments is generally moderate to high throughout the Bluffy Lake batholith, including in the central portion of the intrusion (Figure 4-5). This is likely due to extensive bedrock exposure, which makes surficial lineaments readily mappable. At the desktop stage of assessment, it is uncertain if surficial lineaments represent real bedrock structure and how far they extend to depth, particularly the shorter lineaments.

The central portion of the Bluffy Lake batholith is predominantly Crown land and does not contain any protected areas. This general area is free of active mining claims (Figure 4-9), and mineral resource potential of the Bluffy Lake batholith is considered low. Access to the central

portion of the intrusion is good via the Wenasaga Lake Road, which transects the northern portion of the area from southwest to northeast. The area is well-drained and of moderate relief. The main surface constraints include the presence of some larger water bodies, such as Taber Lake (Figure 4-9).

4.4.1.2 General Potentially Suitable Areas Within the Metasedimentary Rocks

The metasedimentary rocks of the English River Subprovince extend over most of the Ear Falls area (Figures 4-3 and 4-9), and are estimated to be from less than 1 kilometre to up to 4 kilometres thick (Nitescu et al., 2006). They generally have low potential for natural resources, and are largely free of protected areas and significant surface constraints (i.e., topography and large water bodies). The differentiating factors for finding potentially suitable areas within the metasedimentary rocks were considered to be mainly bedrock exposure, structural geology (i.e., away from regional fault zones), and to a limited extent, lineament interpretation.

The assessment of key geoscientific characteristics allowed for the identification of four general potentially suitable areas within the metasedimentary rocks. Two of these general areas are in the eastern portion of the Ear Falls area, northeast of Lac Seul and southeast of Wenasaga Lake. Another general area was identified in the south-central portion of the Ear Falls area, just west of Lac Seul. The fourth general area lies in the western end of the Ear Falls area, south of the Sydney Lake fault zone and north of Wegg and Goose Lakes (Figure 4-9).

All four identified general potentially suitable areas have good bedrock exposure and are at distances ranging from 6 kilometres to over 20 kilometres away from the regional Sydney Lake and Long Legged Lake fault zones. While there are no smaller-scale-related faults mapped in any of the four general areas, the potential impact of these major regional structures remains uncertain and would need to be further assessed in future site evaluation stages.

Bedrock in the four general areas identified is uniformly mapped as metasedimentary rocks. Interpretation of geophysical data recognized elevated magnetic responses in some of the identified general areas, which may reflect local variations in lithological characteristics. Given the varying degree of metamorphism that the metasedimentary rocks experienced in the area, lithological homogeneity is uncertain at this stage and would need to be further investigated in subsequent evaluation stages.

Geophysical lineament density in the four general areas identified within the metasedimentary rocks is generally low, with lineament spacing ranging between 1 to 8 kilometres (Figure 4-6). The low geophysical lineament density is likely due to both the low resolution of the aeromagnetic dataset and the low regional magnetic susceptibility of the metasedimentary rocks, which could be masking the presence of a higher density of lineaments. The actual density of lineaments would need to be further investigated during subsequent stages of the site evaluation process.

Figure 4-5 shows that the surficial lineament density in the three eastern general areas is generally moderate to high, with a lower surficial lineament density observed in the western area. High surficial lineament densities are likely due to the good bedrock exposure in the four identified potentially suitable areas.

All four potentially suitable areas are predominantly Crown land. They are outside protected areas, and mostly free of active mining claims and mineral occurrences (Figure 4-9). However, the general area identified in the western portion of the Ear Falls area lies just south of a sector

where ongoing gold exploration activities are taking place. The effect that such activities could have on the potential suitability of the general area would need to be further assessed in future stages of the site selection process. Access to the four general areas is good via the existing highways and a network of logging roads (Figure 4-9). The areas are well-drained and have generally minimal lake/wetland cover.

4.4.1.3 Other Areas

Given the large geographic extent of the Ear Falls area, it may be possible to identify other general potentially suitable areas. However, the five identified general areas are those judged to best meet the key geoscientific characteristics outlined in Section 4.4.1, based on the currently available information.

4.4.2 Evaluation of General Potentially Suitable Areas in the Ear Falls Area

This section provides a brief description of how the five identified potentially suitable areas were evaluated to verify if they have the potential to satisfy the geoscientific safety functions outlined in the NWMO's site selection process and discussed in Section 4.2. At this early stage of the site evaluation process, where limited geoscientific information is available, the intent is to assess whether there are any obvious conditions within the identified potentially suitable areas that would fail to satisfy the geoscientific safety functions.

4.4.2.1 Safe Containment and Isolation of Used Nuclear Fuel

This function requires that the geological, hydrogeological, chemical and mechanical characteristics of a suitable site: promote long-term isolation of used nuclear fuel from humans, the environment and surface disturbances; promote long-term containment of used nuclear fuel within the repository; and restrict groundwater movement and retard the movement of any released radioactive material. This requires that the repository be located at a sufficient depth, typically around 500 metres, in a sufficient rock volume with characteristics that limit groundwater movement.

As discussed in previous sections, the estimated thicknesses of the Bluffy Lake batholith and the sedimentary rocks within the Ear Falls area are expected to be at least about 1 kilometre. Therefore, the rock in the five potentially suitable areas identified in these geologic units is likely to extend well below the depth (approximately 500 metres) that is required to ensure effective isolation of the repository from human activities and natural surface events.

Analysis of interpreted lineament spacing indicates that the five identified general areas in the Ear Falls area have the potential to contain structurally bounded rock volumes of sufficient size to host a deep geological repository. The classification of lineament by length shows that the spacing between lineaments increases as shorter lineaments are filtered out. Longer lineaments are more likely to extend to greater depth than shorter lineaments. The five potentially suitable areas lie at distances ranging from 6 to over 20 kilometres away from the regional Sydney Lake and Long Legged fault zones. The potential impact of these regional structures on the suitability of the five potentially suitable areas would need to be further assessed.

As discussed in Golder (2013), there is limited information on the hydrogeological properties of the deep bedrock in the Ear Falls area. However, as discussed in Section 4.3.7.3, available information for similar geological settings in the Canadian Shield indicates that active groundwater flow within structurally bounded blocks tends to be generally limited to shallow fracture systems, typically less than 300 metres. At greater depths, hydraulic conductivity tends

to decrease as fractures become less common and less interconnected. Experience from other areas also shows that ancient faults and fractures similar to those in the Ear Falls area are often sealed by infilling materials, which results in a much reduced potential for groundwater flow at depth.

Information on other geoscientific characteristics relevant to the containment and isolation functions of a deep geological repository, such as the mineralogy of the rock, the geochemical composition of the groundwater and rock porewater, the thermal and geomechanical properties of the rock, is limited for the Ear Falls area. The review of available information from other locations with similar geological settings did not reveal any obvious conditions that would suggest unfavourable mineralogical or hydrogeochemical characteristics for the granitic and metasedimentary rocks underlying the five areas identified within the Ear Falls area (Golder, 2013).

In summary, the review of available geoscientific information, including completion of a lineament analysis, did not reveal any obvious conditions that would cause the general areas to fail to satisfy the containment and isolation functions. Potential suitability of these areas would need to be further assessed during subsequent stages of the site evaluation process.

4.4.2.2 Long-Term Resilience to Future Geological Processes and Climate Change

This safety function requires that the containment and isolation functions of the repository are not unacceptably affected by future geological processes and climate changes, including earthquakes and glacial cycles. A full assessment of these processes requires detailed site-specific data that would be typically collected and analyzed through detailed field investigations. The assessment would include understanding how the site has responded to past glaciations and geological processes, and would entail a wide range of detailed studies involving disciplines such as seismology, hydrogeology, hydrogeochemistry, paleohydrogeology and climate change. At this early stage of the site evaluation process, the long-term stability function is evaluated by assessing whether there is any evidence that would raise concerns about the long-term stability of the five general potentially suitable areas identified in the Ear Falls area.

The Ear Falls area is located in the Superior Province of the Canadian Shield, where large portions of land have remained tectonically stable for the last 2.5 billion years (Percival and Easton, 2007). As discussed in Section 4.3.6.1, seismic records show that the Ear Falls area lies within a low seismicity area.

The geology of the Ear Falls area is typical of many areas of the Canadian Shield, which has been subjected to numerous glacial cycles during the last million years. Glaciation is a significant past perturbation that could occur again in the future. However, as discussed in Section 4.3.6.2, findings from various studies conducted in other areas of the Canadian Shield suggest that deep hydrogeological and hydrogeochemical conditions in crystalline rocks, particularly plutonic intrusions, have the potential to remain largely unaffected by past perturbations such as glacial cycles. As discussed in Sections 4.3.5 and 4.3.6.2, other related long-term processes such as glacial rebound (land uplift) and erosion are expected to be low and unlikely to affect the performance of a repository in the Ear Falls area.

In summary, available information indicates that the identified potentially suitable areas in the Ear Falls area have the potential to satisfy the long-term stability function. The review did not identify any obvious conditions that would cause the performance of a repository to be substantially altered by future geological and climate change processes. The long-term stability

of the Ear Falls area would need to be further assessed through detailed multidisciplinary site-specific geoscientific and climate change site investigations.

4.4.2.3 Safe Construction, Operation and Closure of the Repository

There are few surface constraints that would limit the construction of surface facilities in the five general potentially suitable areas identified in the Ear Falls area. These areas are characterized by moderate relief, and each contains enough surface land outside protected areas and major water bodies to accommodate the required repository surface facilities.

From a constructability perspective, limited site-specific information is available on the local rock strength characteristics and in-situ stresses for the potentially suitable geologic units in the Ear Falls area. However, as discussed in Section 4.3.10, there is a fair amount of information at other locations of the Canadian Shield with similar types of rock that could provide insight into what might be expected for the Ear Falls area in general. Available information suggests that granitic and metasedimentary rock units within the Canadian Shield generally possess good geomechanical characteristics that are amenable to the type of excavation activities involved in the development of a deep geological repository (Golder, 2013).

The five identified general areas are mapped as having good bedrock exposure. At this stage of the site evaluation process, it is not possible to accurately determine the thickness of the overburden deposits in these areas due to the low resolution of available data. However, overburden cover is not expected to be a limiting factor in any of the identified general areas.

In summary, the five identified general areas in the Ear Falls area have good potential to satisfy the safe construction, operation and closure function.

4.4.2.4 Isolation of Used Fuel From Future Human Activities

A suitable site must not be located in areas where the containment and isolation functions of the repository are likely to be disrupted by future human activities. These include areas containing economically exploitable natural resources or groundwater resources at repository depth.

The mineral potential of the Bluffy Lake batholith and the metasedimentary rocks in the Ear Falls area is low. Although locally the metasedimentary rocks may have potential for iron deposits and exploration for gold along the Sydney Lake fault zone is ongoing, the potential for mineral resources in the identified general potentially suitable areas remains low (Section 4.3.9).

As discussed in Section 4.3.7, the Ontario Ministry of the Environment Water Well Records indicate that no potable water supply wells are known to exploit aquifers at typical repository depths in the Ear Falls area or anywhere else in northern Ontario. Experience from other areas in the Canadian Shield with similar types of rock has shown that active groundwater flow in crystalline rocks is generally confined to shallow fractured localized systems.

In summary, the potential for the containment and isolation functions of a repository in the Ear Falls area to be disrupted by future human activities is low.

4.4.2.5 Amenability to Site Characterization and Data Interpretation Activities

In order to support the case for demonstrating long-term safety, the geoscientific conditions at a potential site must be predictable and amenable to site characterization and data interpretation.

Factors affecting the amenability to site characterization include geological heterogeneity; structural and hydrogeological complexity; accessibility; and the presence of lakes or overburden with thickness or composition that could mask important geological or structural features.

Bedrock in the Bluffy Lake batholith is mapped as fairly homogeneous, and interpretation of the low-resolution geophysical data available did not identify any distinct phases within the intrusion. The metasedimentary rocks in the Ear Falls area are also mapped as fairly homogeneous. However, it is uncertain to what degree their lithological homogeneity has been affected by the varying degree of metamorphism and migmatization (i.e., partial melting) that these rocks have undergone in the past.

Interpreted geophysical and surficial lineaments in the Ear Falls area, including the identified general areas, exhibit distinct and well-defined orientations (i.e., east and northeast; Figures 4-5 and 4-5), which facilitates the mapping and interpretation of these features. The orientation of lineament features in three dimensions (i.e., considering depth) represents another degree of structural complexity that will require further assessment through detailed site investigations in future phases of the site selection process.

The characterization and field mapping of structures is strongly influenced by the extent and thickness of overburden cover and the presence of large water bodies. The five identified general potentially suitable areas are amenable to site characterization as they have sufficient bedrock exposure and land outside large water bodies. The five identified general potentially suitable areas in the Ear Falls area are all accessible using the existing road network.

In summary, the review of available information did not indicate any obvious conditions which would make the rock mass in the five identified areas unusually difficult to characterize.

4.5 Geoscientific Desktop Feasibility Study Findings

The objective of the Phase 1 geoscientific preliminary assessment was to assess whether the Ear Falls area contains general areas that have the potential to satisfy the geoscientific site evaluation factors outlined in the NWMO site selection process document (NWMO, 2010).

The preliminary geoscientific assessment built on the work previously conducted for the initial screening (Golder, 2011) and focused on the Township of Ear Falls and its periphery, which are referred to as the “Ear Falls area” (Figure 4-1). The assessment was conducted using available geoscientific information and key geoscientific characteristics that can be realistically assessed at this early stage of the site evaluation process. Where information for the Ear Falls area was limited or not available, the assessment drew on information and experience from other areas with similar geological settings on the Canadian Shield. The key geoscientific characteristics used relate to: geology; structural geology; interpreted lineaments; distribution and thickness of overburden deposits; surface conditions; and the potential for economically exploitable natural resources. The desktop geoscientific preliminary assessment included the following review and interpretation activities:

- Detailed review of available geoscientific information such as geology, structural geology, natural resources, hydrogeology, and overburden deposits;
- Interpretation of available geophysical surveys (magnetic, gravity, radiometric, electromagnetic);

- Lineament studies using available satellite imagery, topography and geophysical surveys to provide information on characteristics such as location, orientation and length of interpreted structural bedrock features;
- Terrain analysis studies to help assess factors such as overburden type and distribution, bedrock exposures, accessibility constraints, watershed and subwatershed boundaries, and groundwater discharge and recharge zones; and
- The identification and evaluation of general potentially suitable areas based on key geoscientific characteristics and the systematic application of the NWMO's geoscientific site evaluation factors.

The desktop geoscientific preliminary assessment showed that the Ear Falls area contains at least five general areas that have the potential to satisfy the NWMO's geoscientific site evaluation factors. One of the areas is within the Bluffy Lake batholith, and the four other areas are within the metasedimentary rocks of the English River Subprovince.

The Bluffy Lake batholith and the metasedimentary rocks hosting the five identified potentially suitable areas appear to have a number of geoscientific characteristics that are favourable for hosting a deep geological repository. They are estimated to have sufficient depth and extend over large areas. The bedrock within the five potentially suitable areas has good exposure. All five potentially suitable areas have low potential for natural resources, are easily accessible using the existing road network, contain limited surface constraints, and are amenable to site characterization.

While the identified general potentially suitable areas appear to have favourable geoscientific characteristics, there are inherent uncertainties that would need to be addressed during subsequent stages of the site evaluation process. The main uncertainties are associated with the proximity of the regional Sydney Lake fault zone, the low resolution of available geophysical data, and the variable degree of metamorphism and migmatization (partial melting of the rock) that the metasedimentary rocks have experienced in the geological past.

Interpreted lineaments suggest that the five identified general areas have the potential to contain structurally bounded rock volumes of sufficient size to host a deep geological repository. However, this would need to be confirmed during future site evaluation stages as the observed low density of geophysical lineaments is likely due to the low resolution of available geophysical data and the low magnetic susceptibility of the metasedimentary rocks.

Also, some of the identified potentially suitable areas are less than 10 kilometres from the Sydney Lake fault zone which runs through the Ear fall area. The potential impact of this major regional structure on the suitability of identified potentially suitable areas would need to be further assessed during subsequent site evaluation stages. The impact of the variable degree of metamorphism and migmatization that the metasedimentary rocks have undergone in the geological past on the homogeneity of the metasedimentary rocks underlying four of the identified potentially suitable areas would need to be further assessed.

Should the community of Ear Falls be selected by the NWMO to advance to Phase 2 study and remain interested in continuing in the site selection process, several years of progressively more detailed studies would be required to confirm and demonstrate whether the Ear Falls area contains sites that can safely contain and isolate used nuclear fuel. This would include the acquisition and interpretation of higher-resolution airborne geophysical surveys, detailed geological mapping and the drilling of deep boreholes.




Figure 4-1: Township of Ear Falls and Surrounding Area




Figure 4-2: Elevation and Major Topographic Features of the Ear Falls Area




Figure 4-3: Bedrock Geology of the Ear Falls Area




Figure 4-4: Quaternary Geology of the Ear Falls Area




Figure 4-5: Surficial Lineaments of the Ear Falls Area




Figure 4-6: Geophysical Lineaments of the Ear Falls Area




Figure 4-7: Historical Earthquake Records of the Ear Falls Area 1985–2011




Figure 4-8: Mineral Resources in the Ear Falls Area




Figure 4-9: Geoscientific Characteristics of the Ear Falls Area

5. PRELIMINARY ENVIRONMENT AND SAFETY ASSESSMENT

5.1 Environment and Safety Assessment Approach

The objective of this preliminary assessment is to assess the potential to ensure the health and safety of people and the environment in the Ear Falls area, and to explore the potential to manage any environmental effects that might result from the Adaptive Phased Management (APM) Project. This is achieved by considering the following questions:

1. Is there anything in the natural environment that would preclude siting the repository somewhere in the Ear Falls area?
2. If the repository is located somewhere in the Ear Falls area, would environmental effects which could not be managed be likely to occur during siting, construction, operation, or decommissioning and closure of the repository?
3. If the repository is located somewhere in the Ear Falls area, would postclosure health or environmental effects which could not be managed be likely to occur?

The assessment presented here takes into account the following factors:

- Safe containment and isolation of used nuclear fuel;
- Safe construction, operation and closure of the repository; and
- Potential to avoid ecologically sensitive areas and locally significant environmental and cultural features.

The assessment is conducted at a desktop level (i.e., based on readily available information). It is expected that surface natural environment information is not uniformly available within the Ear Falls area, so that a lack of identified features in some locations could simply be due to data limitations. It is also clear that there is limited information at typical repository depths, which limits the ability to make substantive comments on postclosure safety beyond those presented in the geoscientific assessment (Chapter 4). It is intended that suitability of potential siting areas will be further evaluated in a staged manner through a series of progressively more detailed scientific and technical studies. As part of these future activities, discussions with interested communities, surrounding communities, and Aboriginal peoples, as well as field studies, would be undertaken to aid in the characterization of environmental conditions.

The Initial Screening criteria include that there must be sufficient available land and that the available land must be outside protected areas, heritage sites, provincial parks and national parks (NWMO, 2010). This chapter begins to provide information on environmental features in the Ear Falls area, which may help inform the identification of potential suitable sites during subsequent stages of the site selection process.

The information presented in this chapter includes the following:

- General description of the environment;
- Assessment of potential effects on people and the environment in various project phases through closure and monitoring; and
- Assessment of postclosure safety aspects.

5.2 Description of the Environment

The environment and safety assessment is conducted within a defined geographic area around Ear Falls, referred to as the “Ear Falls area.” For the purpose of this preliminary assessment, the area considered is the same as that selected for geoscientific assessment shown on Figure 4-1.

A detailed description of the environment for the Ear Falls area is provided in Golder (2013). Summary information is presented here.

5.2.1 Communities and Infrastructure

Figure 5-1 shows the location of Ear Falls within the regional area. Figure 5-1 also shows the infrastructure and major land use within the Ear Falls area, including the locations of parks, protected areas and Crown reserve lands.

The Township of Ear Falls is approximately 350 square kilometres in size (LIO, 2012), with a population of 1,026 (Statistics Canada, 2012). The settlement area is in the District of Kenora, approximately 98 kilometres northwest of Vermillion Bay and 65 kilometres southeast of Red Lake, based on straight line distances (i.e., as the crow flies). More information on the Township of Ear Falls is provided in Chapter 7.

There are a number of Aboriginal communities and organizations in the Ear Falls area, including Asubpeeschoseewagong Netum Anishinabek (Grassy Narrows First Nation), Eagle Lake First Nation, Lac Seul First Nation, Wabaseemoong Independent Nations (Whitedog First Nation) and Waubuskang First Nation. Métis Councils in the area include Kenora Métis Council, Northwest Métis Council, Sunset Country Métis Council, and Atikokan and Area Métis Council as represented by Lake of Woods/Lac Seul, Rainy Lake/Rainy River and Treaty 3 Traditional Territory Consultation Committee and the Métis Nation of Ontario.

Highway 105 heads north-south through the community of Ear Falls. Highway 804 passes from Manitou Falls eastward toward Highway 105. Highway 657 passes from Ear Falls to the northeast. A natural gas pipeline corridor runs approximately parallel to Highway 105 through the Ear Falls area. A 115-kilovolt transmission line corridor divides south of Ear Falls, one headed northwest to Red Lake along Highway 105 and the other headed northeast to Pickle Lake. A third branch extends to the west of Ear Falls to Manitou Falls. The area is not directly serviced by rail as described in Chapter 6; there is an active airport to the northwest. Two provincial parks – Pakwash Provincial Park and the West English River Provincial Park (waterway park) – and two conservation reserves – Bruce Lake Conservation Reserve and Lac Seul Islands Conservation Reserve – are located in the Ear Falls area. There are eight operating landfills within the Ear Falls area (five within the Township boundary) and a waste water treatment plant.

The Ontario Archaeological Sites Database identifies 77 known archaeological sites in the Ear Falls area, with concentration along the shores of Lac Seul. The potential for archaeological and historical sites around Lac Seul is considered to be high given the sites already documented within and around the lake; the presence of local heritage sites would need to be confirmed in discussion with the community and Aboriginal peoples in the area. The potential for archaeological and historical sites around the English River and its main tributaries is also considered to be high as it was a major transportation corridor. Local heritage sites would be

further confirmed in discussion with the community and Aboriginal peoples in the area, should the community proceed in the site selection process.

Trapline Licence Areas are located to the northwest of the Ear Falls area.

As discussed in Section 4.3.7, water wells in the Ear Falls area obtain water from the overburden or shallow bedrock. The Ontario Ministry of the Environment (MOE) water well database contains records for 56 water wells in the Ear Falls area, ranging from 2.5 to 134 metres in depth (MOE, 2012). No potable water supply wells are known to exploit aquifers at typical repository depths in the Ear Falls area or anywhere else in northern Ontario.

5.2.2 Natural Environment

As described in Chapter 4, the Ear Falls area lies in the Severn Uplands, a broadly rolling surface of the Canadian Shield that occupies most of northwestern Ontario. The land surface elevation within the Ear Falls area varies from 316 to 452 metres above sea level. Topographic highs generally correspond to bedrock outcrops, while topographic lows are generally areas of lakes or thicker overburden. An exception to this generalization is the Lac Seul moraine that runs north-south through the centre of the Ear Falls area, west of Lac Seul and Wenasaga Lake. About 18 per cent of the Ear Falls area is occupied by water bodies of various sizes.

Geologically, the Ear Falls area is situated in the English River Subprovince, which is part of the western region of the Superior Province of the Canadian Shield. The bedrock geology in the Ear Falls area is dominated by metasedimentary rocks of the English River Subprovince, which are intruded by a number of large granitic bodies: the Bluffy Lake, Wenasaga Lake and Wapesi Lake batholiths; and the Bruce Lake pluton. Smaller intrusions are also mapped within the metasedimentary rocks. In the northern portion of the Ear Falls area, the bedrock comprises mostly rocks of the Birch-Uchi greenstone belt and granitic rocks of the Long Legged Lake dome. The Sydney Lake and Long Legged Lake fault zones separate these rocks from the metasedimentary rocks to the south. Granitic rocks of the Winnipeg River Subprovince are found along the southwestern margin of the Ear Falls area.

The Ear Falls area has a typical boreal climate characterized by long, usually cold winters, and short, cool to mild summers. Most precipitation falls between May through September from low pressure areas formed in the American upper mid-west and the Canadian prairies. During the winter, Arctic low pressure areas move southward into the region bringing very cold temperatures and little precipitation.

Figure 5-2 shows the significant natural features within the Ear Falls area, including watershed boundaries, significant ecological areas, wintering areas, calving and spawning sites, and nesting areas for known rare species. This information will be further developed in the future through discussions with interested communities and Aboriginal peoples, as well as field studies, should the community proceed in the site selection process.

The Ear Falls area is located within the English River Basin, which drains into the Nelson River Drainage Area, and eventually, into Hudson Bay. The English River is the outflow from Lac Seul, and it exits the lake at the southeast corner of the Township of Ear Falls. Water levels in Lac Seul are controlled by a hydroelectric dam operated by Ontario Power Generation. The English River flows to the west, to where it joins the Chukuni River and then flows south into Camping Lake, and further to the southwest, where it is joined by the Wabigoon River, eventually joining the Winnipeg River.

Water bodies in the Ear Falls area are mainly cold and coolwater classified, interspersed with the occasional smaller warmwater body. The Township of Ear Falls is adjacent to Lac Seul, which is considered to be a major fishery for game fish, especially walleye and muskellunge. In addition to the sports fishery, the area also supports a local baitfish industry. Lake sturgeon and shortjaw cisco are species that are classified as threatened in the *Species at Risk Act (SARA)*, 2012, and can be found within the Fisheries Management Zone (FMZ 4) covering the Ear Falls area. The northwestern Ontario population of lake sturgeon are also classified provincially as threatened under the Ontario *Endangered Species Act (ESA)*, 2007.

The Ear Falls area lies within the boreal forest, including primarily jack pine, black spruce and poplar stands (ABRCL, 2005) with some areas of white birch, white spruce, balsam fir, cedar, red and white pine, black ash and larch (ABRCL, 2005; KBM, 2005; ABRCL, 2006; KBM, 2006). Forestry is a major industry in the area, and the region includes a number of private timber companies currently managing forestry operations. The Township of Ear Falls lies in the southwestern limit of the Trout Lake FMU, managed by Domtar. South of Ear Falls, the Whiskey Jack Forest has been managed by the Ontario Ministry of Natural Resources (MNR) since it was surrendered by Abitibi-Consolidated in 2009. In the northwest corner of the Township, the east edge of the Red Lake Forest, operated by the Red Lake Forest Management Company, is found. The Lac Seul Forest, operated by McKenzie Forest Product Inc., includes the Lac Seul islands found within the Township boundary.

The region's forests provide habitat for wildlife, including game, fur-bearing mammals and fish. Management of woodland caribou (threatened provincially and federally), moose, marten, and pileated woodpecker are a particular concern to the Ontario Ministry of Natural Resources (MNR). No known woodland caribou habitat has been identified within the Ear Falls area, but there is a defined migration route just to the northeast of the Ear Falls area, and caribou may inhabit any part of the boreal forest. The Natural Heritage Information Centre (NHIC, 2012) identified two species observed within the Ear Falls area that are listed as endangered, threatened or special concern, either under the Ontario *Endangered Species Act*, 2007, or the Federal *Species at Risk Act*, 2012. These are the bald eagle and wolverine. In particular, several records of wolverine (provincially threatened and special concern nationally) have been recorded in the Ear Falls area. The Ear Falls area is also known to host the largest returning nesting population of bald eagles (provincially of special concern) in North America (Township of Ear Falls, 2012).

Using habitat range mapping, an additional 14 endangered, threatened or special concern species were identified to have a range that overlaps the Ear Falls area (ROM, 2012; Oldham and Weller, 2000; Cadman et al., 2007; Holmes et al., 1991). The range for provincially endangered golden eagle may extend to the region. Further data collection through site-specific surveys and potential discussions with interested communities and Aboriginal peoples would be needed to refine habitat use and suitability for these species, should the community proceed in the site selection process.

5.2.3 Natural Hazards

Natural hazards may be important with respect to operational and postclosure safety of the repository. Potential natural hazards that could occur in the Ear Falls area are described in the Environment Report (Golder, 2013). A preliminary qualitative assessment of potential natural hazards is summarized below.

- Earthquakes – Low risk – Located in a seismically stable region of the Canadian Shield and has a low seismic hazard rating (NRCan, 2010) (see Chapter 4 for additional information).
- Tornadoes/Hurricanes – Low risk – Located in an area with a low tornado frequency (<0.5 tornadoes per year/10,000 square kilometres), but where there is a potential for F2–F5 tornadoes (Sills et al., 2012) and is located outside the geographic area where hurricanes occur.
- Flooding – Possible risk – Possible risk of flooding in low-lying areas along major river systems. Risk will vary based on specific location.
- Drought – Low risk – Risk of drought is low and unlikely to affect the viability of local water sources.
- Snow/Ice – Low risk – Total average annual snowfall is moderate (170 centimetres), and extreme snowfall events are uncommon.
- Fire – Possible risk – Forest fires occur in the area, although historically they have been less than 50 square kilometres in size and have affected 3 per cent of the area over a 35-year period.
- Landslide – Low risk – General risk of landslide is low due to stable slopes of modest gradients and low seismic hazard rating. Risk will vary based on specific location.
- Tsunami – Negligible risk – Low seismic hazard rating and absence of sufficiently large water bodies.

5.2.4 Environment Summary

Table 5-1 presents summary information for the Ear Falls area taken from the Environment Report (Golder, 2013).

Table 5-1: Summary of Environmental Features Within the Ear Falls Area

Environmental Feature	Summary
Protected Areas	
Known Heritage Sites (Including Archaeological Sites)	Yes
Provincial Parks, Conservation Reserves	Yes
Wetlands	Yes
Infrastructure	
Availability of Major Water Source Within 5 kilometres	Yes
Major and Minor Road Access	Yes
Major Utility Alignments	Yes
Nearby Communities	Yes
Land Use	
Water Body/Wetland Coverage	18%/6%
Active Agriculture	No
Active Forestry	Yes
Active Trapping and Hunting	Yes
Active Sport or Commercial Fishery	Yes

Environmental Feature	Summary
Natural Environment	
Potential Habitat Area for Endangered/Threatened/Species at Risk	Yes
Presence of Known Important Terrestrial Habitat Areas	Yes
Presence of Known Important Aquatic Habitat Areas	Yes
Areas of Natural and Scientific Interest (ANSIs) and Earth or Life Science Sites	Yes
Natural Hazards	
Occurrence of Forest Fires	Yes
Potential for Earthquakes	Low
Potential for Tornadoes or Hurricanes	Low
Potential for Flooding, Drought, Extreme Snow and Ice	Possible
Potential for Landslides	Low

5.3 Potential Environmental Effects

This section presents the results of a high-level screening assessment performed to identify potential interactions between the project and the environment. The assessment considers:

- Activities associated with each project phase through closure and monitoring;
- Potential interaction of the activities with the environment;
- Environmental components that could be affected by the interaction;
- Potential effects of the interaction with the environmental components; and
- The potential for mitigation measures to avoid or minimize adverse effects.

The interactions, effects and mitigation measures are determined by reference to existing Canadian and international environmental assessments, and not through site-specific analyses. Lastly, a judgment of the significance of residual adverse effects is made assuming implementation of feasible management or mitigation.

Since specific candidate site(s) within the Ear Falls area have not been defined, the assessment reflects general conditions across the area. A full environmental assessment would eventually be completed for any preferred site once determined, in accordance with the *Canadian Environmental Assessment Act, 2012*.

The environment is described by individual environmental components, each of which represents physical, biophysical, or social features that could be affected by the project. Environmental components used to understand the potential for environment effects at this preliminary assessment phase are:

- Atmospheric Environment: air quality, noise, vibration and light;
- Subsurface Environment: geology, hydrogeology, and groundwater quality;
- Aquatic Environment: surface water quality, surface water quantity and flow, sediment quality, and aquatic habitat and communities including sensitive species;

- Terrestrial Environment: vegetation communities, soil quality, wildlife habitat and communities, natural heritage features and sensitive species;
- Radiation and Radioactivity: radiation dose to humans, including members of the public and project workers, and radiation dose to non-human biota; and
- Cultural Resources: Aboriginal heritage resources and Euro-Canadian heritage resources.

5.3.1 Potential Effects During the Site Selection Process

As explained in Section 1.5, the site selection process includes the identification of potential sites within the smaller number of communities and subsequent detailed investigations of preferred sites in communities that continue in the site selection process. These investigations will involve field surveys to better characterize the site-specific environment, including airborne geophysics, detailed geological mapping, drilling and testing of boreholes, and environmental surveys. Activities may include line cutting and temporary road construction activities to construct access routes to sites undergoing detailed evaluation.

Table 5-2 summarizes the generic project-environment interactions that could occur during the site selection process. These activities may result in environmental effects associated with noise, vegetation clearing for site access, drilling/blasting and increased traffic. Site-specific project-environment interactions for the Ear Falls area would need to be evaluated during subsequent steps of the site selection process.

Implementation of an environmental management plan for these activities would be expected to reduce the effects. For example, drilling fluids associated with site exploration boreholes would be contained at the site and disposed of appropriately. In addition, the location of drill sites and the alignment of roads for access to drill sites (if required) would be determined collaboratively with the community and Aboriginal peoples, and be designed to avoid protected areas, habitat areas for species of conservation concern and heritage sites. Timing of construction activities would be controlled to mitigate effects on biota if any potential interactions are identified.

Overall, no project-environment interactions are identified that would prevent activities associated with site selection in the Ear Falls area.

Table 5-2: Potential Interactions With the Biophysical Environment During Site Selection Process

Environmental Component	Main Considerations	Is there Potential for an Effect?	Is Management and Mitigation Possible?	Are Significant Residual Effects Anticipated?
Atmospheric Environment	Vehicle emissions, dust, noise, light, vibration from blasting	Yes	Yes	No
Subsurface Environment	Change in groundwater quality and flow from site clearing and blasting	Yes	Yes	No
Aquatic Environment	Change in surface water quality and flow from site clearing, disturbance to aquatic habitat or biota from access construction, vibration due to blasting	Yes	Yes	No

Environmental Component	Main Considerations	Is there Potential for an Effect?	Is Management and Mitigation Possible?	Are Significant Residual Effects Anticipated?
Terrestrial Environment	Clearing and disturbance to terrestrial habitat or biota from access construction, noise, vibration from blasting, increase in traffic	Yes	Yes	No
Radiation and Radioactivity	None – no additional radiation beyond natural background	No	—	—
Cultural Resources	Disturbance of archaeological resources from clearing	Yes	Yes	No

5.3.2 Potential Effects During Construction

The Construction Phase comprises the development of the selected site, construction of facilities, utilities and infrastructure necessary to support development and operation of the project, and excavation of the underground facilities and some of the placement rooms. During this phase, surface and underground facilities will be installed and commissioned, and will be ready to begin receiving used fuel. This phase could take 10 or more years to complete. A general description of the facility is provided in Chapter 3. Site preparation and construction would occur following completion and approval of an environmental assessment under the *Canadian Environmental Assessment Act, 2012*, and after applicable permits have been obtained.

A substantial workforce would be expected. Since the Township of Ear Falls and its periphery contain a large area in which the repository could be located, accommodations for the temporary construction workers would be needed; the location of this camp would be determined collaboratively with the community, surrounding communities and Aboriginal peoples in the area. The accommodations could have capacity for up to 600 temporary workers, and include supporting facilities such as kitchen and dining areas (see Chapter 3). Planning of such accommodations and facilities would be undertaken collaboratively with the community and take into account opportunities for fostering well-being of the community and area as discussed in Chapter 7.

Lay-down areas with storage and yard facilities for materials and equipment will also be necessary. It is assumed that new access road and railway systems may be required to provide access to the project site.

Temporary infrastructure to support the construction workforce and activities, including sewage treatment, water supply, and waste management facilities, would be made available at the project site until permanent infrastructure (i.e., powerhouse, water treatment plant, sewage treatment plant, landfill) are established. Electricity for site preparation activities and for early construction activities is assumed to be provided by diesel generators. Heating for construction trailers and any temporary worker accommodations is assumed to use natural gas or propane.

During site preparation, the main activities would include clearing existing vegetation, levelling the site, and installing site drainage systems to manage surface run-off. Fuel storage and water storage tanks would also be located at the site to facilitate construction activities.

The major activity during construction would be the development of underground facilities. Repository construction begins with shaft sinking and full development of underground tunnels and service areas. This will include development of the Underground Demonstration Facility. The service shaft, waste shaft and upcast ventilation shaft would be excavated by controlled drill and blast techniques. Repository access tunnels would also use controlled drill and blast techniques or rock boring technology, designed to minimize damage to the surrounding rock. Once the shafts and access tunnels are complete, the first panel of placement rooms would be excavated. The remainder of placement room excavations would take place during the Operation Phase.

For a 4.6 million fuel bundle repository, storage of the excavated rock is expected to require an area of about 700 metres by 700 metres, with a height between 3 metres and 6 metres. A small portion of the excavated rock would be maintained on-site to support aggregate operations, with the balance transferred to the excavated rock disposal area, whose location would be determined collaboratively with the community and area (Chapter 3). The disposal area will include a stormwater run-off pond to collect and manage the effluent before release to the environment in accordance with applicable regulatory requirements. Depending on the composition of the excavated rock and the consequence of its exposure to environmental conditions, some consideration may need to be given to the potential production of acid rock drainage. Any mitigating measures required will form part of the overall environmental management program that will be developed in detail in later steps of the site selection process.

The construction of both above ground and underground facilities will require dewatering, as well as surface water run-off management, during the construction stages. Intermediate and deep groundwater generated during dewatering will require treatment for dissolved solids (e.g., iron and manganese) prior to release into the environment, whereas shallow groundwater and surface water run-off is not likely to require significant treatment. Water taking and water discharge into the environment will be strictly managed in accordance with provincial regulations.

During this phase, it would also be necessary to construct the permanent surface buildings and complete installation of common services, including waste management systems, utilities, and process and potable water supplies. Given that landfill space in the Ear Falls area is limited, and taking into account that many existing local commercial facilities operate their own landfills, it is assumed that a landfill would be constructed and operated at the project site throughout the Construction, Operation, Extended Monitoring, and Decommissioning and Closure Phases. It is assumed that an aggregate (rock crushing) plant and a concrete batch plant would need to be established on-site, and then operate as necessary until the repository is closed.

Buildings and facilities that are designated to be within the Nuclear Security Protected Area of the complex would be surrounded by a security fence, and lighting would be provided along the fence and at building entrances. A perimeter fence around the entire complex would also be installed. The fenced portion of the site is anticipated to occupy an area of about 600 metres by 550 metres, with an additional fenced portion measuring about 100 metres by 100 metres located some distance away, housing a ventilation shaft. During this phase, water would be required primarily for drilling and excavation, for concrete mixing, and for worker drinking and personal use. Service water would be provided from a local, suitable source.

Current planning assumptions indicate the duration of this period would be about 10 years. The material requirements during this phase (water, cement, rock movement, traffic) would be of a scale and nature similar to other large mine or construction projects.

Table 5-3 summarizes the project-environment interactions that are expected to occur during the Construction Phase. This phase is the most disruptive to the biophysical environment. Construction activities may result in environmental effects associated with vegetation clearing, drilling and blasting, excavation, excavated rock storage, hardening of surfaces, placement of infrastructure, surface water and groundwater management, emissions from vehicles and equipment, dust, noise and increased traffic.

In-design mitigation measures and implementation of an environmental management plan would reduce the environmental effects. Measures may include selection of infrastructure and corridor locations to avoid protected areas, habitat areas for communities or species of conservation concern, or heritage sites. Equipment will be designed to control emissions to air and to reduce noise. Dewatering for subsurface construction, surface water drainage management, operational and potable water supply, and waste water management would be designed and implemented in compliance with applicable regulations.

Within the Ear Falls area, it is anticipated, based simply on the amount of area, that sites exist that avoid protected areas, and therefore site preparation and construction activities could be undertaken. Feasibility will be reliant on appropriate understanding of the environmental conditions at the site scale, in-design mitigation, and compliance with an environmental management plan designed around applicable legislation.

Overall, no project-environment interactions are identified that would prevent activities associated with site preparation and construction in the Ear Falls area.

Table 5-3: Potential Interactions With the Biophysical Environment During Construction

Environmental Component	Main Considerations	Is there Potential for an Effect?	Is Management and Mitigation Possible?	Are Significant Residual Effects Anticipated?
Atmospheric Environment	Vehicle and equipment emissions, dust, noise, light, vibration due to blasting	Yes	Yes	No
Subsurface Environment	Change in groundwater quality and flow due to withdrawal for supply, drawdown for drilling and construction dewatering, and management of run-off from hardened surfaces	Yes	Yes	No
Aquatic Environment	Change in surface water quality or flow, disturbance to aquatic habitat or biota due to placement of infrastructure and required water supply, vibration due to blasting	Yes	Yes	No

Environmental Component	Main Considerations	Is there Potential for an Effect?	Is Management and Mitigation Possible?	Are Significant Residual Effects Anticipated?
Terrestrial Environment	Clearing and disturbance to terrestrial habitat or biota from infrastructure or rock pile placement, noise, vibration from blasting, increase in traffic	Yes	Yes	No
Radiation and Radioactivity	Doses to humans and biota from radon and natural rock activity	Yes	Yes	No
Cultural Resources	Disturbance of archaeological resources from clearing, placement of infrastructure, blasting	Yes	Yes	No

5.3.3 Potential Effects During Operation

The Operation Phase includes the receipt, packaging and placement of used fuel in the repository. For a fuel inventory of 4.6 million bundles, repository operations would last about 38 years (Chapter 3). Facility operations would only begin when all approvals, including a Canadian Nuclear Safety Commission (CNSC) operating licence, have been received.

All used fuel manipulations will take place in the Used Fuel Packaging Plant. This is a multi-story reinforced concrete structure designed for receiving empty used fuel containers, receiving filled transportation casks, transferring used fuel bundles from the transportation casks to the used fuel containers, and sealing, inspecting and dispatching filled used fuel containers for placement in the repository. Each placement site would be sealed following container placement. Once all sites in a placement room are sealed, the entire room would be closed and sealed.

Most steps in the packaging process are remotely operated, taking place in radiation-shielded rooms. Radioactive areas are maintained at a slightly negative pressure to preclude the spread of contamination. Ventilation air is cleaned, filtered and monitored prior to leaving the facility. Radioactive releases during normal operation are anticipated to be a very small fraction of the regulatory limits.

To meet regulatory requirements, the safety analysis will investigate the consequences of upsets and accidents occurring during the Operation Phase. While the specific events to be analyzed will be defined in the future, such occurrences as loss of power, loss of ventilation and dropping of a container will be addressed to verify and demonstrate robustness of the design. Analysis of similar events at other proposed used fuel repositories indicates the consequences are anticipated to be well below the regulatory limits.

An environmental monitoring system will be established to monitor for environmental effects, to optimize facility performance and to demonstrate regulatory compliance. The environmental monitoring program would consist, as a minimum, of the following components:

- Groundwater Monitoring;
- Stormwater/Surface Water Monitoring;
- Air Quality Monitoring;
- Meteorological Monitoring; and
- Seismic and Vibration Monitoring.

Maintenance of the equipment and facilities, including safety checks and inspections, would be routinely undertaken during this phase. Support activities that would be carried out include preparation of buffer, backfill and repository sealing materials used in borehole and placement room sealing, rock crushing and concrete mixing. The main external supplies would be the containers and the clay seal materials, which would be shipped through the area to the site.

The Operation Phase also includes continued excavation of additional placement rooms, which could involve drilling and blasting, tunnel boring, removal of rock and continued operation of the excavated rock stockpile area.

Raw water for the site would be sourced locally at the rate needed to meet the demands of site personnel, concrete production, sand production and dust control. Water is not required for cooling of the used fuel.

Sewage collected from all serviced buildings will be piped to a Sewage Treatment Plant for treatment to provincial standards prior to discharge.

Several ponds will be established to affect either process water or stormwater control. All the ponds will be lined over their base and embankments with polyethylene for protection and to prevent water infiltration into the ground. Collected flows will be quality monitored and treated as required before being directed to downstream process (e.g., aggregate crushing plant) or to the off-site discharge.

Low- and intermediate-level radioactive waste will be handled as separate waste streams. Active solid waste may be generated in the Used Fuel Packaging Plant, the Auxiliary Building and the active liquid waste treatment process. These wastes would consist of such things as modules from the incoming transport containers, filters, spent resins, and cleaning materials.

Active solid wastes that are not or cannot be decontaminated to free-release limits will be placed into approved transportation containers and shipped off-site to a licensed long-term management facility.

Active liquid waste may be generated in the Used Fuel Packaging Plant and the Auxiliary Building. These wastes would originate from decontamination of used fuel modules, cell wash downs, and the wet decontamination of irradiated fuel transport casks and containers. Active liquid waste would be managed in two facilities – a storage building and a waste treatment building, with the storage building incorporating secondary containment for spills or leaks. Most of these liquids will be cleaned on-site and returned to the environment with any residuals being sent to off-site disposal.

Monitoring would be conducted throughout the Operation Phase, including a period of time after the last used fuel containers have been placed prior to the start of decommissioning.

Activities could include emissions monitoring, environmental monitoring, repository performance monitoring, and maintenance activities. Postclosure monitoring is discussed in Section 5.4.

Table 5-4 summarizes the project-environment interactions that are expected to occur during the Operation Phase. Implementation of an environmental management plan, well-defined operating procedures, and followup on a comprehensive monitoring program would be expected to reduce the environmental effects.

Overall, no project-environment interactions are identified that would prevent operating the repository in the Ear Falls area.

Table 5-4: Potential Interactions With the Biophysical Environment During Operation

Environmental Component	Main Considerations	Is there Potential for an Effect?	Is Management and Mitigation Possible?	Are Significant Residual Effects Anticipated?
Atmospheric Environment	Vehicle and equipment emissions, dust, noise, light, vibration due to underground blasting	Yes	Yes	No
Subsurface Environment	Change in groundwater quality and flow due to withdrawal and dewatering, and management of run-off from hardened surfaces and the excavated rock pile	Yes	Yes	No
Aquatic Environment	Change in surface water quality or flow, disturbance to aquatic habitat or biota due to placement of infrastructure and required water supply, run-off from surfaces and the rock pile, and vibration due to underground blasting	Yes	Yes	No
Terrestrial Environment	Disturbance to terrestrial habitat or biota from infrastructure or rock pile placement/run-off, noise, vibration from blasting, increase in traffic	Yes	Yes	No
Radiation and Radioactivity	Doses to humans and biota from radon, natural rock activity and repository operation	Yes	Yes	No
Cultural Resources	Disturbance to local enjoyment of the area	Yes	Yes	No

5.3.4 Potential Effects During Decommissioning and Closure

The Decommissioning and Closure Phase of the project would begin once placement operations have been completed, sufficient performance monitoring data have been collected to support approval to decommission, a decommissioning licence has been granted, and the community has agreed to proceed to this phase. This phase would end when the repository has been sealed and all surface facilities have been decontaminated and removed. Monitoring would continue for a period of time as determined in discussion with regulatory authorities and the community. The main activities undertaken during this phase would include:

- Decontamination, dismantling, and removal of surface and underground infrastructure and facilities, including water intake structures;
- Sealing of tunnels, shafts and service areas;
- Sealing of all surface boreholes and those subsurface boreholes not required for monitoring;
- Closure of the on-site landfill; and
- Monitoring as necessary.

Once the repository is sealed and all buildings and facilities are removed, the area must be shown to meet regulatory limits for the agreed-upon end-state land use. This would include landscaping and restoration of natural habitat on the site.

Before the facility is closed, used fuel handling activities would cease, all the underground placement rooms would be sealed, and any related radiological emissions would stop. During closure, any residual radioactive materials would be removed. Structures used for radioactive work would be carefully dismantled to limit the amount of dust produced. Any radioactive soil would be managed in accordance with applicable regulations or guidelines. The radiological releases are anticipated to be a small fraction of regulatory limits and no greater than those during the Operation Phase.

Table 5-5 summarizes the project-environment interactions that are expected to occur during the Decommissioning and Closure Phase. The potential environmental effects are expected to be similar to those encountered during construction, with the exception of the presence of residual radioactive materials.

The implementation of an environmental management plan specific to this phase of the project, along with continued occupational dose management programs, would reduce potential effects on humans and the environment. More generally, the net effect of the decommissioning would be to reduce the surface footprint of the repository and therefore would in general be beneficial to the environment after completion.

Overall, no project-environment interactions are identified that would prevent decommissioning and closing the repository in the Ear Falls area.

Table 5-5: Potential Interactions With the Biophysical Environment During Decommissioning and Closure Activities

Environmental Component	Main Considerations	Is there Potential for an Effect?	Is Management and Mitigation Possible?	Are Significant Residual Effects Anticipated?
Atmospheric Environment	Vehicle and equipment emissions, dust, noise, and light	Yes	Yes	No
Subsurface Environment	Change in groundwater quality and flow due to closure of system for withdrawal for supply and management of run-off from hardened surfaces and the rock pile	Yes	Yes	No
Aquatic Environment	Change in surface water quality or flow, disturbance to aquatic habitat or biota due to removal of infrastructure, run-off from the rock pile and required water supply	Yes	Yes	No
Terrestrial Environment	Clearing and disturbance to terrestrial habitat or biota from infrastructure or rock pile removal, noise, increase in traffic	Yes	Yes	No
Radiation and Radioactivity	Doses to humans and biota from radon and from residual radioactivity during infrastructure removal operations	Yes	Yes	No
Cultural Resources	Disturbance to local enjoyment of the area	Yes	Yes	No

5.3.5 Potential Effects During Monitoring

The conceptual project design makes provision for up to two periods of monitoring; however, specific details would be developed in collaboration with the local community. The first of these periods would occur during operation after the placement activities are completed and prior to the initiation of the Decommissioning and Closure Phase. The other monitoring period may occur during decommissioning. Activities during these monitoring periods could involve monitoring conditions in the repository itself, as well as monitoring environmental factors in the geosphere and biosphere (i.e., subsurface and surface environments).

Monitoring activities may require human presence. Such activities could include managing boreholes and acoustic monitors, and conducting air, water and biology surveys or sampling. These would likely use existing borehole sites and roads. When compared to the environmental

effects associated with the earlier project phases, potential environmental effects associated with conducting this monitoring are likely to result in fewer environmental effects and are therefore not discussed further.

Following site restoration and a period of monitoring, and with community agreement, a licence to abandon the site would be obtained. In this regard, “abandon” (a term that exists within the regulatory framework) means that the site would not require ongoing regulatory controls and licensing by the CNSC. While further monitoring would not be legally required, monitoring could be continued depending on arrangements with the local community. It is possible that permanent markers would be installed to inform future generations of the presence of the sealed repository.

5.4 Postclosure Safety

5.4.1 Postclosure Performance

In the repository design, the radioactivity is initially contained within the used nuclear fuel. The bulk of the used fuel (98 per cent) is solid ceramic uranium dioxide.

The used nuclear fuel is sealed in durable metal containers and placed in an engineered structure excavated deep within a stable rock formation. The layout of the repository would be a network of tunnels and placement rooms designed to accommodate the rock structure and stresses, the groundwater flow system, and other subsurface conditions at the site. A clay buffer material would surround each container, and backfill material and other seals would close off the rooms and fill the shafts.

The rock and deep groundwater that surround the repository would provide stable mechanical and chemical conditions that would promote containment of the wastes for long times.

After closure, the repository would initially (within about 100 years) heat up to a maximum temperature of around 100°C and then slowly cool back to ambient rock temperatures. Within several hundred years, natural groundwater within the rock would seep back into the facility and re-saturate the space in the clay buffer and room backfill. During this same period, the majority of the initial (and more radioactive) fission products in the used fuel would decay to stable, non-radioactive elements. However, the residual radioactivity is still hazardous, and would include long-lived fission products, actinides and uranium decay products.

The potential effects of the used fuel repository over the very long term would be from potential releases of radionuclides and other non-radioactive contaminants leached or dissolved from the placed used fuel. These contaminants could migrate into the bedrock and deep groundwater, and could eventually reach the surface environment.

5.4.2 Postclosure Assessment

To support the design and to check the long-term site safety, a postclosure safety assessment would be performed. In this assessment, computer models are applied to a suite of analysis cases to determine potential effects on the health and safety of persons and the environment. The assessment time frame extends from closure until the time at which the maximum impact is predicted, with a one-million-year baseline adopted based on the time period required for the used fuel radioactivity to decay to essentially the same level as that in an equivalent amount of natural uranium.

The postclosure assessment does not predict the future, but instead examines potential consequences from various postulated scenarios, ranging from likely to “what if.” The Normal Evolution Scenario represents a reasonable extrapolation of the site and repository, and accounts for anticipated significant events such as glaciation. Sensitivity studies assume degraded performance of various components of the multi-barrier system to demonstrate the conclusions are not especially sensitive to uncertainties in the input information. Disruptive Scenarios postulate the occurrence of unlikely events leading to possible penetration of barriers and abnormal loss of containment.

Assessing the postclosure suitability of the Ear Falls area and specific sites therein for hosting the used fuel repository requires substantive site-specific information on the geology at repository depth. The suitability of the local geology for hosting a repository is discussed in Chapter 4. This geoscience assessment addresses factors such as:

- **Safe containment and isolation of used nuclear fuel** – Are the characteristics of the rock at the site appropriate to ensuring the long-term containment and isolation of used nuclear fuel from humans, the environment and surface disturbances?
- **Long-term resilience to future geological processes and climate change** – Are the rock formations beneath the siting area adequate, such that they will not be substantially altered by natural geological disturbances and events such as earthquakes and climate change?
- **Safe construction, operation and closure of the repository** – Are rock conditions at the site suitable for the safe construction, operation and closure of the repository?
- **Isolation of used fuel from future human activities** – Is human intrusion at the site unlikely, for instance, through future natural resource exploration or extraction?

At present, due to the limited information on the geology available at this stage in the assessment process, it is not possible to conduct a detailed postclosure safety assessment. Therefore, the current postclosure safety assessment conclusion is the same as the assessment presented in Chapter 4, where it is judged that there are geological units that are potentially suitable for hosting the repository within the Ear Falls area.

However, it is possible to draw on the results from a number of postclosure safety assessments examining similar but hypothetical sites and repository designs, in order to build confidence in long-term safety.

Four major postclosure safety assessments for a deep geological repository for used CANDU fuel, located at hypothetical sites on the Canadian Shield, have been carried out over the past 20 years (AECL, 1994; Goodwin et al., 1996; Gierszewski et al., 2004; NWMO, 2012). Similar studies assessing repository concepts in crystalline rock have also been published in other countries, notably Sweden (SKB, 2011) and Finland (Posiva, 2007). Although the geologic environment and details of the repository concept vary from study to study, all studies found that management of used nuclear fuel in a deep geological repository is a safe viable option for protecting humans and the environment from the associated long-term hazards. A brief summary of the scenarios analyzed in the Canadian postclosure safety assessments is provided to illustrate this point.

The most likely scenario by which any radionuclide from a deep geological repository can reach the biosphere is through transport from a failed or defective container through the water within the rock porosity. Due to the multiple engineered barriers and the relatively impermeable nature of the Canadian Shield at suitable sites, the analyses show that most of the radioactivity would

remain trapped within or near the repository and decay away. The small amounts reaching the biosphere after thousands of years lead to maximum dose rates for suitable sites that are orders of magnitude below the regulatory dose limit (i.e., 1.0 milliSievert (mSv) per year) and the Canadian background dose rate (i.e., roughly 1.8 mSv/year).

The impacts can also be assessed by comparing the calculated concentrations or flux of any radionuclides migrating from the repository to the surface with the concentrations or fluxes of naturally occurring radionuclides. These comparisons indicate that the impacts of any migrating radionuclides would be less than the impacts associated with naturally occurring radionuclides.

The potential chemical toxicity hazard posed by a deep geological repository has also been examined (NWMO, 2012). While the used fuel does not contain hazardous chemicals, it is largely uranium (a heavy metal), and it contains small amounts of other elements that can be toxic in sufficiently high concentrations. Safety assessments indicate that the natural and engineered barriers can provide effective protection against transport of potentially hazardous elements from the repository.

In practical terms, there would be no noticeable effect at the site or surrounding environment.

5.5 Climate Change Considerations

5.5.1 Near-Term Climate Change

Due to the long duration of the project, it is prudent to consider how climate change might have an influence on the repository site.

Over the course of the project lifespan from site preparation to closure (approximately a century), regional climate parameters such as temperature, precipitation and wind could be altered. These changes could lead to, for example, an increase or decrease in surface waters, extent of forestry, local agriculture, storm frequency and intensity, or the frequency of forest fires.

While such changes could affect the schedule, they will have essentially no effect on the safety of the repository during the Operation Phase. As noted earlier, water is not required to maintain cooling of the used fuel, so any interruptions to the water or power supply would have essentially no effect on public safety. The range in weather conditions would be taken into account in the design of surface facilities (e.g., by ensuring that the repository shaft collars are located above areas that could be affected by flooding).

Climate change could alter habitat suitability and availability for aquatic and terrestrial biota, with a shift in the composition of plant communities towards those better adapted to warmer, conditions. This shift in forest type could, in turn, affect available habitat for boreal-oriented species. Development of re-vegetation plans at closure would take into account how plant community attributes may be altered in response to climate change.

During postclosure, the depth of the repository and the applied sealing measures essentially isolate the repository from all surface effects except glaciation, which is discussed in the next section.

5.5.2 Glaciation

The Canadian Shield has been covered by ice sheets for nine major glacial cycles over the past one million years. These cycles, with a period of approximately 100,000 years, are believed to be largely related to variations in solar insolation and the location of the continents.

The continents will not change position significantly over the next million years, and the variation in solar insolation is predictable based on known earth orbital dynamics. Studies indicate that over the next 100,000 years or so, the amplitude of insolation variations will be smaller than during the last glacial cycle (Berger and Loutre, 2002). It is also clear that the composition of greenhouse gases is presently significantly larger than usual. Such conditions could suppress the initiation of a glacial cycle for 50,000 years or longer. Beyond this time, a larger reduction in solar insolation is anticipated, and therefore a stronger trigger to initiate a new glacial cycle will occur.

While the timing of the onset of the next cycle cannot be determined, the first ice sheet advance over the repository site is not anticipated to occur within the next 60,000 years, with even longer delays (up to 500,000 years) proposed in some studies (Berger and Loutre, 2002; Archer and Ganopolski, 2005). This implies that a significant time period is available for radioactivity levels in the used fuel to decay prior to glacial onset.

The geology of the Ear Falls area is typical of many areas of the Canadian Shield. A review of the findings of previous field studies involving fracture characterization found that fractures below a depth of several hundred metres in a number of plutons in the Canadian Shield are ancient features. Subsequent stresses, such as those caused by glaciation, generally have been relieved by reactivation along the existing zones of weakness rather than by formation of large new fracture zones. The repository would be located to avoid or minimize contact with fracture zones.

Glacial/interglacial cycling will affect hydrogeological conditions in the overburden and shallow bedrock groundwater zones. Future ice sheets will cause significant changes in the surficial physical environment and the shallow groundwater zone in relation to the formation of permafrost, altered hydraulic pressures and flow rates, and penetration of glacial recharge waters. In low porosity, low permeability systems, geochemical and isotopic data suggest that only the upper, actively circulating groundwater system was affected by past glaciations, with deeper, denser, high-salinity waters largely unaffected.

The effects of glaciation on a deep repository have been assessed in the Glaciation Scenario study for a hypothetical site on the Canadian Shield (Garisto et al., 2010). The study shows that the net impact would not be significantly different from that associated with the assumption of a constant climate and the consequences would be well below regulatory limits.

Site-specific studies are necessary to understand potential effects over the long term that could occur because of the presence of the closed used fuel repository. Subject to these studies, it is assumed that the repository can be placed sufficiently deep that it would not be affected by glaciation.

5.6 Environment and Safety Findings

Based on the available environmental information and the anticipated project activities, no environmental conditions have been identified that would preclude siting the repository somewhere within the Ear Falls area. The assessment has identified some specific areas that would be excluded as they contain parks and protected areas. Subsequent to the identification of more specific potential siting areas, a more definitive environmental evaluation could result in the exclusion of additional areas based on such things as, for example, the presence of migration routes, the proximity to important habitats and cultural sensitivity. Discussions with interested communities, surrounding communities, and Aboriginal peoples, as well as field studies, would be needed to fully characterize the environmental conditions in these potential siting areas.

The findings also indicate that the Site Selection, Construction, Operation, Decommissioning and Closure, and Monitoring Phases will result in effects to the environment. Because many of these effects would be similar to other large industrial or mining projects, it is anticipated that the long-term interactions or potential environmental consequences can be managed or mitigated through a combination of in-design features, operating procedures, and implementation of a sound environmental management plan. These mitigating measures would be defined in later phases of the project as more information becomes available.

At present, due to the limited information on the geology at depth available at this stage in the assessment process, it is not possible to conduct a site-specific postclosure safety assessment. The current postclosure safety conclusion is therefore the same as the assessment in the geoscientific suitability chapter (Chapter 4), where it is judged that there are geological units that are potentially suitable for hosting the repository. Site-specific safety assessments would be created at later phases of the project when more information on the local geology becomes available.




Figure 5-1: Infrastructure and Land Use Within the Ear Falls Area




Figure 5-2: Natural Environment Within the Ear Falls Area

6. PRELIMINARY ASSESSMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

6.1 Transportation Assessment Approach

Canada's used nuclear fuel is currently located at seven interim storage sites located in four provinces. The ability to transport used nuclear fuel using existing or developing transportation systems is an integral element of a long-term management plan.

For more than 40 years, Canadian and international experience has demonstrated that used nuclear fuel can be transported safely and securely. The NWMO is committed to maintaining this high standard of safety and will meet or exceed regulatory safety requirements. The NWMO is employing the Adaptive Phased Management (APM) project management approach in planning and operating its transportation program. In support of this approach, the NWMO is monitoring and incorporating lessons learned from successful used fuel and radioactive material transportation programs in Canada and other countries.

The approach taken in preparing this chapter serves two functions. First, it describes the comprehensive transportation safety regulation and oversight processes that the NWMO will meet and how the NWMO plans to meet them. Second, it presents results of a desktop analysis that was prepared based on publicly available transportation information, supplemented by information provided by the community and observations during staff visits to selected communities. As part of Step 3 of the site selection process, a feasibility analysis was prepared and focused on the following question: "Can a transportation route be identified or developed for the safe and secure transportation of used nuclear fuel to the site from the locations at which it is stored?" The findings of the transportation assessment on the feasibility of locating the APM Project in the Ear Falls area are presented at the end of the chapter.

In reviewing the available and/or developing transportation infrastructure, there is no intent to select a preferred mode of transportation or a preferred route, or to commit to specific operational details related to a future transportation system. These activities will be addressed through a future dialogue with federal, provincial and local authorities, and communities along potential transportation routes as a large group with a shared interest.

6.2 Regulatory Framework

The safe and secure transportation of used nuclear fuel is regulated through a comprehensive, multi-agency framework of regulations, oversight and inspections. The process builds on the legal and traditional roles of federal, provincial and local agencies.

The responsibility for regulating the safe transportation of used nuclear fuel in Canada is jointly shared by the Canadian Nuclear Safety Commission (CNSC) and Transport Canada. The *Nuclear Safety and Control Act, 1997*, the *Packaging and Transport of Nuclear Substances Regulations* and the *Nuclear Security Regulations* authorize the CNSC to regulate all persons who handle, offer for transport, transport or receive nuclear substances. The *Transportation of Dangerous Goods Act, 1992*, and Transport Canada's *Transportation of Dangerous Goods Regulations* regulate the safe commercial transport of listed hazardous goods, including used nuclear fuel.

The CNSC and Transport Canada regulations follow the International Atomic Energy Agency's (IAEA) Safety Standards Series regulations (Safety Requirements No. TS-R-1) (IAEA, 2000). The CNSC and Transport Canada regulations cover the certification of the package design, the licence to transport, security planning, training requirements for the shipper and transporter, emergency response planning and communication procedures. These requirements are in addition to the normal commercial vehicle and rail operating and safety regulations and are similar to those used internationally. Packages designed for the transport of used nuclear fuel in Canada must be certified and licensed by the CNSC.

The provinces are responsible for developing, maintaining and operating the road infrastructure, for conducting safety inspections of the commercial vehicles and their drivers, and for law enforcement. Local governments provide traffic law enforcement and emergency response resources in the event of a transportation incident. The interaction and co-operation between these agencies provide for a comprehensive regulatory and oversight process ensuring the safe and secure transportation of used nuclear fuel.

6.2.1 Canadian Nuclear Safety Commission

The *Nuclear Safety and Control Act*, 1997, established the CNSC as the responsible agency for regulating possession of radioactive materials; for the design, testing, and certification of transport packages; and for regulating the safe and secure transport of nuclear substances in Canada. The CNSC works closely with Transport Canada in creating safety regulations, reviewing transportation operations, transport security and emergency response plans, training of the persons involved in transporting radioactive substances, and the oversight of radioactive material shippers.

The CNSC's *Packaging and Transport of Nuclear Substances Regulations* and *Nuclear Security Regulations* set out a comprehensive framework for the transportation of radioactive material, including the package design requirements, operational controls during transport, security from threats, loading and unloading, and inspection and maintenance requirements for the package. The regulations also require quality control at every step of the transport process.

The CNSC establishes the criteria and certifies the design of all Type B transport packages (the type required to transport used fuel), including those to be used by the NWMO. The CNSC requires that a Type B package pass strict testing which simulates transportation accident conditions, such as the package being in a collision, being hit by sharp objects, being engulfed in a petroleum fuel fire and being submerged in 200 metres of water. During these tests, the package must be able to meet the public protection requirements for the radioactive material while in transport.

6.2.2 Transport Canada

The *Transportation of Dangerous Goods Act*, 1992, and the *Transportation of Dangerous Goods Regulations* regulate the transportation of all dangerous goods within Canada, including the classification, packaging, labelling, documentation, safe handling, emergency response planning, training, and conveyance of such goods. In order to perform this function, Transport Canada has classified all dangerous goods into one of nine classes. Used nuclear fuel is designated as Class 7, "Radioactive Material."

The Transport Canada regulations prescribe the labels and safety marks that must be placed on any package and vehicle while transporting dangerous goods. These labels and placards provide valuable information to emergency responders when they respond to an accident and assist them in determining what safety precautions are needed as they carry out their life-saving and firefighting duties. Transport Canada requires that all persons handling, transporting, and/or offering to transport dangerous goods must be trained in the safe handling of the materials as applicable to their assigned duties.

Transport Canada and provinces have a shared responsibility for the safety of trucks and their operators. For highway vehicles, this includes the licensing of vehicles, vehicle safety inspections, and the qualification and hours of service requirements for operators. For rail, Transport Canada inspects the operating companies for compliance with vehicle, operations, signals, track, motor, and crew safety regulations. The provinces, through an Administrative Agreement process, have taken the lead for enforcing compliance with Transport Canada's safety requirements.

6.2.3 Provincial and Local Safety Responsibilities

The provinces have the legal authority for regulating all highway transportation functions, and through the Administrative Agreement with Transport Canada, they can enforce safety regulations for Class 7 shipments. Along with Transport Canada, the provinces enforce vehicle and driver safety through both scheduled and random inspections.

Provinces also develop, maintain and operate the provincial highway systems over which the NWMO shipments will travel. Some of these systems have operating limitations caused by weather, soils, highway geometry, tunnels and bridges. As the provinces adopt their transportation improvement plans, some of these limitations may be addressed, thereby improving the system safety.

Local governments through their first responders provide the initial resources when responding to emergency and law enforcement incidents. They are also enabled to enforce local and provincial regulations governing safety and commercial vehicle operation. Local communities are responsible for developing, operating and maintaining local streets and roadways.

6.3 Transportation Safety

The NWMO will be the responsible party for shipping Canada's used nuclear fuel to a repository. The NWMO is planning on using the Used Fuel Transportation Package (UFTP) for the transport of used fuel. In July 2013, the CNSC re-certified the UFTP as meeting their current regulations (CNSC, 2013).

6.3.1 Used CANDU Nuclear Fuel

CANDU nuclear fuel is a solid uranium dioxide ceramic pellet and is used to produce electricity for Canadians. The pellets are placed into a corrosion-resistant metal tube of a zirconium alloy. Typically, 37 of these tubes are held together in a cylindrical array called a fuel bundle. After the fuel bundle expends its heat producing energy, it is removed from the reactor and placed in a pool of water similar to a swimming pool to cool. Additional information on used nuclear fuel is provided in Section 3.2.

The radioactivity of used fuel drops quickly following removal from the reactor. After being out of the reactor for seven to 10 years, the radioactivity has decayed by 99 per cent and the fuel bundles are placed into interim dry storage containers. The fuel is held in these containers until readied for transport to a repository. Based on the current Preliminary Waste Acceptance Criteria, the used fuel accepted for transport to the repository facility will have been out of the reactor for 10 years or more.

6.3.2 Used Fuel Transportation Package

The NWMO will be transporting the used fuel bundles to the APM repository facility in the Used Fuel Transportation Package (UFTP), which will be certified by the CNSC using the current regulations at the time of shipment.

To be certified, the UFTP must pass a series of performance tests as specified in the CNSC regulations, thereby demonstrating its ability to withstand severe impacts, fire and immersion in deep water¹. These tests are designed to ensure that the radioactive material is not released during a transportation accident and that radiation levels outside the package are well below the regulatory dose limits.

The UFTP is a cube about 2 metres in size (see Figure 6-1). When filled, the UFTP will carry approximately 5 tonnes of used CANDU fuel. The total package weight, when filled, is about 35 tonnes. As shown in Figure 6-1, the UFTP can hold a total of 192 bundles of used CANDU fuel in two storage racks, which are called modules. The UFTP body is manufactured from a single piece of stainless steel with walls approximately 27 centimetres thick.

The seal between the cask lid and body is provided by a double gasket, and the lid is attached with 32 bolts. Seal integrity is tested prior to and after each shipment.

¹ For more information on package performance tests, see <http://nuclearsafety.gc.ca/eng/licenseesapplicants/packagingtransport/certification-process-for-transport-packages.cfm>.




Figure 6-1: Used Fuel Transportation Package

6.3.3 Commercial Vehicle Safety

Commercial vehicle and driver safety are important to the NWMO. All commercial vehicles carrying dangerous goods are subject to Transport Canada safety requirements and inspection. The NWMO vehicles will be inspected for safety defects at the points of origin and destination. They are also subject to scheduled and random safety inspections by Transport Canada and the provinces as they travel the roadways. This is standard practice within the Canadian transport industry and for radioactive material shipments internationally.

6.3.4 Radiological Safety

Packages used to transport used fuel are designed in accordance with the requirements prescribed by the CNSC's *Packaging and Transport of Nuclear Substances Regulations*. The CNSC regulations are based on standards set by the IAEA and tested through use and practice. The objective of the regulations is to ensure that the radiation levels from the package will allow safe handling and transport, and in the event of an accident, the package will prevent a radiological release that exceeds applicable regulatory criteria.

The packages are designed to shield radiation such that levels on the outside of the package are below regulatory limits. Through procedures minimizing the handling of the package, the total radiation dose to the handling and transport personnel can be kept at a low level. Experience from existing shipments both within Canada and internationally demonstrates that this goal can be readily achieved.

6.3.5 Radiological Dose

Radiation is found in many forms. People are exposed to natural background radiation every day from the ground, building materials, air, food, outer space (cosmic rays), and even from elements occurring naturally in the body. The CNSC *Radiation Protection Regulations* have set an annual radiation dose limit of 1 milliSievert (mSv) per year for members of the public to limit exposure from nuclear-related activities. The radiation dose is about half of the average background radiation dose received by Canadians (1.8 mSv/year). For comparison, the typical dose received from one dental X-ray is approximately 0.01 mSv.

Radiological doses to the public from used fuel transport have been calculated for members of the public. Three scenarios were identified to estimate radiological doses to the public: 1) residents along the transport route; 2) persons sharing the transport route; and 3) persons sharing the refueling and rest stops. The highway mode was conservatively chosen as the example since the shipments will be sharing the roadway and refueling stops with the public, and there will be a larger number of shipments using this mode.

Table 6-1 shows the annual maximum individual dose to the public for each of the three scenarios (Batters et al., 2012). In all cases, the maximum individual dose to the public under routine transport and accident conditions is well below the regulatory public dose limit of 1.0 mSv per year.

Table 6-1: Maximum Public Individual Dose Due to Used Fuel Transported by Road

Annual Dose	Distance to Package	Frequency (Per Year)	Dose (mSv/year)	Assumptions/Comments
During Transport				
Resident Along Transport Route	30 m	620 shipments	0.000 013	Person living 30 m from route exposed to all 620 shipments (including 1 unplanned stop).
Public in Vehicle Sharing Route	10 m	2 shipments	0.000 22	Person in vehicle 10 m from transport package for 1 hour twice per year.
During ½-Hour Rest Stop				
Public in Vicinity at Rest Stop	15 m	31 shipments	0.000 12	Trucks alternate between 10 rest stops. Person present at given stop 5 per cent of time (i.e., 5 per cent of shipments).

The NWMO is committed to protecting its workers, drivers, and the public, and will apply the “As Low As Reasonably Achievable (ALARA) principle” in the design of the transportation system and during operations. This includes the proper use of shielding and dosimetry combined with the application of radiation control techniques and operating procedures. As part of the NWMO’s transportation planning process, additional dose studies will be conducted for workers (i.e., drivers, inspectors, emergency responders).

6.4 Used Fuel Quantities and Transport Frequency

The baseline used fuel inventory being used for the APM feasibility studies is 4.6 million fuel bundles (Garamszeghy, 2012). The distribution of the fuel bundles is provided in Table 6-2. Using the UFTP package, the NWMO Transport Program anticipates it will require about 24,000 truck trips over 38 years to move the inventory to the repository site.

The APM facility is designed to process approximately 120,000 used fuel bundles per year, which equates to receipt of approximately 620 UFTPs per year. However, the total number of shipments will depend on the chosen transport mode. For instance, a tractor-trailer can transport one 35-tonne UFTP at a time; whereas, rail shipments may contain multiple UFTPs in a single train.

Table 6-2: Estimated Used Fuel Quantities by Owner

Owner	Number of Used Fuel Bundles
Ontario Power Generation	4,026,000
Atomic Energy of Canada Limited	32,600
Hydro-Québec	268,000
New Brunswick Power	260,000
TOTAL (rounded)	4,600,000

6.5 Used Fuel Transportation Experience

Used nuclear fuel has been transported routinely in Canada since the 1960s, with over 500 used nuclear fuel shipments having been made to date (Stahmer, 2009). Since the closing of AECL's reactor at Rolphton, Ontario, the number of used fuel shipments has averaged between three and five shipments per year.

Used fuel shipments are common in other countries such as the United Kingdom, France, Germany, Sweden and the United States. Over the past 40 years, worldwide there have been more than 23,000 shipments of used fuel. Great Britain and France average 550 shipments per year, mainly by rail. In the United States, used fuel shipments take place mainly by road and total approximately 3,000 shipments to date. In Sweden, approximately 40 trips by water are made between the reactor sites and the central storage facility each year.

There have been no serious injuries, fatalities or environmental consequences attributable to the radioactive nature of the used nuclear fuel being transported since the establishment of the IAEA Transport Regulations over 50 years ago.

6.6 Transportation Operations

6.6.1 Responsibility

The NWMO will have overall responsibility for transportation of used nuclear fuel to the repository. This includes planning, licensing, training, safe operation, security, and tracking of all shipments. The NWMO will work with the CNSC, Transport Canada, the provinces and local agencies to ensure workers and first responders are adequately trained prior to commencing shipments. The NWMO will ensure that all transportation equipment, packages and transportation activities (whether for road and rail shipments) meet regulatory requirements.

The NWMO transportation process is planned to begin with loading the used fuel into the UFTP by the reactor owner. The NWMO will certify that the packages are loaded in accordance with CNSC and Transport Canada regulations. Upon dispatch, the vehicle and drivers will be subject to a safety inspection. The vehicle, UFTP and driver would also be inspected at the repository.

The driver of the vehicle will be responsible for cask safety during transport. The driver will ensure that all documentation, labelling and safety requirements have been met prior to departure and continue to be met en route. An escort travelling with the vehicle will be responsible for the physical security of the package and vehicle, communications, tracking, and monitoring of the locks and seals.

First response to radiological emergencies will be provided by trained first responders in accordance with the command and control process described in the Emergency Management Framework for Canada, local and provincial plans, and existing mutual aid agreements. The NWMO will provide an emergency response plan to the CNSC, Transport Canada and the provinces. The NWMO will co-ordinate its planning with the provinces and first responders along the designated routes to provide used fuel specific training and conduct exercises. It is anticipated that the existing agreements between nuclear facilities in Ontario, Manitoba, Quebec and New Brunswick will be expanded to accommodate the requirements of the NWMO shipments.

6.6.2 Communications

A NWMO central command centre will provide a single point of contact for all transportation-related communications. This allows quick access to shipment information and tracking, and would serve as a single point of contact for incident commanders, CNSC, and Transport Canada. Communications during a trip would be in accordance with a Transportation Security Plan, which will be approved by the CNSC.

The function of the transport command centre is expected to be roughly the same for all shipments, independent of mode. The centre will be responsible for tracking all shipments and normal vehicle communications, and in the event of a transport incident, it will be the primary contact for incident commanders. The transport command centre will notify local emergency response agencies for assistance, such as the local police, fire, and the emergency response teams. There will also be a return-to-normal operations and recovery plan to address those activities needed to return the shipment to normal operations and complete the trip to the repository.

6.6.3 Security

Security is focused on preventing diversion, physical damage or sabotage of the UFTP. Security will be multi-layered consisting of a combination of: intelligence gathering; engineered, deterrent and response measures to protect the UFTP; the use of information safeguards to protect shipment information; and multi-agency response agreements.

Security provisions during transportation will ensure that the used nuclear fuel will receive adequate physical protection against threats and will be in accordance with the requirements of the CNSC's *Nuclear Security Regulations* pursuant to the *Nuclear Safety and Control Act*. The CNSC Regulatory Guide G-208 "Transportation Security Plans for Category I, II or III Nuclear Material" (CNSC, 2003) will be used for guidance to establish and implement Transportation Security Plans.

6.6.4 Emergency Response Planning

Emergency response resources include local law enforcement, firefighting, first responders, medical triage, and leaders of affected communities. The NWMO will work with the CNSC, Transport Canada, the provinces and local responders to encourage co-operative emergency response planning, and to identify and address training and exercise needs.

The NWMO will work with the CNSC and local response agencies to co-ordinate planning and preparedness activities based on the CNSC's *HazMat Team Emergency Response Manual for Class 7 Transport Emergencies (INFO-0764, Rev. 2)* (CNSC, 2009) and Transport Canada's *Emergency Response Guidebook* (Transport Canada, 2012). Additionally, the NWMO will incorporate the current *Emergency Management Framework* (Public Safety Canada, 2011) guidance agreed to by Public Safety Canada, the provinces and local response agencies.

6.7 Transportation Logistics to Ear Falls, Ontario

Figure 6-2 presents a generalized description of the highway and railroad transport processes for used nuclear fuel from interim storage sites to an APM repository site. An APM repository site located near Ear Falls would be accessible by truck via existing roadways and a service road to the receiving facilities.

If rail is a preferred mode, an intermodal facility could be constructed in Vermilion Bay, with trucks used for the last stage of transport to a receiving facility at the repository. In the past, a spur line extended from Amesdale, near Dryden, through Ear Falls to Bruce Lake. The rails along this abandoned line have been removed.

Ear Falls straddles Highway 105 (see Figure 6-3) and is 98 kilometres north of Highway 17 (Trans-Canada Highway) and Vermilion Bay. Both cross-continental railroads – Canadian Pacific (CP) and Canadian National (CN) – pass through or near Vermilion Bay. Both road and rail systems are maintained to the highest standards and are important to the interprovincial movement of goods and services.

All Road Mode

Mostly Rail Mode




Figure 6-2: Example Transport Processes for Used Nuclear Fuel



Figure 6-3: Highways 105 and 657 in Ear Falls, Ontario

6.7.1 Existing Transport Infrastructure

Travel distances from the interim storage sites to a repository site near Ear Falls, Ontario, are summarized by mode of transportation in Table 6-3.

Table 6-3: Transport Summary From Interim Storage Sites to Ear Falls, Ontario

Transport Scenario	Transport Mode	Number of Shipments	Return Distance (Kilometres)
All Road	Road	24,000	96,260,000
Mostly Rail	Road	35,800	6,602,000
	Rail	2,400	8,900,000

6.7.2 Road Transport From Interim Storage to a Repository

The shortest transport routes and associated distances for road transport are provided in Table 6-4. In general terms, the road system begins at the interim storage site and uses local roads to access the national highway system. The national highway system includes Highway 17 of the Trans-Canada Highway, which passes through Vermilion Bay, south of Ear Falls. From Vermilion Bay, Highway 105 extends north to Ear Falls. As planned, an existing local access road would be used or a new road constructed to provide access from Highway 105 to the repository site.

In Step 3 of the APM Siting Process, the following transportation question is to be answered:

“Can a transportation route be identified or developed for the safe and secure transportation of used nuclear fuel to the site from the locations at which it is stored?”

To address this question, the following road transportation characteristics were considered:

1. Is there a continuous public road system connecting the interim storage facilities to the community capable of supporting an average of two heavy trucks per day for the duration of a 38-year transportation campaign?
 - a. Are there design, operating or structural deficiencies which would limit the use of a segment of the roadway system by heavy trucks (i.e., weight limits for bridges, narrow lanes, etc.)? If so, is there a transportation improvement program in place to address those deficiencies?
 - b. Are there two or more serviceable routes providing access from the interim storage facilities to the community? (Required by the *Nuclear Safety and Control Act*.) If not, is one planned?
 - c. Are there travel limitations regarding the use of the roadway by heavy trucks due to reoccurring weather or seasonal conditions?
2. Are there emergency response resources for those roadways providing access from the Canadian national roadways to the community, and what are their capacities?

Table 6-4: All Road Transport From Interim Storage Sites to Ear Falls, Ontario

Interim Storage Site	Distance Site to Repository (Kilometres)	Number of Shipments	Return Distance (Kilometres)
1 – Whiteshell	360	2	1,400
2 – Bruce	1,930	10,220	39,450,000
3 – Pickering	1,880	4,150	15,600,000
4 – Darlington	1,920	6,720	25,800,000
5 – Chalk River	1,750	30	105,000
6 – Gentilly	2,200	1,500	6,600,000
7 – Point Lepreau	3,000	1,450	8,700,000
Totals (rounded)		24,000	96,260,000

In this assessment, transportation distances are determined by the shortest routes between the interim storage sites and the repository. Preferred routes will be determined by the NWMO in co-operation with local communities and those communities located along the transportation corridor.

Truck access from the interim storage sites to Ear Falls, Ontario, can be accomplished entirely by using existing roadways. Based on the Ontario Ministry of Transportation records, there are no significant impediments to travel between the interim storage sites and the Ear Falls area. The average daily travel (vehicle) count for the Vermilion Bay segment of Highway 17 is 3,300 vehicles per day, and the Ear Falls segment of Highway 105 is 1,050 vehicles per day (MTO, 2009). Two trucks a day more to the existing traffic count would be a small addition (less than 0.5 per cent).

The Ontario Ministry of Northern Development and Mines Northern Highways Program (MNDM, 2011) includes the twinning of sections of Highway 17 between Nipigon and Thunder Bay, highway resurfacing including Highway 105 north of Ear Falls, and rehabilitation of bridges.

The local road system within Ear Falls supports the current residential uses and in general is not built to support large trucks on a routine basis. Therefore, local road upgrades and/or an access road may be required to service a potential repository site.

Ear Falls is accessible via an alternative route through Kenora, although it involves additional mileage. The conditions and load limits on this route require further investigation to establish whether or not the route is viable for used fuel transport.

Emergency response resources are provided by the Ear Falls Volunteer Fire Department, the Northwest Emergency Medical Services (EMS)/Kenora District Services Board, and the Ontario Provincial Police. The Fire Department is a member of the Kenora District Mutual Fire Aid Program, providing help to other communities in emergencies, as far away as Dryden, Kenora, and Sioux Narrows. The Ear Falls Community Health Centre provides a basic level of health-care services. The nearest full service hospital is the Red Lake Margaret Cochenour Memorial Hospital, located 70 kilometres north of Ear Falls in Red Lake.

6.7.3 Railroad Transport From Interim Storage to a Repository

In answering the question, “Can a transportation route be identified or developed for the safe and secure transportation of used nuclear fuel to the site from the locations at which it is stored?”, the following rail transportation characteristics were considered:

Is there a continuous rail system connecting the interim storage facilities to the community capable of supporting an average of one 24- to 28-car train per week for the duration of a long-term shipping campaign?

1. Are there design, operating or structural deficiencies which would limit the use of a segment of the railway system by heavy trains (i.e., weight limits for bridges, track condition, sharp curves, steep grades, etc.)? If so, is there a plan in place to address these deficiencies?
2. Are there two or more serviceable routes providing access from the interim storage facilities to the community? (Required by the CNSC for security reasons.) If not, is one planned?
3. Is there an operating intermodal facility near the interim sites or the community? If not, could one be developed?
4. Are there travel limitations regarding the use of the railway consisting of heavy cars due to reoccurring weather or seasonal conditions?

Both Canadian National (CN) and Canadian Pacific (CP) railways operate trans-Canada mainlines that run through or near Vermilion Bay. The shortest transport routes and associated distances for mostly rail mode transport are provided in Table 6-5.

The NWMO’s rail transportation requirement would be equivalent to one train per week with 10 to 12 UFTPs (an estimated total car count of between 24 and 28 cars (with buffer cars), 2 power units and a security car). Canadian railroads have endorsed the Association of American Railroads’ OT-55 Recommended Railroad Operating Practices for Transportation of Hazardous Materials (AAR, 2013; AAR, 2009); therefore, the used fuel trains could be operated as key trains, with an 80 kilometres per hour speed limit and special operating procedures.

To address the need for alternative routing, either of the trans-Canada rail lines can be used for transport to Vermilion Bay and transfer the transportation packages to trucks for the trip to a repository.

Table 6-5: Mostly Rail Transport From Interim Storage Sites to Ear Falls, Ontario

Interim Storage Site	Distance Site to Repository (Kilometres)	Number of Shipments	Return Distance (Kilometres)
1 – Whiteshell	360^a	2	1,400
2 – Bruce	80^b	10,220	1,635,000
	1,830	1020	1,635,000
3 – Pickering	1,640	420	3,733,000
4 – Darlington	1,670	670	2,238,000
5 – Chalk River	120^c	30	7,200
	1,420	3	8,500
6 – Gentilly	2,240	150	672,000
7 – Point Lepreau	50^d	1450	145,000
	2,900	150	870,000
Vermilion Bay	100^e	24,070	4,814,000
Totals (rounded)	Road	35,800	6,602,000
	Rail	2400	8,900,000
Notes: ^a Road mode from Whiteshell to repository site near Ear Falls ^b Road mode from Bruce to railhead near Goderich ^c Road mode from Chalk River to railhead near Mattawa ^d Road mode from Point Lepreau to railhead near Saint John ^e Road mode from Vermilion Bay to repository site near Ear Falls Bold text indicates road mode transportation; rail mode transportation is shown in plain text.			

6.7.4 Weather

There are no vehicle weight restrictions on Highway 17 or Highway 105 during the spring thaw months. Similarly, no weather or seasonal restrictions were identified for rail transport to Ear Falls, Ontario.

6.7.5 Carbon Footprint

Carbon footprint is a representation of the impact transportation has on the environment. Greenhouse gas emissions produced by the transport of used fuel from the interim storage facilities to the repository site have been calculated for both the all road and mostly rail transport scenarios.

All road transport of 4.6 million fuel bundles from the interim storage sites to an APM facility near Ear Falls, Ontario, would produce approximately 3,010 tonnes of equivalent carbon dioxide emissions per year. Over the 38-year operating period of the APM facility, the all road transport of used fuel would produce approximately 115,000 tonnes of equivalent carbon dioxide emissions.

Transport by mostly rail mode would produce approximately 1,590 tonnes of equivalent carbon dioxide emissions per year.

In comparison, an average car produces approximately 5.1 tonnes of equivalent carbon dioxide emissions per year. Emissions from intermodal handling activities are assumed to contribute about 2 per cent of total emissions.

6.7.6 Conventional Accidents

It is important when discussing safe transportation to make a distinction between radiological incidents and traffic accidents. Incidents are controlled through the design of the transportation package and execution of operating procedures. Based on international experience, the design of the container, coupled with rigorous operating procedures, is sufficient to prevent any incident from occurring. Conventional traffic accidents are random and unexpected. Therefore, they are considered as part of the planning process and quantified using statistical analyses based on the distance travelled.

In 2009, the Ontario Ministry of Transportation reported a traffic accident rate of 1.7 collisions per 1 million kilometres travelled for Ontario (MTO, 2009), one of the lowest rates in North America. Accident frequency is proportional to the distance travelled. Using a return distance of 96.3 million kilometres, about 164 road collisions have been estimated over the 38-year operating period of the APM facility.

6.7.7 Transportation Costs to Ear Falls, Ontario

This section considers the used nuclear fuel transportation logistics from the existing interim storage sites to a hypothetical APM repository site located near Ear Falls, Ontario, to estimate transportation costs. Existing surface mode transport infrastructure and transport distances from the interim used fuel storage sites to Ear Falls by road mode thereof for a baseline used fuel inventory of 4.6 million bundles are examined.

A summary of the transport costs (based on the APM repository design and cost estimate prepared for financial planning purposes) from the interim used fuel storage sites to a hypothetical APM repository site located near Ear Falls, Ontario, for road and rail mode of transport is provided in Table 6-6. The cost of transporting used nuclear fuel from the seven interim storage sites to Ear Falls is projected at \$1.32 billion over the 38-year campaign (in constant 2010 \$). The variance is \$240 million over the reference case estimate, or 22 per cent higher.

Table 6-6: Used Fuel Transportation Program Costs – 4.6 Million Bundles

Total Cost	Transportation to Ear Falls	Variance to Reference Case	
Package Loading and Transportation	\$1,320,000,000	\$240,000,000	22%
Cost Breakdown			
Route and System Development	\$19,000,000	\$0	0%
Safety Assessment	\$5,290,000	\$0	0%
Capital Equipment and Facilities	\$395,000,000	\$68,300,000	21%
Operations	\$715,000,000	\$161,000,000	29%
Environmental Management	\$8,400,000	\$0	0%
Decommissioning	\$53,500,000	\$10,800,000	25%
Program Management	\$127,000,000	\$0	0%
Note: ^a All costs are rounded to three significant digits			

6.8 Transportation Findings

This transportation assessment includes two major components: a description of regulatory oversight, including how the requirements are being met by the NWMO transportation program; and a desktop analysis of transportation logistics assuming available transport infrastructure. If the APM Project were to be located in the Ear Falls area, the repository would be accessible by truck and railroad using existing roadways and railways. It is assumed that the necessary connecting road, railway and intermodal infrastructure would be constructed, thereby providing access from existing storage sites to the repository. Improvements to the transportation and intermodal infrastructure would be reviewed in detail in Phase 2 studies, should the community continue in the site selection process.

Ear Falls is located on Highway 105, 98 kilometres north of Highway 17, one of two transcontinental highways servicing Canada. Highway 105 is a provincial highway and is maintained as an all weather regional route serving Ear Falls, Red Lake and several mines in the area. In 2012, the Ontario Ministry of Transportation completed a major safety improvement and resurfacing project for the segment between Ear Falls and Red Lake.

The roadway between the Junction with Highway 17 and Ear Falls is planned for a safety upgrade and resurfacing as part of the investment strategy. With these improvements, the highway system would have the capacity to support the NWMO's repository construction, operation and closure needs. If these improvements are not funded, the upgrades and resurfacing would be moderate in terms of cost and effort.

Ear Falls currently does not have rail service. The railroad right-of-way was abandoned starting at Canadian Pacific Railway's transcontinental mainline at Vermillion Bay to Ear Falls, and the track, signals and switches were salvaged out. The right-of-way remains, as does the track bed and drainage structures. EACON, a wood products company, and Northern Iron have approached the NWMO expressing interest in rebuilding the tracks to provide service to their facilities. The rail switch site at Vermillion Bay offers the land and track access for the construction of an intermodal transfer facility or a local service line to Ear Falls. The cost of

returning service would be in the moderate category, and there is the opportunity to negotiate a cost-sharing agreement with other businesses in the region.

The transport of used fuel is a highly regulated activity. The NWMO's transportation program is being developed to meet all aspects of the regulations, including packaging, radiological, security, emergency response and conventional vehicle safety requirements.

Beyond Safety – Potential to Foster Community Well-Being With the implementation of the Project Now and in the Future

As discussed in the previous chapters, any site that is selected to host the Adaptive Phased Management (APM) Project must be demonstrated to be able to safely contain and isolate used nuclear fuel, protecting humans and the environment over the very long term. The preferred site will need to address scientific and technical siting factors that acknowledge precaution and ensure protection for present and future generations. The previous chapters have explored, in a preliminary way, the potential to meet the safety-related requirements of the project. These requirements are fundamental, and no siting decision will be made that compromises safety.

Once confidence is established that safety requirements can be met, the potential for the project to help foster the well-being, or quality of life, of the local community and area in which it is implemented becomes an important consideration. At this stage of study, Preliminary Assessments in this area are designed to explore the potential for the project to align with the vision and objectives of the community, and potential to help the community to advance to the future it has set out for itself. It is understood that this project may not align with the vision and objectives of all communities. For this reason, Preliminary Assessment in this area is an important input to the siting decision. The ability of the community to benefit from the project, and the resources that would be required from the NWMO to support the community in achieving this benefit, would be a consideration in the selection of a site after all safety considerations have been satisfied. The project will only be implemented in an area in which well-being will be fostered.

The project offers significant employment and income to a community and surrounding area, including the opportunity for the creation of transferable skills and capacities. However, with a project of this size and nature, there is the potential to contribute to social and economic pressures that must be carefully managed to ensure the long-term health and sustainability of the community and area. Surrounding communities and Aboriginal peoples in the area will need to be involved in decision-making. The project can only be implemented with the involvement of the interested community, surrounding communities and Aboriginal peoples working together in partnership to implement the project.

Preliminary Assessments at this phase of work focus on the potential to foster well-being through the project in the community that has expressed interest and entered the site selection process. Should the community be selected to proceed to more detailed studies, the next phase of work will begin to explore the potential for the project to also align with the vision and objectives of surrounding communities and of the Aboriginal peoples in the area, as well as their interest in implementing the project together.

The NWMO will continue to look to Aboriginal peoples as practitioners of Traditional Knowledge to help, to the extent they wish, to guide the decisions involved in site selection, and ensure that the factors and approaches used to assess the potential to contribute to the well-being of the community, surrounding area and Aboriginal peoples appropriately interweave Traditional Knowledge throughout the process.

Learning to date from preliminary studies, and engagement with the community, is summarized in the chapter that follows.

7. PRELIMINARY SOCIAL, ECONOMIC AND CULTURAL ASSESSMENT

7.1 Approach to Assessment

This chapter provides a preliminary overview of the potential for the project to foster the well-being of the Township of Ear Falls, Ontario. More detailed information can be found in the Ear Falls Community Profile (SENES, 2013a) and Community Well-Being Assessment report (SENES, 2013b). The overview uses a community well-being framework to understand and assess how the Adaptive Phased Management (APM) Project may affect the social, economic and/or cultural life of Ear Falls. It also discusses the relative fit of the APM Project for the community and the potential to create the foundation of confidence and support required for the implementation of the project.

A number of factors were identified as minimum criteria to consider in the multi-year process of study to assess the potential to foster well-being (NWMO, 2010a).

- Potential social, economic and cultural effects during the implementation phase of the project.
- Potential for enhancement of the community's and the region's long-term sustainability through implementation of the project.
- Potential to avoid ecologically sensitive areas and locally significant features.
- Potential for physical and social infrastructure to adapt to changes resulting from the project.
- Potential to avoid or minimize effects of the transportation of used nuclear fuel from existing storage facilities to the repository site.

Factors identified by Aboriginal Traditional Knowledge will help inform this assessment.

In order to ensure that a broad, inclusive and holistic approach is taken to assessment in these areas, a community well-being framework was identified to help understand and assess the potential effects of the APM Project. This framework was used to help explore the project, understand how communities and the surrounding area may be affected should the project be implemented in the area, and identify opportunities to leverage the project to achieve other objectives important to people in the area.

The framework encourages exploration of the project through five different "lenses."

- **People or Human Assets** – How might the implementation of the project affect people?
- **Economics or Economic Assets** – How might the implementation of the project affect economic activity and financial health of the area?
- **Infrastructure or Physical Assets** – How might the implementation of the project affect infrastructure and the physical structures that the community has established?
- **Society and Culture or Social Assets** – How might the implementation of the project affect the sense of belonging within the community and among residents, and the services and network of activities created to serve the needs of community members?
- **Natural Environment or Natural Assets** – How might the implementation of the project affect the natural environment and the community's relationship with it?

In Phase 1 of this assessment, which is the focus of this report, the intent is to explore the potential to foster the well-being of the community, and for this reason, the subset of factors and considerations related to the community are addressed at this time. Regional considerations are noted where early insight is available; however, more detailed work would be conducted in Phase 2 should the community be selected to proceed to this phase of work.

Throughout the discussion, there are references to “the surrounding area.” For the purpose of this discussion, the surrounding area is roughly defined by the interrelationships with the nearby communities and patterns of activity by community members (such as shopping, leisure and other economic activities) as currently understood. Input to understanding the surrounding area was received through discussions and meetings with community members and would be refined through further engagement in subsequent phases of work.

7.1.1 Activities to Explore Community Well-Being

Dialogue with interested communities and those in the surrounding area was used to begin to identify and reflect upon the broad range of effects that the implementation of the project may bring. In concert with the community, the NWMO worked to develop an understanding of the community today, and its goals and aspirations for the future. To this end, information has been assembled and studied through a variety of means, including strategic planning activities, engagement activities, community visits and tours, briefings, one-on-one discussions, consultant observations, Community Liaison Committee meetings, open houses, and the development of a community profile.

7.1.2 Assumptions of the APM Project – Drivers of Community Well-Being

The APM Project is currently still in the early stages of design, and for this reason, there remains flexibility in the nature and scope of its implementation. This provides an opportunity for the project to be structured and operated in a manner that suits the conditions and aspirations of the community and surrounding area. However, it is important at this early stage of the preliminary assessment to understand the potential implications of the project on the community and its surrounds. This requires some basic assumptions about the project and initial effects. The starting assumptions for this preliminary assessment include the following:

1. The on-site labour workforce required by the APM Project is in the range of 400 to 1,200 jobs, and further jobs (indirect and induced) and community wealth creation will result from project spending for goods and services and employee income spending (NWMO, 2012). The following table summarizes the estimated number of direct, on-site jobs throughout the life of the APM Project, which spans over 150 years.

Table 7-1: On-Site Workforce

APM Phase	Number of Years (Approx.)	Direct Jobs per Year (Approx.)	Primary Skills Required
Construction	10	400–1,200	Mining, engineering, geoscience, safety assessment, manufacturing, construction, trades, project management, social science, engagement, communication, transportation
Operation	30 or more	700–800	Mining, engineering, geoscience, safety assessment, manufacturing, trades support, project management, social science, engagement, transportation
Extended Monitoring	50 or more	100–150	Geoscience, safety assessment, mining
Decommissioning and Closure	30	200–300	Mining, construction, trades, geoscience, safety assessment, regulatory affairs
Long-Term Monitoring	100 or more	25–50	Environmental, health and safety monitoring

2. Realization of employment benefits within a community will depend on a variety of factors such as:
 - a. Preference for local hiring and sourcing from local businesses;
 - b. Training of local residents for positions in the project or in supporting services; and
 - c. Planning to prepare for and leverage future opportunities.

The project will be implemented through a long-term partnership involving the community, neighbouring communities and Aboriginal peoples in the area, and the NWMO. Only through engagement, dialogue and collaboration will the NWMO ensure that needs are addressed at each stage of the process, and determine the specifics of how a partnership arrangement would work. For illustration purposes only, employment opportunities could be in the order of hundreds of new jobs (direct, indirect and induced) within the local area (AECOM, 2010). However, it will be up to the community to determine the nature and scope of how it wishes to grow in discussions with the NWMO.

3. The NWMO is committed to working with communities and those in the surrounding area to optimize the benefits that will positively contribute to the overall well-being of the surrounding area.

The following figure provides a graphical representation of the direct and indirect effects that may result from the siting of the APM Project. The figure illustrates how the project could be the impetus for growth in population, business activity and municipal finances.




Figure 7-1: Direct and Indirect Effects From the Project

7.2 Community Well-Being Assessment – Implications of the APM Project for Ear Falls

The potential effect of the project, should it be implemented in the Ear Falls area, on the people, economics, infrastructure, social assets and natural environment of Ear Falls is discussed below. The discussion starts with an overview of the aspirations and values of Ear Falls, as the NWMO has come to understand them. This understanding of well-being from the community's perspective is the starting point for the assessment and informs the discussion throughout.

7.2.1 Community Aspirations and Values

The Township of Ear Falls has expressed values, aspirations and desires for its community. These have been documented in the Community Profile (SENES, 2013a) and various other community reports, including a strategic plan. Key themes are summarized below. The preliminary assessment, which is the topic of this chapter, is measured against these values and aspirations.

1. The community has expressed a desire to grow in terms of population, business activity, employment, and career opportunities. Discussions with community leaders and residents suggest an ideal population size of 2,000 to 2,500, with many recognizing the existing infrastructure can service up to 5,000.
2. The community desires more local retail opportunities, which come with a larger population.

3. The community desires a diversified and sustainable economy that leverages natural resources and safeguards the environment.
4. The community aspires to reverse the trend of out-migration of youth and skilled labour. Discussions with community leaders and residents suggest a variety of employment opportunities would bring back and retain youth in the community.

These values and aspirations are in line with the Township of Ear Falls Strategic Plan 2011 (Meridian, 2011), which states its vision as follows:

“[To] encourage and support the growth of Ear Falls in a manner that will result in a sustainable, complete community while maintaining that small town community character that makes the Township a desirable place to live, work and play”

The three high-priority actions identified in the Strategic Plan are:

- Engage in further studies regarding nuclear waste management;
- Continue to improve communication between the staff, Council and the public; and
- Plan for a community of 5,000 people.

The following sections describe the implications of the APM Project for each of the community well-being “lenses” or asset categories.

7.2.2 Implications for Human Assets

Ear Falls has experienced a decline in population since the mid-1980s. This has also been the trend in most small communities in northern Ontario. Many of these towns have historically been dependent on resource-based industries and have all been susceptible to “boom-bust” cycles. Migration has occurred from northern communities to other centres that offer more diverse and reliable employment.

Ear Falls is no exception to this trend. Since the mid-1980s, the population has declined by approximately 50 per cent. More recently, the population has declined by approximately 11 per cent since 1996, led by young adults and families seeking employment opportunities elsewhere. The loss of youth and an aging population has resulted in the downsizing of the workforce.

Population decline is a primary issue of concern for the community. Ear Falls has expressed a desire to at least double its current population to over 2,000, by attracting former and new residents to the community, while retaining its current population.

Education levels have increased over time, indicating that despite a falling population, a large number of residents are completing secondary level education, and more people are attaining a higher level of education. The closest secondary school is the Red Lake District High School, located 70 kilometres north of Ear Falls in Red Lake. The elementary school, located in the community, is currently at capacity.

With respect to health and safety facilities and services, the community appears to have adapted well with the level of services available to them. Some health care is immediately available in the community, with other needs being met elsewhere. There are a number of rotating allied health professionals and one full-time resident doctor to service primary health-care needs in Ear Falls. More specialized medical services, including the hospital that offers a

range of emergency services, are available in Red Lake. Emergency response services in Ear Falls currently meet the needs of the community, and residents generally feel safe and secure in their community.

The APM Project has the potential to have a positive effect on the Human Assets of Ear Falls. The project will bring a large number of direct, indirect and induced jobs. These jobs are the backbone of population growth. The APM Project is a long-term project, with a much longer lifespan than resource-based developments typical of northern Ontario. It has the potential to be a key driver for population retention and growth. While it is expected that some people involved in the construction and operations of the project will choose to reside in other (larger) communities, past economic modelling suggests that hundreds of jobs could be held by persons residing in Ear Falls (AECOM, 2010). These new jobs will bring spouses, partners, and families, and so it can be expected that the population in Ear Falls could significantly increase. The increased population will be a major boost to Ear Falls, and a catalyst for spinoff growth and development, which is the priority aspiration for the town at the present time.

Skills and labour supply will likely diversify and expand with the increased population and as a result of the on-site and in-community job opportunities. The new local employment opportunities will also curtail Ear Falls' growing dependence on Red Lake mining operations. Indirect and induced jobs will also create opportunities for skills diversification and attract new residents with different levels of expertise. The APM Project will also attract a suite of highly educated workers, some of which will choose to reside in town or in neighboring communities. The APM Project will provide opportunities for skilled workers and ongoing training, as well as opportunities for future generations to pursue education paths consistent with those career opportunities associated with the APM Project. There are major positive educational benefits from the APM Project, as a result of increased population which is anticipated to drive increased enrolment and expansion of educational programming opportunities and potential partnerships with post-secondary institutions. It is further anticipated that the APM site itself will be an international Centre of Expertise and thus attract people from around the world.

While the APM Project and the associated population increase will place increased demand on existing health and safety facilities and services, there is further potential to expand and improve the existing levels of service by attracting new specialized health-care professionals to the area. The latter would provide the resources to better service and support all age groups in the community. Proper planning would need to take place to ensure that potential increased social issues (generally associated with any large project and associated growing population) are mitigated and that all age groups in the community are supported.

In summary, it can be expected that the APM Project would bring positive net benefits to the Human Assets of Ear Falls should the project be implemented in the area. It would help the town realize its aspirations and goals, and it would drive development and expansion in other aspects of its community well-being.

7.2.3 Implications for Economic Assets

Over the last decade, Ear Falls has experienced a number of local business closures and downsizings as a result of major job losses in resource sectors. The recent economic downturn in 2008 and 2009 resulted in the closure of the Ear Falls Sawmill and associated woodland operations, as well as a decrease in the number of tourists visiting from the United States. More recently, expansions at the gold mine in Red Lake have caused a considerable reduction in the unemployment rate.

For some, the lack of local employment opportunities has triggered out-migration of population and households as people look for jobs elsewhere. This is acutely felt by young people looking to enter the workforce, and as a result, out-migration is high within this demographic as they look to start their careers in other locations. The prospect of their return to the community is slim unless there is local and sustained job growth. This loss of population in general has dampened local expenditures for household goods and services. It also resulted in a decline in activity for local community retail and service businesses.

Household incomes remain high, despite the lack of in-community job opportunities. There are a number of residents who are employed in Red Lake, and there is another contingent that works beyond the community in remote fly-in mining and forestry operations in northern Ontario, Manitoba and Alberta.

Ear Falls' tax base is dependent on residential assessment and is sufficient to cover operating expenditures, but it is strained to cover the added costs associated with long-term liabilities. The community has aspirations to grow the tax base, but without industrial and/or commercial investment and jobs, this will be difficult. Ear Falls has sufficient water, sewage and power infrastructure capacity to enable community expansion well beyond its current size. This unused capacity can also be used to attract new industry to the community.

Positioning the Township for growth is a central focus of Ear Falls' strategic plan. This sentiment was also expressed repeatedly during discussions with community residents and leaders. In addition to the pursuit of business, the community also acknowledges that it needs to make youth retention a priority, ensure that serviced residential lots are available, and ensure the provision of adequate training and educational opportunities. The Township also seeks to ensure that it can remain financially sustainable over the long term.

Tourism has long been a part of the local economic fabric, and while there are a number of tourism businesses in and around the community, they too face challenges of their own. The weak American economy has reduced their market, and further shrinkage has occurred as the age profile within this market has climbed to the point where long-time patrons are no longer able to travel. Further pressures face the industry as younger age groups want a different tourism product, and they are willing to look further afield to acquire it. Tourism outfitters operating many of the fly-in/out camps have minimal interaction with local businesses and the community generally. This has created some tension between the community and the operators. It is understood that more needs to be done to attract tourism dollars into the community. From an economic development perspective, tourism is seen as an important industry sector going forward, but there is a realization that tourism by itself is not sufficient to stabilize and grow the economic fortunes of the community.

Should the APM Project locate in Ear Falls, the net economic effects will be positive. A key attribute is the direct and indirect job creation and career opportunities it will bring to the community. Further induced employment will also occur in the community as a result of income spending by direct and indirect workers. The presence of long-term, well-paying job opportunities will change the economic complexion of the community. Out-migration will slow as residents will be able to find work locally. In-migration will occur as Ear Falls will become an employment centre. Residents with jobs and money means household incomes will climb, as will household expenditures. More households and greater expenditures open up market opportunities for local businesses to service the expanding needs of a growing and more affluent population. These conditions will reverse the decline in existing businesses and also

bring new business into the community, thereby adding to the vitality and diversity of the local retail service fabric. This helps to address a key platform of the community's aspirations.

The economic buoyancy created among residents and local businesses will have positive implications for municipal finance. Although operating costs and capital requirements will rise given growth in the community and the associated increase in demand for services, careful financial management should ensure that short- and long-term costs can be better covered by a stronger revenue base.

Economic development in an expanding community is a much easier task than it is in one that is declining. The APM Project is of a scope, scale and longevity that businesses will be attracted to the community to take advantage of the opportunities for the supply of goods and services to the project itself and the population it has brought into the community. Were the APM Project to be located in the area, Ear Falls will need to be proactive in looking at where new businesses can locate and the services that they will require for long-term operation.

The effect of the APM Project on tourism has some potential to be negative. There are concerns among camp operators that the project may influence the saleability of their businesses. Additionally, in the short term, initial concerns about safety of the facility and potential impact on the environment may make the area less attractive to clientele that have historically frequented the area, until a greater understanding of the project has developed among them.

Conversely, the population that migrates to the community to take advantage of jobs and the visitors who come to the community to study the facility may present a whole new market for local tourism operators, although this was not widely expressed by tour operators in the area. Given concerns in the tourism sector around the potential for negative perceptions due to the project, there is a need for collaboration between community and industry representatives and government officials to address concerns and explore opportunities for project-related business.

Relative to current circumstances, the APM Project appears to present Ear Falls with the potential for economic growth; however, growth would need to be carefully planned for and managed if the community is to realize its full potential. The community would need support to ensure that it is "project ready." In order for Ear Falls to optimize project benefits, it would be helpful to support education and training of the labour force, municipality, and residents, and provide advice to businesses on project opportunities.

7.2.4 Implications for Infrastructure

The ebb and flow of economic conditions in Ear Falls over the last few decades have had an effect on the community's Physical Assets. During the time of economic prosperity in the 1980s, the housing stock in the community grew to accommodate an influx of workers and new households. As economic fortunes however declined, people left the community and their houses were put up for sale. Many fell vacant and were taken over by the municipality. A large number of trailer homes were removed, and the area was redeveloped for municipal offices and services. In recent times, Goldcorp, the mining operation in Red Lake, built 10 houses in Ear Falls for its employees. Some of these homes have not sold, however, due to their relatively high price compared to other available housing stock. At present, approximately 11 per cent of the existing housing stock in the community is for sale. There are also 50 serviced lots available for residential development.

Ear Falls has sufficient water and sewage treatment capacity to handle a community of 5,000 people and a landfill with a long remaining lifespan. Ear Falls is served by Highway 105, which crosses through the settlement area. Regional bus service for the community is provided by Caribou Coach. The Township has land and water facilities to support air traffic, but the land-based airport is currently unstaffed and will need to be upgraded to make it useable. Three float plane services are also available from Lac Seul.

During the Griffith mine era, Ear Falls was serviced by a rail spur off the main CPR line which is located approximately 90 kilometres south. When the mine closed, the Ear Falls rail line was removed and the land for the right-of-way was sold. Currently, the plans to redevelop the Griffith mine are on hold. Should plans proceed, this may include rebuilding the rail line. Additional information on transportation infrastructure is provided in Chapter 5.

The APM Project has the potential to create net positive benefits on the Physical Assets of Ear Falls. The APM Project would see the in-migration of individuals and families who would absorb the available housing stock. It would also create a demand for a mix of housing types and tenure arrangements to further accommodate the rental and ownership needs of individuals and families. The absorption of new and existing homes will reinforce property prices, increase tax rolls and remove properties from tax arrears.

Although there is a strong upside for housing related to the APM Project, there is also a potential downside that needs to be carefully managed. If demand strongly outstrips supply, price escalation will occur and the complement of affordable housing may be very low. A further note of caution with respect to housing is that supply limitations particularly during the construction phase may see a strong uptake of motel rooms by workers with the consequence that tourists and travellers might be displaced. Over the course of the undertaking, attention will need to be carefully focused on maintaining an equitable housing supply/demand balance, as well as protection of tourist/traveller accommodations and other related services, to prevent unwanted consequences in that industry.

The water and sewage treatment and landfill capacities available in the community can support growth that may occur as a result of the APM Project. These facilities have the capability to accommodate a population of 5,000. Further, the APM Project could provide impetus to reopen and upgrade the airport.

The community and the NWMO would need to work co-operatively to effectively plan, build, operate and manage each of the Physical Asset components should the project be implemented in the area.

7.2.5 Implications for Social Assets

Recreational facilities and programs are generally a major source of pride for communities in northern Ontario and serve as a social hub and focal point. They also provide opportunities to foster young talent in sports and recreation, and have other countless benefits for the social, physical and emotional well-being of the population. Ear Falls is no exception as the recreational facilities and programs are important to all age groups in the community and are integral to the well-being of its social fabric. Whispering Pines Senior Centre and the Legion are important focal points for programming for seniors and retired members of the community.

Despite the wide range of available facilities and programs, Ear Falls is currently facing reductions in participation due to declining population and decreasing funding. Youth sports,

particularly hockey and figure skating, are important activities to the local community and families. Reductions in these services have caused a shortened ice season, and this circumstance has prompted a number of youth to travel to Red Lake for hockey. As out-migration and population decline continue, participation rates will decrease, as will the tax base to support recreation facilities and programs. Based on community discussions, sustaining these facilities and programs is increasingly challenging.

The social services and organizations in the community also have a similar profile. They are a source of pride and provide a range of services to Ear Falls. They involve a number of volunteers and serve to bolster the well-being of the community. As with the recreational facilities and programs, it can be expected that with declining participation, sustaining the human resources needed to operate social services and organizations will be difficult.

Residents have a strong sense of pride in Ear Falls' history, community and natural environment. The community is hopeful about its future in the face of a declining population. It is actively pursuing economic ventures to help boost its economy and grow its population. Work conducted by the community to date to explore the potential to reopen the Griffith Mine is a case in point. The Ear Falls' community character also seems to be changing as it evolves into a "bedroom" community with a significant portion of its workforce outworking in Red Lake and elsewhere.

Irrespective of the above, there are members of the community particularly among tourism camp operators who do not have aspirations to see the community grow and dramatically change its ways and means. They have built their businesses around the aura of wilderness, hunting and fishing. Among these interests, there is concern and uncertainty about how the APM Project could affect them.

The APM Project could have positive benefit on the Social Assets of Ear Falls if it were to be implemented in the area. With respect to community recreational facilities and programs and also social services and organizations, the increased population associated with the APM Project would be expected to increase demand on these resources. However, this increased population would also be expected to heighten participation rates and create a larger base of human resources for volunteers. Increased funding and participation would allow Ear Falls to upgrade and expand its recreational and social programs. Based on discussions with the community, many believe the project would have a positive influence on the dynamics of the community, by providing a more stable population base through the retention of younger families and youth, and by providing an ability to support its adult and senior populations. Counter to this, however, there is concern among a segment of those interviewed that the APM Project may not be in the best interests of the community. Based on these countervailing views, the overall effect of the APM Project on the Social Assets of the community is somewhat uncertain at this time.

7.2.6 Implications for Natural Environment

In the heart of Ontario's "sunset country," the natural environment is highly valued and strongly contributes to the sense of place and quality of life for the community of Ear Falls. Additionally, the tourism industry is strongly associated with the wilderness qualities of the environment.

There are two provincial parks and two conservation reserves in the Ear Falls area. Pakwash Provincial Park offers visitors regular facilities; in particular, the 1.5-kilometre stretch of sand beach is a very popular park destination. Visitation to this park has been increasing in recent years, attracting local, regional and international visitors.

A number of natural areas and features of significance are also present in the Ear Falls area; these provide both active and passive recreational opportunities for locals and visitors. A feature unique to Ear Falls is that it hosts the largest returning nesting population of bald eagles in North America (SENES, 2013a). The high potential for historical sites along the English River and tributaries in the Ear Falls area adds to its mystique. Ear Falls is also reported to be one of the best fishing and hunting areas in Ontario.

As outlined in Chapter 5, initial studies on the potential environmental effects associated with the project suggest that the APM Project is unlikely to have any significant negative effect on the natural environment, including the parks and protected areas near the community, taking into account the mitigation that will be applied. In principle, there is the potential that visitation to the Pakwash Provincial Park may experience some decline with the presence of the facility as some might be less likely to visit the area. However, there are many examples of Provincial Parks that are situated close to or nearby nuclear facilities (e.g., Darlington, MacGregor Point and Inverhuron Provincial Parks) where visitation has not been affected. It is expected that through working with park managers and clearly communicating with the public, negative perceptions can be mitigated, and project activity may even be leveraged to take advantage of increased population growth and visitation associated with the project. Further study is required to better understand and predict the potential effects of the project on visitor perception and use of the area.

As would be the case with any large project, natural areas might be affected during the various phases of the project. As outlined in Chapter 5, effective mitigation and environmental protection measures will ensure that the overall environmental integrity of the area is maintained. It is understood at this point in time that no significant environmental effects are likely during the construction, operation and decommissioning phases of the used fuel repository, taking into account the mitigation that will be applied.

The project contains some flexibility with respect to on-site building designs and energy use to be consistent with broad environmental and social values. For example, the ability to use renewable sources of electric power, where feasible, coupled with energy-efficient building designs might limit the overall carbon footprint of the project.











7.2.7 Summary of APM and its Implications for Ear Falls






Based on the foregoing discussion, the APM Project has the potential to be a fit for the community of Ear Falls. It has the potential to enable some aspirations of the community, and through this, foster well-being for a part of the community. Based on discussions with residents, it is understood that for some the APM Project could foster community well-being by providing economic growth, and stabilizing and growing the population.

Ear Falls is well-suited to take on additional growth. It has a desire to grow, and it has available housing stock, and a basic complement of municipal and transportation infrastructure, and educational facilities, though the elementary school is currently at capacity. Its residents are familiar with large resource-development projects and possess some of the skills that would be required for the implementation and operation of the APM Project.

It is recognized that some residents, including tourism operators, are very sensitive to the APM Project. There are concerns regarding negative market effects and the saleability of existing tourism businesses as a result of potential negative perceptions due to the project. Although there may be some positive implications for the tourism industry as a result of increased population and visitation, this was not raised during discussions with community residents.

Table 7-2: Overall Community Well-Being Implications

Criteria/Measures	CWB Is Enhanced When ...	Current Ear Falls Profile		Possible Ear Falls Profile With APM Project		Observations and Implications
OVERALL CWB IMPLICATIONS:						
Human	Population growth occurs, and youth are retained in the community.	Declining		Enhanced		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • APM Project would bring population growth, which is a key priority and aspiration for the community. • Youth would be retained through increased employment opportunities, and new residents would be attracted to the area. • Educational and health-care resources would be enhanced, and new facilities could be developed.
Economic	Employment opportunities are available, and tax base increases to fund community services and facilities.	Declining		Enhanced		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • There will be increased employment opportunities, and a more diverse range of jobs would be available. • Increased funding through a wider tax base would provide additional financial resources for Ear Falls to fund its infrastructure projects, educational developments, community and recreational facilities and programs, and social services and organizations. • Tourism camp operators in particular feel their businesses could be compromised through negative perceptions associated with the project. • Overall, the increase in jobs associated with the APM Project would be a catalyst for Ear Falls to enhance its community well-being.
Infrastructure	Infrastructure is maintained or improved to meet the needs of the community.	Stable		Enhanced		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The APM Project, while placing increased demands on the infrastructure and services, would also provide increased funding to improve and enhance existing services.
Social	Opportunities exist for recreation and social networking. Community is cohesive, and community character is enhanced.	Declining		Uncertain		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The community would see benefit to its Social Assets through increased participation and funding to its recreational facilities and programs, as well as its social services and organizations. • Interest in the project is positive for some residents. • For other residents, including tourism camp operators, the project may not be compatible with their goals and aspirations.
Natural Environment	Natural areas, parks and conservation reserves are preserved and maintained for use and enjoyment.	Positive		Environment – Integrity Maintained		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Some natural areas might be affected by the APM Project. • Effective mitigation and environmental protection measures will ensure that the overall environmental integrity of the area is maintained. • It is understood at this point in time that no significant environmental effects are likely during the construction, operation and decommissioning phases of the used fuel repository itself.

Legend	
Declining – Negative	
Neutral – Stable	
Environment – Integrity Maintained	
Increasing – Enhanced – Positive	
Uncertain	

7.3 Criteria to Assess Factors Beyond Safety – Summary in Ear Falls

The previous discussion has taken a holistic approach to the assessment, taking into account the aspirations of the community and the implications of the project for community well-being. The NWMO acknowledges that the process of assessment of community well-being needs to be collaborative and reflective of the community. Before initiating the siting process, and beginning to engage interested communities in the assessment process to understand their aspirations, the NWMO identified five evaluation factors which, at a minimum, would need to be addressed (NWMO, 2010a). Table 7-3 draws on information outlined in the previous discussion to understand the potential to foster well-being in Ear Falls against these original factors. The table summarizes preliminary findings about the implications of the APM Project, were it to be implemented in the community, on various factors of well-being. For many evaluation factors, four measures are used: maintained, enhanced, diminished, or uncertain. For other evaluation factors, two measures are used: yes, or no. The overall conclusion using these evaluation factors and the understanding that has emerged to date is consistent with that outlined in the previous sections.

Over the course of discussions and conversations, the community identified a number of other important areas for consideration. The community expressed a strong desire to better understand how to engage neighbouring communities, communities on transportation routes, and in particular, area Aboriginal communities. Ear Falls realizes that it would be essential to develop relationships with all the foregoing to support the implementation of the project.

Table 7-3: Summary Table of Criteria to Assess Factors Beyond Safety

Factors Beyond Safety	Evaluation Factors to Be Considered	Potential Effect of APM Project	Discussion Based on Preliminary Assessment
Potential social, economic and cultural effects during the implementation phase of the project, including factors identified by Aboriginal Traditional Knowledge	Health and safety of residents and the community	Maintained	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> There is a strong safety case as outlined in Chapter 5; however, the community needs to learn more about safety and health considerations in order to strengthen their confidence in the safety of the project.
	Sustainable built environments	Enhanced	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Community infrastructure and built fabric will be enhanced through project activities and investments in the community.
	Sustainable natural environments	Maintained	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Some natural areas might be affected by the APM Project. Effective mitigation and environmental protection measures will ensure that the overall environmental integrity of the area is maintained. It is understood at this point in time that no significant environmental effects are likely during the construction, operation and decommissioning phases of the used fuel repository itself.
	Local and regional economy and employment	Enhanced	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Significant employment and population growth would occur in Ear Falls and surrounding communities – hundreds of new jobs might be created in Ear Falls. With these jobs comes the potential to double the current population of Ear Falls. New opportunities would be created for local businesses to serve the project and growing population. Decreased dependence on Red Lake for employment and other services.
	Community administration and decision-making processes	Uncertain	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Some local leadership has demonstrated interest in the project while, for others, uncertainties remain as to suitability of the project for Ear Falls. Going forward, it is expected that local leadership will engage the community in decision-making with respect to the APM Project.
	Balanced growth and healthy, livable communities	Uncertain	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Ear Falls has aspirations to grow its population and economy as platforms for its strategic plan. The APM Project generally appears to fit with community goals and aspirations; however, for some members of the community, including tourism camp operators, the project may not be compatible with their wants and wishes.
Potential for enhancement of the community's and region's long-term sustainability through implementation of the project, including factors identified by Aboriginal Traditional Knowledge	Health and safety of residents and the community	Maintained	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> There is a strong safety case as outlined in Chapter 5; however, engagement of surrounding communities is at a preliminary stage, and further dialogue would be required to understand and address questions and concerns about safety and health related to the repository and transportation of used nuclear fuel.
	Sustainable built environments	Enhanced	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Infrastructure and built fabric will be enhanced through project activities and investments in the community.
	Sustainable natural environments	Maintained	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Some natural areas may be affected by the project. Further dialogue and effective mitigation and environmental protection measures would ensure that the overall environmental integrity of the area is maintained.
	Local and regional economy and employment	Enhanced	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Substantial employment and economic development opportunities would extend to the surrounding region.
	Community administration and decision-making processes	To Be Determined	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Engagement of surrounding communities is not yet initiated, and dialogue would be required to explore decision-making issues and capacities.
	Balanced growth and healthy, livable communities	To Be Determined	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Engagement of surrounding communities is not yet initiated, and dialogue would be required to explore decision-making issues and capacities.
Potential to avoid ecologically sensitive areas and locally significant features, including factors identified by Aboriginal Traditional Knowledge	Ability to avoid ecologically sensitive areas and locally significant features	Yes	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> As outlined in previous chapters of this report, the region contains suitable sites for the project, thus providing flexibility in selecting specific sites that can avoid ecologically sensitive areas and local significant features.

Factors Beyond Safety	Evaluation Factors to Be Considered	Potential Effect of APM Project	Discussion Based on Preliminary Assessment
Potential for physical and social infrastructure to adapt to changes resulting from the project	Potential for physical infrastructure to be adapted to implement the project	Yes	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> There are no major infrastructure limitations in Ear Falls or the surrounding region to impede project implementation. Ear Falls and the surrounding areas are highway accessible, and have social and economic support services and capacity to absorb the anticipated growth in population and economic activity. Some investments would be required to address identified specific infrastructure deficiencies.
	Potential for social infrastructure to be adapted to implement the project	Yes	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The community of Ear Falls appears to have the necessary core of social infrastructure in place to plan and adapt to changes resulting from the project.
	The NWMO resources required to put in place physical and social infrastructure needed to support the project	To Be Determined	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> In all likelihood, Ear Falls would require assistance in terms of planning, and human and financial resources. Further studies will be required to explore the specifics of these requirements.
Potential to avoid or minimize effects of the transportation of used nuclear fuel from existing storage facilities to the repository site	The availability of transportation routes (road, rail, water) and the adequacy of associated infrastructure and potential to put such routes in place	To Be Determined	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> As outlined in Chapter 6, the single point of access is currently Highway 105. Ear Falls also has the infrastructure in place to provide access through its airport should renovations and other resources enable it to reopen. The community and region have access to multiple modes of transportation. Project transportation will need to address community, logistical and regulatory matters across multiple provinces and multiple jurisdictions, including Ontario, Quebec and New Brunswick. However, engagement of surrounding communities would be required to help build understanding and address questions and concerns.
	The availability of suitable safe connections and intermodal transfer points, if required, and potential to put them in place	To Be Determined	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Engagement of surrounding communities and those on potential transportation routes will be required to understand and address questions and concerns.
	The NWMO resources (fuel, people) and associated carbon footprint required to transport used fuel to the site	1,590–3,010 tonnes of equivalent carbon dioxide emission is expected to be produced per year	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> As outlined in Chapter 6, in a scenario of all road transport of 4.6 million fuel bundles from the interim storage sites to an APM facility near Ear Falls, approximately 3,010 tonnes of equivalent carbon dioxide emissions are expected to be produced per year. In a scenario of transport by mostly rail mode, approximately 1,590 tonnes of equivalent carbon dioxide emissions are expected.
	The potential for effects on communities along the transportation routes and at intermodal transfer points	To Be Determined	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> As outlined in Chapter 6, there is a robust technical safety case for the safe and secure transport of used nuclear fuel. However, engagement of surrounding communities and those on potential transportation routes would be required to help build understanding and address questions and concerns.

7.4 Overview of Engagement in Ear Falls

The NWMO has engaged with Ear Falls leadership and community members, and has begun to engage surrounding communities and Aboriginal peoples through a variety of means, including:

- Several community open houses;
- Regular attendance at the Community Liaison Committee meetings;
- Both informal and structured interviews with community members;
- Facilitating the Community Liaison Committee website and newsletters;
- Discussion with school groups;
- Preparation of written materials;
- Informal tours and visits with local residents;
- Attendance at Family Safety Night;
- “Ask the NWMO” columns in regional newspapers;
- Meetings with nearby First Nations;
- Attendance at regional meetings, conferences (e.g., Northwestern Ontario Municipal Association (NOMA));
- NWMO Mobile Transportation Exhibit; and
- Nuclear waste management facility tours.

Initial discussions with a cross-section of community leaders, briefings and conversations with community groups, and conversations with residents during open houses suggest there is interest in the community to continue to learn about the project and consider hosting the project in the area. To this end, opportunities for preliminary discussions were sought with:

1. Local political leaders (e.g., mayor and councillors);
2. Members of the Nuclear Waste Community Committee;
3. Local business owners/operators;
4. Local service providers (e.g., emergency services, social services, education);
5. Community groups (e.g., clubs, associations);
6. Surrounding community leaders;
7. Residents; and
8. First Nations and Métis communities in the vicinity.

Based on discussions with the above, there appears to be potential to sustain interest in the local community. For many, there also appears to be interest to continue and move forward with the siting process. However, for some residents, including tourism camp operators, the project may not be compatible with their goals and aspirations.

At this stage, there has been limited engagement with surrounding communities, including Aboriginal peoples, and this would need to evolve moving forward.

7.4.1 Summary of Issues and Questions Raised

In Ear Falls, some of the people engaged in discussion were positive about the APM Project. Many were neutral and were interested in learning more, and some were opposed to the project. Several key interests were recurring and identify the areas which the community is most interested in learning more about. The core key interests expressed include:

- Health, safety, and environmental risk in and around the site and along the transportation route;
- Economic benefits and opportunities for growth; and
- Potential for negative perceptions of the area due to the project.

In addition to these core key interests, a secondary key interest was the APM process and project description details.

Going forward, engagement with surrounding communities will need to develop. Ear Falls anticipates ongoing engagement with the NWMO to learn more about the project, and to work with the NWMO in a long-term partnership that will optimize well-being in the community and surrounding area.

7.5 Community Well-Being – Summary Findings

At the outset of the site selection process, the NWMO framed four key questions, respectively addressing safety, the well-being of the community, the well-being of surrounding area communities, and the potential to foster sustained interest in exploring this project through subsequent steps in the site selection process (NWMO, 2011). The discussion that follows addresses and elaborates on a subset of these questions related to community well-being in the context of Ear Falls.

The preceding discussion has looked at implementation of the APM Project in Ear Falls and the implications this might have on the community well-being of Ear Falls. Additionally, key issues and concerns identified through engagement activities have been highlighted. Through desktop research, dialogue with community members and leaders, and ongoing analysis, it is understood that Ear Falls has some interest in hosting the APM Project in the area to realize growth and development opportunities within the community and surrounding areas.

There is potential for the APM Project to foster the well-being of Ear Falls. The project is understood to enable some community priorities and aspirations, and is seen by some leaders and residents to be a potential catalyst for the socio-economic growth and development they desire. On the other hand, there are some individuals who do not believe that the project is aligned with their interests or those of the community. For some areas (tourism and community character), it is unknown what the effects of the APM Project will be.

The community of Ear Falls understands that this siting process, in partnership with the NWMO, may assist their community over time to get the information they require to reflect upon their willingness to continue in the site selection process and to decide whether or not they are interested in continuing to the next phase of studies.

There is potential for sustained interest in the local community. This is evidenced through discussions with some community leaders and residents who have shown interest in learning more about the project and continuing in the siting process.

There is potential for the APM Project to foster well-being in the surrounding communities. Discussions need to take place and be ongoing to gauge interest in the benefits offered by the project and assess the implications of it for surrounding area communities. This project will be implemented through a long-term partnership involving the community, neighbouring communities and Aboriginal peoples in the area, and the NWMO. Only through engagement, dialogue and collaboration will the NWMO ensure that needs are addressed at each stage of the process, and identify the specifics of how a partnership arrangement could work.

At this time, the potential for sustained interest in the surrounding communities is unknown. Engagement and discussions will need to take place before the interest in surrounding communities can be determined.

There are some uncertainties associated with the preceding analysis due to the preliminary nature of the work at this stage. These uncertainties and challenges include:

1. Specific land areas that are socially acceptable would need to be identified.
 - a. Community input is required to identify areas which should be reserved for other uses or preservation. The remaining areas, if any, must overlap with potentially suitable siting areas identified through scientific and technical studies.
 - b. Further engagement with potentially affected Aboriginal communities is required, including Aboriginal Traditional Knowledge holders in the area. The NWMO acknowledges, respects and honours that Aboriginal peoples – Indian, Inuit and Métis peoples of Canada – have unique status and rights as recognized and affirmed in s.35 of the *Constitution Act, 1982*. The NWMO is committed to respecting the Aboriginal rights and treaties of Aboriginal peoples (NWMO, 2010b).
2. Project implementation (including engineering, logistics and/or community well-being) must align with specific community aspirations.
 - a. An acceptable area and regional project implementation plan must be identified, which aligns ultimate project configuration with area expectations.
 - b. Effective implementation of project planning at a broader level, involving the surrounding communities and potentially affected Aboriginal peoples, will be important in the successful implementation of the project.
3. Interest in the community for further learning about the project needs to be sustained.
 - a. The site selection process spans several years, and interest and conversation in the community and area need to be enhanced and sustained throughout this process, including multiple election cycles.

- b. The potential effects of the project on the community would be substantial, and the community and area will need substantial support to maintain and foster additional interest and to take an active role in discussions of how the project should be implemented.
 - c. Opposition groups, largely from outside the community, may actively seek to influence community decision-making, and community leaders will need to respond to these pressures. Ear Falls will require substantial support to prepare for the next phases of the siting process if it is to proceed.
4. Transportation routes and mode(s) need to be designed and configured taking into account social values.
- a. Transportation will be spatially extensive from current interim storage sites to repository. Regulatory matters along routes in several provinces, including New Brunswick, Quebec and Ontario, would need to be addressed. Social questions and concerns would also need to be heard and taken into account.
5. Environment and safety evaluations need to be aligned with community input.
- a. This requires regard for input from the host community and surrounding communities.
 - b. This requires engagement by the NWMO and input from the host community and surrounding communities. This may require capacity building to enable this input, which would include Aboriginal Traditional Knowledge.
 - c. Input from transportation route communities will also need to be incorporated.

8. REFLECTION ON POTENTIAL SUITABILITY

8.1 Early Findings

The site selection process outlines a road map for decision-making, which involves many steps. Over the course of these steps, the NWMO and potentially interested communities reflect upon the suitability of the community to host the Adaptive Phased Management (APM) Project. Progressively detailed scientific and technical studies are completed, and surrounding communities and potentially affected Aboriginal peoples are drawn into the process and engaged, before any decision is made on a preferred site for the APM Project.

In order to fully understand and assess the potential of a community and area to host the APM Project, detailed scientific and technical studies are required over many years. At this preliminary assessment phase of work, initial studies have been completed. However, more detailed study is still required in order to assess suitability and ensure the conditions are there for the safe and secure containment and isolation of used fuel over the very long term.

The decisions that people will make in the future about learning more about the project, exploring the potential to foster well-being of the community and area, and ultimately whether they are willing to host the project in the area and are prepared to support its implementation, are also key determinants of suitability. At this early point in the site selection process, the NWMO cannot anticipate with certainty the outcome of a dialogue which would need to continue into the future in order to support informed decision-making. This dialogue would need to continue to unfold. Engagement activities within the community would need to continue, and these activities would need to be broadened to involve surrounding communities and potentially affected Aboriginal communities in the learning and decision-making process, to fully understand the suitability of a community and area to host this project.

At this early stage of work, the NWMO is able to make preliminary conclusions and observations about the potential suitability of the community and area to host the project, as well as reflect on the uncertainties and challenges associated with the community and area, ultimately satisfying the conditions for successful implementation of the project.

8.2 Preliminary Conclusions

The preceding sections of this report have examined, in a preliminary way, the potential for Ear Falls to meet the broad range of siting conditions set for the project. Four overriding research questions have guided this preliminary assessment. In all cases, these questions can be answered affirmatively.

1. There is potential to find a safe site in the Ear Falls area.
 - There is the potential to find a site with suitable geology.
 - There is the potential to safely construct the facility at the potential site.
 - There is the potential for safe and secure transportation to the potential site.
 - There is the potential to manage any environmental effects and to ensure safety of people and the environment.
2. There is potential to foster community well-being in Ear Falls through the implementation of the project.

3. There is potential for sustained interest in Ear Falls to support further learning about the project.
4. There is potential to foster community well-being in the surrounding area through the implementation of the project, as well as sustain interest in the surrounding communities to support further learning.

Preliminary assessment studies conducted to date suggest that there is the potential for Ear Falls to be suitable for the project from the multiple perspectives of:

- Engineering logistics;
- Geoscientific suitability;
- Environmental health and safety;
- Transportation safety; and
- Social, economic and cultural effects within the community and surrounding areas.

Studies in each of these areas addressed criteria that were set out in the siting process description, as was feasible in this initial phase of work.

8.3 Observations About Suitability

8.3.1 General Observations

Based on this preliminary information, there are a number of observations that can be made that support the overall conclusion that the Ear Falls area has some potential to host the APM Project.

- The APM Project has the potential to be safely located in a suitable site within or near Ear Falls in a manner that will protect people and the environment now and in the future.
- There is potential to find a site that does not adversely affect future options for other activities valued by the community and area such as mining and recreation. In other words, if the Ear Falls area was selected for the APM Project, it is likely that a geologically and environmentally suitable site can be found that does not jeopardize future uses of the land and resources as the NWMO understands them today.
- From a technical perspective, there is potential to safely transport used nuclear fuel from existing storage facility sites to the Ear Falls area.
- There appears to be some potential for the APM Project to foster community well-being in Ear Falls. If the project were to be sited in or near Ear Falls, the community and many surrounding communities in the area would experience significant economic development and population growth, which aligns with one aspect of aspirations. Ear Falls has expressed a desire to reclaim its former population base, as well as attract new retail and other businesses to the community, and there is the potential for alignment of the project with these aspirations. However, from another aspect, the development associated with the APM Project might detract from an aspiration to maintain its remote wilderness environment.

- There is some potential for sustained interest in the local community, although the community is not cohesive in its support of pursuing learning about the project and what benefits it poses for the community. The Township of Ear Falls draws its current economic strength from mining, forestry, and ecotourism-based activities in remote wilderness, all of which continue to be a focus for their future plans. There is some difference in view among community members about the relative emphasis which should be placed on activities that will create growth for the community and area.
- There is some potential to continue activities to engage surrounding communities and potentially affected Aboriginal peoples in the area, and include them in learning and discussion about the implications of the project and suitability for the area. Ongoing dialogue between some leaders of Aboriginal communities suggests there may be limited potential for regional cohesion and willingness to work together in addressing the opportunities of the APM Project.

8.3.2 Uncertainties and Challenges

Based on this preliminary information, there are uncertainties and challenges which these studies have not been able to begin to address which are important to understanding the potential for the community and area to be able to meet the requirements for hosting the project.

Some uncertainties and challenges are a result of being at an early phase of study with limited information available. Other uncertainties and challenges have arisen from the conduct of the studies themselves and may be unique to better understanding the potential suitability of the particular area. Other communities in the site selection process may share many of these challenges and uncertainties, although the difficulty and the level of resources required to successfully address them vary by community.

The reader is encouraged to review the full report, and supporting documents, for a better understanding of the challenges and uncertainties associated with this community and area. Examples of the range and type of uncertainties and challenges which would need to be considered in planning and resourcing any further studies in this community and area include the following:

1. Geoscientific studies suggest that while the Ear Falls area appears to contain general land areas with favourable geoscientific characteristics for hosting a deep geological repository, there are inherent uncertainties that would need to be addressed. The main uncertainties are associated with the proximity of the regional Sydney Lake fault zone, the low resolution of available geophysical data, and the variable degree of metamorphism and migmatization (partial melting of the rock) that the metasedimentary rocks have experienced in the geological past.

2. Environment and safety studies suggest there is potential to implement the project safely and with respect for the environment in the Ear Falls area. Although the assessment has identified some specific areas that would be excluded as they contain parks and protected areas, a more definitive environmental evaluation would be required once smaller potential siting areas have been identified. These further studies could result in the exclusion of additional areas based on such factors as, for example, the presence of calving sites, the proximity to important habitats and cultural sensitivity. Discussions with interested communities, surrounding communities and Aboriginal peoples, as well as field studies, would be needed to fully characterize the environmental conditions in these smaller potential siting areas.
3. Environment and safety studies suggest that effects of the project on the environment can be managed or mitigated through a combination of in-design features, operating procedures, and implementation of a sound environmental management plan. As smaller potential siting areas are identified, these mitigating measures would need to be identified and their effectiveness confirmed.
4. Among these potentially suitable land areas, specific smaller siting areas that are socially acceptable would need to be identified.
 - Community input is required to identify areas which should be reserved for other uses or preservation. The remaining areas must overlap with potentially suitable land areas identified through scientific and technical studies.
 - Further engagement with potentially affected Aboriginal communities is required, including Aboriginal Traditional Knowledge holders in the area. This may expand the framework for assessment through, for instance, insight from Indigenous science, ways of life, and spiritual considerations.
5. Project implementation (including engineering, logistics and/or community well-being) must align with specific community aspirations.
 - An acceptable area and regional project implementation plan must be identified, which aligns ultimate project configuration with area expectations.
 - Effective implementation of project planning at a broader level, involving the surrounding communities and potentially affected Aboriginal peoples, will be important in the successful implementation of the project.
6. Interest in the community for further learning about the project needs to be sustained.
 - The site selection process spans several years, and interest and conversation in the community and area need to be sustained throughout this process, including multiple election cycles.
 - The potential effects of the project on the community and area would be substantial, and the community and area will need support to further explore their interest and take an active role in discussions of how the project should be implemented.

- Opposition groups, largely from outside the area, may actively seek to influence community decision-making, and community leaders will need to respond to these pressures. Ear Falls will require support to prepare for the next phases of the siting process if they are to proceed.
7. Transportation routes and mode(s) need to be designed and configured taking into account social values.
- Transportation will be spatially extensive from current interim storage sites to the repository. Regulatory matters along routes in several provinces, including New Brunswick, Quebec and Ontario, would need to be addressed. Social questions and concerns would also need to be heard and taken into account.
8. Environment and safety evaluations need to be aligned with community input.
- This requires regard for input from the community and surrounding communities.
 - This requires engagement by the NWMO and input from the community and surrounding communities. This may require capacity building to enable this input, which could include Aboriginal Traditional Knowledge.
 - Input from transportation route communities will also need to be incorporated.

8.4 Partnership

The site selection process outlines a road map for decision-making, which involves many steps. Over the course of these steps, the NWMO, potentially interested communities, and surrounding communities and Aboriginal peoples reflect upon the suitability of the community and area to host the APM Project.

At this preliminary assessment phase of work, initial studies have been completed. However, more detailed studies would be required before confidence could be established that project requirements could be met in the Ear Falls area. A broad network of relationships would also need to be established in the area, involving the interested community, surrounding communities and Aboriginal peoples.

Through working with communities that have come forward to participate in the site selection process, and through initial outreach with surrounding communities and Aboriginal peoples, the nature and shape of the partnerships required to implement the APM Project is beginning to emerge. This project will only proceed with the involvement of the interested community, surrounding communities and potentially affected Aboriginal peoples.

The implementation of the project will not only have an effect on the local area in which it is sited, it will also have an effect on those in the surrounding area. Surrounding communities and Aboriginal peoples need to be involved in decision-making about the project and planning for its implementation should it proceed in the area. Only through working together can the project be harnessed to maximize benefits to the area, manage any pressures which may come from the project, and ensure that the project fosters the long-term well-being and sustainability of the area consistent with the area's vision for the future.

These initial studies have demonstrated it is possible to find land areas in the vicinity of Ear Falls that have the potential to satisfy the geoscientific factors outlined in the NWMO site selection process description and enable the project to be implemented in a way that is respectful of people and the natural environment. These potentially suitable areas include areas in the vicinity of the community on Crown land, and in territory for which Aboriginal peoples have a claim. As identified in the site selection process description (NWMO, 2010a), the NWMO has committed to respect Aboriginal rights and treaties in the siting decision, and take into account that there may be unresolved claims between Aboriginal peoples and the Crown. Furthermore, as outlined in the NWMO Aboriginal Policy (NWMO, 2010b), the NWMO acknowledges, respects and honours that Aboriginal peoples – Indian, Inuit and Métis peoples of Canada – have unique status and rights as recognized and affirmed in s.35 of the *Constitution Act*, 1982. The NWMO is committed to respecting the Aboriginal rights and treaties of Aboriginal peoples.

8.5 The Way Forward

The Township of Ear Falls is one of 21 communities engaged in the site selection process to explore potential interest and suitability for hosting Canada's APM Project. Through a multi-year sequence of engagement and assessments, the NWMO will lead a gradual narrowing down of communities in the process to eventually arrive at a single preferred safe site in an informed, willing community.

The outcome of Phase 1 Preliminary Assessments will guide an initial phase of narrowing down of communities engaged in site selection studies. The NWMO will identify a smaller number of communities with relatively stronger potential to be suitable for the project to be the focus of Phase 2 Assessments for detailed field studies and broadened dialogue.

Several more years of detailed studies would be required before confidence could be established that project requirements could be met in any potential siting area. For those selected to continue on in the process, a broad network of relationships would also need to be established in the area, involving the interested community, surrounding communities and Aboriginal peoples. Together, the NWMO, interested communities, surrounding communities and Aboriginal peoples will reflect upon the suitability of the community and area to host the APM Project.

9. REFERENCES

References for Chapter 1

Nuclear Waste Management Organization (NWMO). 2010. Moving Forward Together: Process for Selecting a Site for Canada's Deep Geological Repository for Used Nuclear Fuel. Toronto, Canada. (Available at www.nwmo.ca)

Nuclear Waste Management Organization (NWMO). 2011. Preliminary Assessment of Potential Suitability – Feasibility Studies. Toronto, Canada.

References for Chapter 2

SENES. 2013. Community Profile: Ear Falls, Ontario. July. Prepared for Nuclear Waste Management Organization (NWMO). NWMO Report APM-REP-06144-0023. Toronto, Canada.

References for Chapter 3

Canadian Nuclear Safety Commission (CNSC). 2013. Certificate for Transport Package Design, No. CDN/2025/B(U)-96 (Rev.7.). Ottawa, Canada.

Garamszeghy, M. 2012. Nuclear fuel waste projections in Canada – 2012 update. Nuclear Waste Management Organization Report NWMO TR-2012-13. Toronto, Canada.

References for Chapter 4

Andersson, J., H. Ahokas, J.A. Hudson, L. Koskinen, A. Luukkonen, J. Löfman, V. Keto, P. Pitkänen, J. Mattila, A. T.K. Ikonen, M. Ylä-Mella. 2007. Olkiluoto Site Description 2006. POSIVA Report 2007-03. Eurajoki, Finland.

Bell, M. and E.P. Laine. 1985. Erosion of the Laurentide region of North America by glacial and glaciofluvial processes. *Quaternary Research* 23, 154-175.

Bethune, K.M., H.H. Helmstaedt and V.J. McNicoll. 2006. Structural analysis of the Miniss River and related faults, western Superior Province: post-collisional displacement initiated at terrane boundaries. *Can. J. Earth Sci.* 43, 1031–1054.

Breaks, F.W. and W.D. Bond. 1993. The English River Subprovince – An Archean Gneiss Belt: Geology, Geochemistry and associated mineralization. Ontario Geological Survey, Open File Report 5846 1, 1-483. Sudbury, Canada.

Breaks, F. W. 1991. English River Subprovince in Geology of Ontario. Special Volume 4, Part 1, 239-277. *In* P.C. Thurston, H.R. Williams, R.H. Sutcliffe, and G.M. Scott (eds), Geology of Ontario, Ontario Geological Survey. Toronto, Canada.

- Corfu, F., G.M. Stott and F.W. Breaks. 1995. U-Pb Geochronology and evolution of the English River Subprovince, an Archean low P-highT metasedimentary belt in the Superior Province. *Tectonics* 14(5), 1220-1233.
- Everitt, R., J. McMurry, A. Brown and C.C. Davison. 1996. Geology of the Lac du Bonnet Batholith, inside and out: AECL's Underground Research Laboratory, southeastern Manitoba. Field Excursion B-5: Guidebook. Geological Association of Canada — Mineralogical Association of Canada, Joint Annual Meeting. Winnipeg, Manitoba.
- Flint, R. 1947. *Glacial Geology and the Pleistocene Epoch*. New York, United States.
- Frape, S.K., P. Fritz and R.H. McNutt. 1984. Water–Rock interaction and chemistry of groundwaters from the Canadian Shield. *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta* 48, 1617–1627.
- Gascoyne, M., C.C. Davison, J.D. Ross and R. Pearson. 1987. Saline groundwaters and brines in plutons in the Canadian Shield: Special Paper 33, 53-68. *In* P. Fritz and S.K.Frape (eds), *Saline water and gases in crystalline rocks*. St. John's, Canada.
- Gascoyne, M. 1994. Isotopic and geochemical evidence for old groundwaters in a granite on the Canadian Shield. *Mineralogical Magazine* 58A, 319-320.
- Gascoyne, M. 2000. Hydrogeochemistry of the Whiteshell Research Area. Ontario Power Generation, Nuclear Waste Management Division Report 06819-REP-01200-10033-R00. Toronto, Canada.
- Gascoyne, M. 2004. Hydrogeochemistry, groundwater ages and sources of salts in a granitic batholith on the Canadian Shield, southeastern Manitoba. *Applied Geochemistry* 19, 519-560.
- Golder (Golder Associates Ltd.). 2011. Initial screening for siting a deep geological repository for Canada's used nuclear fuel, Township of Ear Falls, Ontario. Prepared for Nuclear Waste Management Organization (NWMO). Golder Report 10-1152-0110(1000). Mississauga, Canada.
- Golder (Golder Associates Ltd.). 2013. Phase 1 Geoscientific Desktop Preliminary Assessment of Potential Suitability for Siting a Deep Geological Repository for Canada's Used Nuclear Fuel. Township of Ear Falls, Ontario. Prepared for Nuclear Waste Management Organization (NWMO). NWMO Report APM-REP-06144-0019. Toronto, Canada.
- Gupta, V.K. and D.R. Wadge. 1980. Gravity Study of the Red Lake – Birch Lake Area, District of Kenora. Ontario Geological Survey Open File Report 5278. Accompanied by 4 plates, scale 1:250 000. Sudbury, Canada.
- Gupta, V.K., and D.R. Wadge. 1986. Gravity Study of the Birch, Uchi, and Red Lakes Area, District of Kenora (Patricia Portion). Ontario Geological Survey Report 252. Accompanied by Maps 2492, 2493, 2494 and 2495, Scale 1:250 000. Sudbury, Canada.

- Hallet, B. 2011. Glacial Erosion Assessment. Nuclear Waste Management Organization Report NWMO DGR-TR-2011-18. Toronto, Canada.
- Hay, W.W., C.A. Shaw and C.N. Wold. 1989. Mass-balanced paleogeographic reconstructions. *Geologishce Rundschau* 78, 207-242.
- JDMA (J.D. Mollard and Associates). 2013. Phase 1 Geoscientific Desktop Preliminary Assessment, Terrain and Remote Sensing Study, Township of Ear Falls, Ontario. Prepared for Nuclear Waste Management Organization (NWMO). NWMO Report APM-REP-06144-0020. Toronto, Canada.
- Laine, E.P. 1980. New evidence from beneath western North Atlantic for the depth of glacial erosion in Greenland and North America. *Quaternary Research* 14, 188–198.
- Laine, E.P. 1982. Reply to Andrew's comment. *Quaternary Research* 17, 125–127.
- Ma, S., D.W. Eaton and J. Adams. 2008. Intraplate Seismicity of a Recently Deglaciated Shield Terrane: A Case Study from Northern Ontario, Canada. *Bulletin of the Seismological Society of America* 98(6), 2828–2848.
- McMurry, J., D.A. Dixon, J.D. Garroni, B.M. Ikeda, S. Stroes-Gascoyne, P. Baumgartner and T.W. Melnyk. 2003. Evolution of a Canadian deep geologic repository: Base scenario. Ontario Power Generation, Nuclear Waste Management Division Report 06819-REP-01200-10092-R00. Toronto, Canada.
- Melnyk, M., Davis, D.W., Cruden, A.R. & Stern, R.A. 2006. U-Pb ages of magmatism constraining regional deformation in the Winnipeg River subprovince and Lake of the Woods greenstone belt: Evidence for Archean terrane accretion in the western Superior Province. *Canadian Journal of Earth Sciences* 43, 967-993.
- Mira (Mira Geoscience Limited). 2013. Phase 1 Geoscientific Desktop Preliminary Assessment, Processing and Interpretation of Geophysical Data, Township of Ear Falls, Ontario. Prepared for Nuclear Waste Management Organization (NWMO). NWMO Report APM-REP-06144-0021. Toronto, Canada.
- MNDM (Ontario Ministry of Northern Development and Mines). 2012. Mining Claims Inventory (CLAIMaps) Mining Lands Section: Ontario Mining Land Tenure Spatial Data, 2012. Accessed August 2012. Sudbury, Canada.
- MOE (Ontario Ministry of the Environment). 2012. Water Well Records. Toronto, Canada. (Retrieved from [http://www.ene.gov.on.ca/environment/en/resources/collection/data_downloads/index.htm#Well Records](http://www.ene.gov.on.ca/environment/en/resources/collection/data_downloads/index.htm#Well%20Records)). Accessed June 2012.
- Nitescu, B., A.R. Cruden, R.C. Bailey. 2006[shapefile]. Integrated potential-field and seismic constraints on the structure of the Archean metasedimentary English River belt, Western Superior craton, Canada. *Precambrian Research* 144, 261–277OGS, 2004.

- NRCan (Natural Resources Canada). 2012. Earthquakes Canada Website. (Retrieved from <http://earthquakescanada.nrcan.gc.ca>) Accessed November 26, 2012.
- NWMO (Nuclear Waste Management Organization). 2010. Moving Forward Together: Process for Selecting a Site for Canada's Deep Geological Repository for Used Nuclear Fuel. Toronto, Canada. (Available at www.nwmo.ca)
- OGS (Ontario Geological Survey). 2004 [shapefile]. Ontario Geological Survey: Mineral Deposit Inventory Version 2 (MDI2), October 2004 Release; Ontario Geological Survey. ISBN 0-7794-7002-8: last accessed August 2012. Sudbury, Canada.
- Peltier, W.R. 2002. On eustatic sea level history: Last Glacial Maximum to Holocene. *Quaternary Science Reviews* 21, 377–396.
- Percival, J.A. and R.M. Easton. 2007. Geology of the Canadian Shield in Ontario: an update. Ontario Power Generation Report 06819-REP-01200-10158-R00. Toronto, Canada.
- Sanborn-Barrie, M., N. Rogers, T. Skulski, J. Parker, V. McNicoll and J. Devany. 2004. Geology and Tectonostratigraphic Assemblages, East Uchi Subprovince, Red Lake and Birch-Uchi belts, Ontario. Geological Survey of Canada, Open File 4256. Ontario Geological Survey, Preliminary Map P.3460, 1:250,000. Sudbury, Canada.
- Sella, G.F., S. Stein, T.H. Dixon, M. Craymer, T.S. James, S. Mazzotti and R.K. Dokka. 2007. Observation of glacial isostatic adjustment in “stable” North America with GPS, *Geophys. Res. Lett.* 34, L02306, doi:10.1029/2006GL027081.
- Shackleton, N.J., A. Berger and W.R. Peltier. 1990. An alternative astronomical calibration of the lower Pleistocene timescale based on ODP Site 677. *Transactions of the Royal Society of Edinburgh: Earth Sciences* 81, 251-261.
- Shklanka, R. 1970. Geology of the Bruce Lake Area District of Kenora. Geological Report 82. Toronto, Canada.
- Singer, S.N. and C.K. Cheng. 2002. An assessment of the groundwater resources of northern Ontario, Ontario Ministry of the Environment, Environmental Monitoring and Reporting Branch. Toronto, Canada.
- SRK (SRK Consultants (Canada) Inc.). 2013. Phase 1 Geoscientific Desktop Preliminary Assessment, Lineament Interpretation, Township of Ear Falls, Ontario. Prepared for Nuclear Waste Management Organization (NWMO). NWMO Report APM-REP-06144-0022. Toronto, Canada.
- Stevenson, D.R., E.T. Kozak, C.C. Davison, M. Gascoyne and R.A. Broadfoot. 1996. Hydrogeologic characterization of domains of sparsely fractured rock in the granitic Lac du Bonnet Batholith, Southeastern Manitoba, Canada. Atomic Energy of Canada Limited Report AECL-11558, COG-96-117. Pinawa, Canada.
- Stone, D. 1981. The Sydney Lake Fault Zone in Ontario and Manitoba. Unpublished Ph.D. Thesis. University of Toronto. Toronto, Canada.

- Thurston, P.C. 1991. Geology of Ontario: Introduction. Special Volume 4, Part 1, 3-25. *In* P.C. Thurston, H.R. Williams, R.H. Sutcliffe, and G.M. Scott (eds), *Geology of Ontario*, Ontario Geological Survey. Toronto, Canada.
- van de Kamp, P.C., and G. P. Beakhouse. 1979. Paragneisses in the Pakwash Lake area, English River gneiss belt, northwestern Ontario. *Canadian Journal of Earth Sciences* 16, 1753-1763.
- White, W. 1972. Deep erosion by continental ice-sheets. *Geological Society of America Bulletin* 83, 1037–1056.

References for Chapter 5

- Arbex Forest Resource Consultants Ltd. (ABRCL). 2005. Trout Lake Forest Independent Forest Audit, 1999–2004. Oxford Mills, Canada.
- Arbex Forest Resource Consultants Ltd. (ABRCL). 2006. Lac Seul Forest Independent Forest Audit, 2001–2006. Oxford Mills, Canada.
- Archer, D. and A. Ganopolski. 2005. A movable trigger: Fossil fuel CO₂ and the onset of the next glaciation. *Geochemistry, Geophysics, Geosystems* 6(5), 1-7.
- Atomic Energy of Canada Limited (AECL). 1994. Environmental impact statement on the concept for disposal of Canada's nuclear fuel waste. Atomic Energy of Canada Limited Report AECL-10711, COG-93-1. Chalk River, Canada.
- Berger, A. and M.F. Loutre. 2002. An exceptionally long interglacial ahead? *Science* 297, 1287-1288.
- Cadman, M.D., D.A. Sutherland, G.G. Beck, D. Lepage, and A.R. Couturier, editors. 2007. *Atlas of Breeding Birds of Ontario 2001–2005*. Co-published by Bird Studies Canada, Environment Canada, Ontario Field Ornithologists, Ontario Ministry of Natural Resources and Ontario Nature, ISBN 978-1-896059-15-0. Toronto, Canada.
- Garisto, F., J. Avis., T. Chshyolkova, P. Gierszewski, M. Gobien, C. Kitson, T. Melnyk, J. Miller, R. Walsh and L. Wojciechowski. 2010. Glaciation scenario: Safety assessment for a used fuel geological repository. Nuclear Waste Management Organization Technical Report NWMO TR-2010-10. Toronto, Canada.
- Gierszewski, P., J. Avis, N. Calder, A. D'Andrea, F. Garisto, C. Kitson, T. Melnyk, K. Wei and L. Wojciechowski. 2004. Third Case Study - Postclosure Safety Assessment. Ontario Power Generation, Nuclear Waste Management Division Report 06819-REP-01200-10109-R00. Toronto, Canada.
- Golder Associates Ltd. (Golder). 2013. Phase 1 Desktop Assessment, Environment Report, Township of Ear Falls, Ontario. Golder Report 12-1152-0026 (4007). Prepared for Nuclear Waste Management Organization (NWMO). NWMO Report APM-REP-06144-0018. Toronto, Canada.

- Goodwin, B.W., T.H. Andres, W.C. Hajas, D.M. LeNeveu, T.W. Melnyk, J.G. Szekely, A.G. Wikjord, D.C. Donahue, S.B. Keeling, C.I. Kitson, S.E. Oliver, K. Witzke and L. Wojciechowski. 1996. The disposal of Canada's nuclear fuel waste: A study of postclosure safety of in-room emplacement of used CANDU fuel in copper containers in permeable plutonic rock. Volume 5: Radiological Assessment. Atomic Energy of Canada Limited Report AECL-11494-5, COG-95-552-5. Chalk River, Canada.
- Holmes, A.M., Q.F. Hess, R.R. Tasker and A.J. Hanks. 1991. The Ontario Butterfly Atlas. Toronto Entomologists' Association. Toronto, Canada.
- KBM Forestry Consultants Inc. (KBM). 2005. Whiskey Jack Forest Independent Forest Audit 1999-2004. Thunder Bay, Canada.
- KBM Forestry Consultants Inc. (KBM). 2006. Independent Forest Audit Report, Red Lake Forest 2000-2005. Thunder Bay, Canada.
- Land Information Ontario (LIO). 2012. Ontario Ministry of Natural Resources. Peterborough, Canada. (Retrieved from <http://www.mnr.gov.on.ca/en/Business/LIO/>). Accessed March 2012.
- Natural Heritage Information Centre (NHIC). 2012. Ontario Ministry of Natural Resources. Peterborough, Canada. (Retrieved from <http://nhic.mnr.gov.on.ca/>). Accessed March 2012.
- Natural Resources Canada (NRCan). 2010. Seismic Hazard Map, Geological Survey of Canada. Ottawa, Canada. (Retrieved from <http://www.earthquakescanada.nrcan.gc.ca/>). Accessed April 2013.
- Nuclear Waste Management Organization (NWMO). 2010. Moving Forward Together: Process for Selecting a Site for Canada's Deep Geological Repository for Used Nuclear Fuel. Toronto, Canada. (Available at www.nwmo.ca)
- Nuclear Waste Management Organization (NWMO). 2012. Adaptive Phased Management Used Fuel Repository Conceptual Design and Postclosure Safety Assessment in Crystalline Rock. Nuclear Waste Management Organization Report NWMO TR-2012-16. Toronto, Canada.
- Oldham, M.J. and W.F. Weller. 2000. Ontario Herpetofaunal Atlas. Natural Heritage Information Centre. Ontario Ministry of Natural Resources. Peterborough, Canada. (Retrieved from <http://nhic.mnr.gov.on.ca/MNR/nhic/herps/ohs.html> (updated 15-01-2010)). Accessed March 2012.
- Ontario Ministry of the Environment (MOE). 2012. Water Well Records. Toronto, Canada, (Retrieved from [http://www.ene.gov.on.ca/environment/en/resources/collection/data_downloads/index.htm#Well Records](http://www.ene.gov.on.ca/environment/en/resources/collection/data_downloads/index.htm#Well%20Records)). Accessed March 2012.
- Posiva. 2007. Safety assessment for a KBS-3H spent nuclear fuel repository at Olkiluoto, Summary Report. Posiva Report 2007-06. Olkiluoto, Finland.

- Royal Ontario Museum (ROM). 2012. Ontario's Biodiversity: Species at Risk. Toronto, Canada. (Retrieved from <http://www.rom.on.ca/ontario/risk.php>). Accessed March 2012.
- Sills, D., V. Cheng, P. McCarthy, B. Rousseau, J. Waller, L. Elliott, J. Klaassen and H. Auld. 2012. Using tornado, lightning and population data to identify tornado prone areas in Canada. Preprints, 26th AMS Conference on Severe Local Storms. Amer. Meteorol. Soc., Paper P59. Nashville, United States.
- SKB. 2011. Long-term safety for the final repository for spent nuclear fuel at Forsmark, main report of the SR-Site project. Swedish Nuclear Fuel and Waste Management Company Technical Report SKB TR-11-01. Stockholm, Sweden.
- Statistics Canada. 2012. Census Profile. Material dated February 10, 2012. Ottawa, Canada. (Retrieved from <http://www12.statcan.gc.ca/census-recensement/2011/dp-pd/prof/index.cfm?Lang=E>) Accessed March 29, 2012.
- Township of Ear Falls. 2012. The Township of Ear Falls. Ear Falls, Canada. (Retrieved from <http://www.ear-falls.com/visit/attractions>) Accessed April 2012.

References for Chapter 6

- Association of American Railroads (AAR). 2013. AAR Circular No. OT-55-N, Recommended Railroad Operating Practices for Transportation of Hazardous Materials. August 2013. Washington, D.C., United States.
- Association of American Railroads (AAR). 2009. AAR Standard S-2043, Performance Specification For Trains Used To Carry High-Level Radioactive Material. August 2009. Washington, D.C., United States.
- Batters, S., K. Tsang and U. Stahmer. 2012. Generic transportation dose assessment. Prepared by AMEC NSS for the Nuclear Waste Management Organization. Nuclear Waste Management Organization Report NWMO TR-2012-06. Toronto, Canada.
- Canadian Nuclear Safety Commission (CNSC). 2003. Transportation Security Plans for Category I, II or III Nuclear Material. Regulatory Guide G-208. Ottawa, Canada.
- Canadian Nuclear Safety Commission (CNSC). 2009. HazMat Team Emergency Response Manual for Class 7 Transport Emergencies. INFO-0764 Rev. 2. Ottawa, Canada.
- Canadian Nuclear Safety Commission (CNSC). 2013. Certificate for Transport Package Design, No. CDN/2025/B(U)-96 (Rev.7.). CNSC File No. 30-H1-118-0. Ottawa, Canada.
- Garamszeghy, M. 2012. Nuclear fuel waste projections in Canada – 2012 update. Nuclear Waste Management Organization Report NWMO TR-2012-13. Toronto, Canada.
- International Atomic Energy Agency (IAEA). 2000. Regulations for the Safe Transport of Radioactive Material, 1996 Edition (Revised) Safety Requirements. Safety Standards Series No. TS-R-1 (ST-1, Rev.). Vienna, Austria.

- Ontario Ministry of Northern Development and Mines (MNDM). 2012. Northern Highways Program, 2012 – 2016, ISSN 1913-4568. Sudbury, Canada.
- Ontario Ministry of Transportation (MTO). 2009. Provincial Highways Traffic Volumes 1988-2009. Highway Standards Branch. Traffic Office. Toronto, Canada.
- Public Safety Canada. 2011. An Emergency Management Framework for Canada. Second Edition. Published by Emergency Management Policy Directorate. Ottawa, Canada.
- Stahmer, U. 2009. Transport of Used Nuclear Fuel – A Summary of Canadian and International Experience. Nuclear Waste Management Organization Report NWMO TR-2009-14. Toronto, Canada.
- Transport Canada. 2012. Emergency Response Guidebook. A Guidebook for First Responders During the Initial Phase of a Dangerous Goods/Hazardous Materials Transportation Incident. Ottawa, Canada.

References for Chapter 7

- AECOM. 2010. A Preliminary Assessment of Illustrative Generic Community Economic Benefits from Hosting the APM Project. Toronto, Canada. (Available at http://www.nwmo.ca/uploads_managed/MediaFiles/1497_nwmosr-2010-09_preliminary_ass.pdf)
- Meridian Planning Consultants Inc. (Meridian). 2011. Strategic Plan Township of Ear Falls. Vaughan, Canada.
- Nuclear Waste Management Organization (NWMO). 2012. Description of Canada's Repository for Used Nuclear Fuel and Centre of Expertise. Toronto, Canada. (Available at <http://www.nwmo.ca/brochures>)
- Nuclear Waste Management Organization (NWMO). 2011. Preliminary Assessment of Potential Suitability – Feasibility Studies. Draft for Discussion with Communities Involved in the Site Selection Process. Toronto, Canada.
- Nuclear Waste Management Organization (NWMO). 2010a. Moving Forward Together: Process for Selecting a Site for Canada's Deep Geological Repository for Used Nuclear Fuel. Toronto, Canada. (Available at www.nwmo.ca)
- Nuclear Waste Management Organization (NWMO) 2010b. NWMO Aboriginal Policy. Toronto, Canada. (Available at http://www.nwmo.ca/uploads_managed/MediaFiles/1513_nwmo_aboriginalpolicy-2010en.pdf)
- SENES. 2013a. Community Profile: Ear Falls, Ontario. July. Prepared for Nuclear Waste Management Organization (NWMO). NWMO Report APM-REP-06144-0023. Toronto, Canada.

SENES. 2013b. Community Well-Being Assessment, Ear Falls, Ontario. October. Prepared for Nuclear Waste Management Organization (NWMO). NWMO Report APM-REP-06144-0024. Toronto, Canada.

References for Chapter 8

Nuclear Waste Management Organization (NWMO). 2010a. Moving Forward Together: Process for Selecting a Site for Canada's Deep Geological Repository for Used Nuclear Fuel. Toronto, Canada. (Available at www.nwmo.ca)

Nuclear Waste Management Organization (NWMO) 2010b. NWMO Aboriginal Policy. Toronto, Canada. (Available at http://www.nwmo.ca/uploads_managed/MediaFiles/1513_nwmo_aboriginalpolicy-2010en.pdf)

10. GLOSSARY

PRELIMINARY ASSESSMENT OF ENGINEERING

Backfill – The material used to refill excavated portions of a repository (drifts, disposal rooms or boreholes) during and after waste has been emplaced.

Barrier – A physical obstruction that prevents or delays the movement of radionuclides or other material between components in a system, for example a waste repository. In general, a barrier can be an engineered barrier which is constructed or a natural (or geological) barrier.

Bentonite – Soft light-coloured clay formed by chemical alteration of volcanic ash. It is composed essentially of montmorillonite and related minerals of the smectite group. Bentonite is used as backfill and buffer material in repositories.

Borehole – A cylindrical excavation, made by a drilling device. Boreholes are drilled during site investigation and testing and are also used for waste emplacement in repositories and monitoring.

CANDU – Canada deuterium uranium.

Limited access area – A designated area containing a nuclear facility and nuclear material to which access is limited and controlled for physical protection purposes.

Lithostatic pressure – A pressure due to the weight of overlying rock and/or soil and water.

Nuclear security protected area – A designated area within a nuclear facility to which access is restricted, controlled and guarded for security and physical protection purposes (i.e., an area that contains the used nuclear fuel).

Protected area – An area inside a limited access area containing Category I or II nuclear material and/or sabotage targets surrounded by a physical barrier with additional physical protection measures.

Repository – A nuclear facility where waste is emplaced for disposal.

Repository, geological – A facility for disposal of radioactive waste located underground (usually several hundred metres or more below the surface) in a geological formation to provide long-term isolation of radionuclides from the biosphere.

Used fuel – Irradiated fuel bundles removed from a commercial or research nuclear fission reactor. (Adapted from the *Nuclear Fuel Waste Act*.)

PRELIMINARY ASSESSMENT OF GEOSCIENTIFIC SUITABILITY

Aeromagnetic data – Data gathered by measuring the Earth’s magnetic field using an airborne magnetometer.

Aquifer – A geological unit or structure that is sufficiently porous and permeable to store, transmit, and yield significant or economic quantities of groundwater to wells and springs. A confined aquifer is bound by low permeability formations such that it is under pressure. An unconfined aquifer is one whose upper groundwater surface (water table) is at atmospheric pressure.

Archean – Of or belonging to the earlier of the two divisions of Precambrian time, from approximately 4 to 2.5 billion years ago.

Basement – All deformed crystalline (igneous and metamorphic) rocks underlying variably deformed rocks of volcanic and metasedimentary origin in an area.

Batholith – A large intrusive body having an areal extent of 100 square kilometres or more.

Bedrock – Consolidated rock that underlies soil or other unconsolidated material.

Brittle – The physical response in which a rock breaks along a surface under an applied stress at relatively low pressure and temperature, and usually results in formation of fractures and joints.

Brittle lineament – An interpreted linear trace on remote sensing and geophysical data where the bedrock has undergone brittle deformation. These features are inferred to represent fractures, faults and brittle-ductile shear zones.

Canadian Shield – A large plateau that occupies most of eastern and central Canada and consists of exposed Precambrian basement rocks in a stable craton. It is surrounded by younger sedimentary rocks.

Craton – A large portion of a continental plate that has remained relatively tectonically stable since the Precambrian Era.

Crystalline rock – A rock of igneous or metamorphic origin consisting wholly of mineral crystals.

Deformation – Any process of folding, faulting, shearing, or fabric development undergone by a rock as a result of Earth stresses; or the change in geometry of a body of rock as a consequence of Earth stresses.

Diffusion – Random movement of both ions and molecules in water from areas of higher concentration to areas of lower concentration.

Discretionary occurrence – An occurrence that does not meet any of the defined criteria of an occurrence as established by Ontario Mineral Deposit Inventory (MDI) database.

Ductile – Deformation without fracturing of the internal structure of a rock in response to stress. Ductile deformation usually occurs at several kilometres deep in the ground where high pressures are combined with high temperatures and low strain rates.

Ductile lineament – An interpreted curvilinear trace on remote sensing and geophysical data where the bedrock has undergone ductile deformation.

Dyke – A planar injection of magmatic or sedimentary material that cuts across the pre-existing fabric of a rock. Dykes can be formed by the filling of a crack/fissure from above, below, or laterally by forcible injection, or intrusion.

Dyke lineament – An interpreted linear feature on geophysical data inferred to be a dyke.

Dyke swarm – A concentration of vertical to subvertical dykes radiating around a central intrusion, or aligned parallel to subparallel over a large region of land.

Erosion – The process by which the surface of the Earth is worn away by the action of water, wind, or ice movement. The erosive process operates by the combined action of weathering and transportation, where first rocks are broken down (weathering), and then the smaller pieces are carried away (transportation).

Fault – A fracture or a zone of fractures that occurs as a result of brittle deformation and within which there is relative displacement of the fracture surfaces.

Fault zone – A region, from metres to kilometres in width, which is bounded by major faults, and within which smaller faults may be arranged variably or systematically.

Felsic – Term to describe an igneous or metamorphic rock having abundant light-coloured minerals, including, for example, quartz and feldspar.

Foliation – Parallel alignment of minerals or structural features arranged in planes.

Fracture – A break in the rock mass, including cracks, joints, faults, and bedding partings.

Geomechanics – A branch of Geology that embraces the fundamentals of structural geology and knowledge of the response of natural materials to deformation.

Gneiss – A banded rock formed by regional metamorphism, in which bands result from the separation of dark-coloured minerals (e.g., biotite, hornblende, pyroxenes) and the light-coloured minerals (e.g., quartz, feldspars).

Granite – A plutonic rock in which quartz constitutes 20 to 60 per cent of the felsic component, and in which the alkali feldspar/total feldspar ratio is restricted to the range of 35 to 90 per cent.

Granodiorite – Plutonic rock in which quartz constitutes 20 to 60 per cent of the felsic component, and in which the alkali feldspar/total feldspar ratio is restricted to the range of 10 to 35 per cent.

Gravity survey – Data gathered by measuring variations in the Earth's gravitational field caused by differences in the density of subsurface rocks.

Greenstone belt – Group of mainly Archean aged metavolcanic rocks with lesser amounts of metasedimentary rocks, that are intruded by large granitic intrusions. Many mineral deposits of copper, nickel, iron, chrome, and gold, among others, occur in greenstone belts.

Heterogeneous – A volume of rock that exhibits spatial variability of its physical properties (e.g., lithology, porosity).

Homogenous – A volume of rock that exhibits spatial uniformity of its physical properties (e.g., lithology, porosity).

Hydraulic conductivity – Ease with which water can move through a volume of rock, and is measured in unit length (e.g., metres) per unit time (e.g., seconds).

Hydrogeology – Branch of Geology that studies the movement and characteristics of subsurface waters.

Hydrogeochemistry – Branch of Geochemistry that studies the chemical characteristics of ground and surface waters and their interaction with the rock environment of an area.

Igneous rock – A rock that solidified from molten or partly molten material (i.e., from magma).

In-situ stress – The current state of stresses in a rock mass/region, representing the magnitude of, and direction in which, the rock is being compressed due to crustal movement.

Intrusion – Igneous rock emplaced as magma in a pre-existing rock volume.

Iron formation (deposits) – A thin-bedded, chemical sedimentary rock containing at least 15 per cent iron of sedimentary origin.

Isostatic rebound – Rise of land masses that were depressed by the huge weight of ice sheets.

Lineament – An interpreted linear trace that can be observed on remote sensing and geophysical data and that may represent geological structures (e.g., fractures). Lineaments were classified as brittle, dyke or ductile.

Lithology – Set of physical characteristics of a rock, including colour, grain size, and mineralogy.

Mafic – General term for igneous or metamorphic rocks composed primarily of ferromagnesian (iron- and magnesium-rich) and other associated dark-colored minerals.

Massive (rock) – A durable rock that is considered to be essentially isotropic, homogeneous, and free of fractures, bedding, foliation, and other planar discontinuities.

Metamorphic rock – A rock derived from pre-existing rocks by mineralogical, chemical or structural changes in response to marked changes in temperature, pressure, shearing stress, or chemical environment.

Metasedimentary (rock) – Sedimentary rock that has been subjected to metamorphic processes, which resulted in alterations to the original mineral composition of the rock.

Metavolcanic (rock) – Volcanic rock that has been subjected to metamorphic processes, which resulted in alterations to the original mineral composition of the rock.

Migmatization – Partial melting of rock resulting in a high-grade metamorphic rock known as migmatite.

Neotectonics – Neotectonics refers to deformations, stresses and displacements in the Earth's crust of recent age or which are still occurring.

Occurrence – Evidence of mineralization present within a surface rock sample (channel or grab) and/or isolated diamond-drill intersection(s) that may or may not have the potential to be exploited. At least one sample must meet the minimum requirements for a mineral occurrence. This definition forms the basis of an occurrence used in the Mineral Deposit Inventory database maintained by the Ontario Geological Survey (OGS).

Outwash – Stratified detritus (i.e., sand and gravel) removed or "washed out" from a glacier by meltwater streams, and deposited in front of or beyond the end moraine or the margin of an active glacier.

Overburden – The silt, sand, gravel, or other unconsolidated material overlying the bedrock surface, either by having been transported or formed in place.

Paleo- – Prefix used when referring to something "ancient" or "old."

Paleohydrogeology – Branch of Hydrogeology concerned with the study of ancient hydrologic processes, regimes and associated hydrologic features preserved in the rock.

Pluton – A deep-seated igneous intrusion of small surface area.

Plutonic – Pertaining to an igneous rock or an intrusion formed at great depth.

Quaternary – Period of time of the Earth extending from approximately 2.6 million years ago until present time.

Sedimentary rock – Rock formed by the accumulation of layers of clastic and organic material or precipitated salts.

Seismology – The study of seismic waves from earthquakes to investigate the structure and processes within the Earth.

Shear zone – A zone of strong deformation that may exhibit brittle and/or ductile characteristics, surrounded by rocks that are less deformed.

Subprovince (geologic) – A fault-bounded, medium- to large-scale region characterized by similar rock types, structural style, isotopic age, metamorphic grade, and mineral deposits.

Supracrustal – Rocks that overlie the basement.

Tectonics – The study of the interplay between the plates that make up the outer part of the Earth, which usually results in earthquakes, creation of mountains, and fault movement, among others.

Terrain – An area of ground with a particular physical character.

Thermal conductivity – Ease with which heat can move through a volume of rock, and is measured in unit energy (e.g., Watt) per unit distance (metre) and unit temperature (Kelvin).

Tonalite – Plutonic rock in which quartz constitutes 20 to 60 per cent of the felsic component, and in which the alkali feldspar/total feldspar ratio is restricted to the range of 0 to 10 per cent.

Total Dissolved Solids – The quantity of dissolved material in a sample of water.

Ultramafic – Term to describe an igneous rock composed of > 90 per cent mafic minerals.

PRELIMINARY ASSESSMENT OF ENVIRONMENT AND SAFETY

masl – metres above sea level.

ANSI – Area of Natural and Scientific Interest – An official designation by the Province of Ontario applied to areas of land and water that represent significant geological (earth science) and biological (life science) features.

Crown leased land – Crown land acquired by the Ministry of Natural Resources for reasons based on ecological sustainability, including ecosystem health, the protection of natural and cultural assets, recreation, and/or the protection of people and property.

Crown land – Non-Freehold Dispositions Public – Crown land that is a tenure holding, usually for a set term and a specific purpose (e.g., Lease, Licence of Occupation, Land Use Permit, Beach Management Agreement and Easement), excluding permanent disposition in the form of a patent.

Crown land – Unpatented Public Land – Crown land that has never been granted or sold by the Crown to people or organizations for their private use and is under the mandate or management of the Ministry of Natural Resources.

Crown reserves – Crown lands that have been withdrawn from dispositioning under Section 21 of the *Crown Minerals Act*.

Safety case – An integrated collection of arguments and evidence to demonstrate the safety of a facility. It includes a Safety Assessment, complemented by additional arguments and evidence in order to provide confidence in the long-term safety of the facility.

Postclosure – The period of time following closure of a repository, after the shafts have been sealed and surface facilities have been decommissioned.

PRELIMINARY ASSESSMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Designated Licensing Authority – The position designated as being accountable to manage the regulatory interface with the Canadian Nuclear Safety Commission (CNSC) (any verbal or written exchange of information with a representative of the CNSC).

Role – A set of duties, responsibilities and accountabilities, usually associated with a particular job. Roles generally define who does what.

Testing – Performed to demonstrate that a structure, system, equipment, component or software meets specified requirements, or to substantiate the predicted performance.

PRELIMINARY SOCIAL, ECONOMIC AND CULTURAL ASSESSMENT

Community well-being – In the NWMO site selection process, community *well-being* is defined by the community to reflect its long-term vision, goals and objectives. Although there is no single definition, communities often include in their consideration elements relating to such things as economic health, the environment, safety and security, spiritual dimensions, social conditions, and enhancing opportunities for people and communities. The NWMO has adopted a Sustainable Livelihoods framework to encourage broad reflection and discussion by the community, inclusion of multiple perspectives, community leadership in the discussion, and establishment of a broad foundation for the assessment. The framework is expected to evolve over time as dialogue and reflection continue.