

Local/Regional Education Study Report - Southwestern Ontario Community Study



APM-REP-06300-0214

**JULY 2022** 

This report has been prepared under contract to the NWMO. The report has been reviewed by the NWMO, but the views and conclusions are those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of the NWMO.

For more information, please contact:

Nuclear Waste Management Organization
22 St. Clair Avenue East, Sixth Floor
Toronto, Ontario M4T 2S3 Canada
Tel 416.934.9814
Toll Free 1.866.249.6966
Email contactus@nwmo.ca
www.nwmo.ca

# Local/Regional Education Study Report

**Southwestern Ontario Community Study** 

July 15, 2022

PREPARED FOR:

Nuclear Waste Management Organization and Municipality of South Bruce NWMO Purchase Order Number 2001020



DPRA Canada Inc.

60 Adelaide Street East, Suite 501, Toronto, ON M5C 3E4 416-203-7222 / 1-800-661-8437 www.dpra.ca

## **Authors**

Prepared by:

MM

Samuel Hanig

Senior Associate, DPRA Canada

Sergey Mazuritsky

Associate, DPRA Canada

Reviewed by:

Tracy Farmer

Principal, DPRA Canada

Approved by:

Vicki McCulloch

Principal, DPRA Canada

) ick In (billock

## **Revision History**

Rev.	Issue Date	Description	Prepared By	Reviewed By	Approved By
0	March 28, 2022	Original Draft V1 Report	Samuel Hanig Sergey Mazuritsky	Tracy Farmer	Vicki McCulloch
1	June 1, 2022	Revised Draft V2 Report	Samuel Hanig	Tracy Farmer	Vicki McCulloch
2	July 15, 2022	Final V3 Report	Samuel Hanig	Tracy Farmer	Vicki McCulloch



# **Table of Contents**

	intr	oauc	lion		
	1.1	Back	ground and Co	ontext	1
	1.2	Land	Acknowledgen	ment	3
	1.3		_	)	
		1.3.1	•	Approach	
		1.3.2		aries	
			1.3.2.1 Educ	cation Service Boundaries	4
		1.3.3	Temporal Bou	ındaries	5
2.	Met	thodo	logy		7
	2.1	Gene	ral Approach		7
	2.2	Data	Collection / Inf	formation Sources	7
		2.2.1	Knowledge Ho	older Interviews	8
		2.2.2	_	ormation and Data Sources	
	2.3		•		
	2.4				
3.	Exi	sting	Condition	s	11
	3.1			Regional Education Services	
	3.2			Services – Bruce and Grey Counties	
		Cuite	nt Education S		
		3.2.1			
			Bluewater Dist	trict School Boarddy Area Schools	12
			Bluewater Dist 3.2.1.1 Stud	trict School Board	12 12
			Bluewater Dist 3.2.1.1 Stud 3.2.1.2 Capi	trict School Boarddy Area Schools	12 12 14
		3.2.1	Bluewater Dist 3.2.1.1 Stud 3.2.1.2 Capi Bruce-Grey Ca 3.2.2.1 Stud	trict School Boarddy Area Schools	12 12 14 14
		3.2.1	Bluewater Dist 3.2.1.1 Stud 3.2.1.2 Capi Bruce-Grey Ca 3.2.2.1 Stud 3.2.2.2 Capi	trict School Boarddy Area Schoolsdital Priorities and Initiativesatholic District School Boarddy Area Schoolsdy Area Schoolsdital Priorities and Initiatives	12 14 14 15
		3.2.1 3.2.2 3.2.3	Bluewater Dist 3.2.1.1 Stud 3.2.1.2 Capi Bruce-Grey Ca 3.2.2.1 Stud 3.2.2.2 Capi Study Area Su	trict School Board	12 14 14 15 16
	3.3	3.2.1 3.2.2 3.2.3 Curre	Bluewater Dist 3.2.1.1 Stud 3.2.1.2 Capi Bruce-Grey Ca 3.2.2.1 Stud 3.2.2.2 Capi Study Area Su nt Education S	trict School Board	12 14 14 15 16
	3.3	3.2.1 3.2.2 3.2.3	Bluewater Dist 3.2.1.1 Stud 3.2.1.2 Capi Bruce-Grey Ca 3.2.2.1 Stud 3.2.2.2 Capi Study Area Su Avon Maitland	trict School Board	
	3.3	3.2.1 3.2.2 3.2.3 Curre	Bluewater Dist 3.2.1.1 Stud 3.2.1.2 Capi Bruce-Grey Ca 3.2.2.1 Stud 3.2.2.2 Capi Study Area Su nt Education S Avon Maitland 3.3.1.1 Stud	trict School Board	
	3.3	3.2.1 3.2.2 3.2.3 Curre 3.3.1	Bluewater Dist 3.2.1.1 Stud 3.2.1.2 Capi Bruce-Grey Ca 3.2.2.1 Stud 3.2.2.2 Capi Study Area Su nt Education S Avon Maitland 3.3.1.1 Stud 3.3.1.2 Capi	trict School Board	
	3.3	3.2.1 3.2.2 3.2.3 Curre	Bluewater Dist 3.2.1.1 Stud 3.2.1.2 Capi Bruce-Grey Ca 3.2.2.1 Stud 3.2.2.2 Capi Study Area Su nt Education S Avon Maitland 3.3.1.1 Stud 3.3.1.2 Capi 3.3.2 Huron-Pe	trict School Board	
	3.3	3.2.1 3.2.2 3.2.3 Curre 3.3.1	Bluewater Dist 3.2.1.1 Stud 3.2.1.2 Capi Bruce-Grey Ca 3.2.2.1 Stud 3.2.2.2 Capi Study Area Su nt Education S Avon Maitland 3.3.1.1 Stud 3.3.1.2 Capi 3.3.2 Huron-Pe 3.3.2.1 Stud	trict School Board	
	3.3	3.2.1 3.2.2 3.2.3 Curre 3.3.1	Bluewater Dist 3.2.1.1 Stud 3.2.1.2 Capi Bruce-Grey Ca 3.2.2.1 Stud 3.2.2.2 Capi Study Area Su nt Education S Avon Maitland 3.3.1.1 Stud 3.3.1.2 Capi 3.3.2 Huron-Pe 3.3.2.1 Stud 3.3.2.2 Capi	trict School Board	



	3.4	Curren	nt Educa	tion Services – Wellington County	20
		3.4.1	Upper G	rand School Board	21
			3.4.1.1	Study Area Schools	21
			3.4.1.2	Capital Priorities and Initiatives	
		3.4.2	Wellingto	on Catholic District School Board	
			3.4.2.1	Study Area Schools	
			3.4.2.2	Capital priorities and Initiatives	
			-	rea Summary – Wellington County	
	3.5	Local a	and Reg	ional Private and Mennonite Schools	23
				ennonite Schools	
		3.5.2	Overviev	w of Local and Regional Private Schools	25
	3.6	Popula	ation and	d Enrolment Projections	27
		3.6.1	Population	on and Enrolment Projections – Bruce and Grey Counties	27
			3.6.1.1	Population Projections	27
			3.6.1.2	Enrolment Projections	
			3.6.1.3	Summary	
			•	on and Enrolment Projections – Huron County	
			3.6.2.1	Population Projections	
			3.6.2.2	Enrolment Projections	
			3.6.2.3	Summary	
				on and Enrolment Projections – Wellington County	
			3.6.3.1 3.6.3.2	Population Projections Enrolment Projections	
			3.6.3.3	Summary	
	3.7			tudy Area Capacity for K-12 Increased Enrolment	
	5.7	OVEIVI	ew or or	day Area Capacity for N-12 increased Emolinent	
4.	Rel	evant	Projec	ct Characteristics	42
	4.1		-	orce	
	4.2	-		ojections	
	4.2	•		•	
	4.0			Age Population Projections	
	4.3		_	iderations	
	4.4	Land L	Jse, Intra	astructure, and School Construction Considerations	50
5.	Pre	limina	ry An	alysis/Effects Assessment	51
	5.1	Operat	ting Con	text Which May Influence Project Effects	51
				Accommodation and Capacity Pressures	
				Concerns	
			•	sibility for Inclusion	
	5.2		•	ive Project Effects	
	5.3			ative Project Effects	



6.	Opt	ions Assessment	54
	6.1	Overview of Options	54
	6.2	Specific Options	
7.	Sur	nmary	60
		-	
	7.1	Key Findings	60
8.	Ref	erences	61
List	of F	igures	
Figure 1	1: Stud	y Area for the Local/Regional Education Study	6
Figure 2	2: Map	of Mennonite Schools and Churches in Relation to the Potential Project Site	24
Figure 3	3: Mun	cipality of South Bruce Projections by Age Cohort	28
Figure 4	4: Mun	cipality of South Bruce Projections by Age Cohort Projections by Elementary and Secondary	
	_	Age Groups	
		nship of Huron-Kinloss Projections by Age Cohort	29
Figure 6	5: Tow	nship of Huron-Kinloss Projections by Age Cohort Projections by Elementary and Secondary  Age Groups	29
Figure 7	7: Mun	cipality of Brockton Projections by Age Cohort	
•		cipality of Brockton Projections by Age Cohort Projections by Elementary and Secondary	
		Age Groups	31
-		cipality of West Grey Projections by Age Cohort	
_		vn of Hanover Projections by Age Cohort	
•		ewater District School Board Projected Utilization Rates for Study Area Schools	
-		vick Projections by Age Cohort	
_		nicipality of Morris-Turnberry Projections by Age Cohort	35
Figure 1	14: Mu	nicipality of Morris-Turnberry by Age Cohort Projections by Elementary and Secondary Age	
<b>-</b> .		Groups	
_		vnship of North Huron Projections by Age Cohort	
_		nicipality of North Huron Age Cohort Projections by Elementary and Secondary Age Groups	
_		on Maitland District School Board Projected Utilization Rates for Study Area Schools	
-		vn of Minto Projections by Age Cohort	
_		llington Catholic District School Board Projected Utilization Rates for Study Area Schools	
-		ential Developable Housing Unit Supply vs Base Case Housing Demand in Study Area	40
i igui e z	_ I. F Ul	Municipalities (2021-2046)	49
Figure 2	22. Δνα	erage Persons per Unit for Projected Housing Growth in Study Area Municipalities (2046)	



## **List of Tables**

Table 1: Education Service Boundaries Relevant to the Study Area	11
Table 2: Bluewater District School Board Schools Serving the Study Area	12
Table 3: Bruce-Grey Catholic District School Board Schools Serving the Study Area	15
Table 4: # of Schools, Utilization Rate, Available Spaces by Lower-Tier Municipalities-Bruce & Grey Counties	16
Table 5: Avon Maitland District School Board Schools Serving the Study Area	18
Table 6: Huron-Perth Catholic District School Board Schools Serving the Study Area	
Table 7: Number of Schools, Utilization Rate, and Available Spaces by Lower-Tier Municipalities in Huron  County	20
Table 8: Upper Grand District School Board Schools Serving the Study Area	21
Table 9: Wellington Catholic District School Board Schools Serving the Study Area	22
Table 10: List of Local and Regional Private and Mennonite Schools	25
Table 11: Workforce by Project Phase	42
Table 12: Base and Impact Case Population Projections, 2021-2046	43
Table 13: Base and Impact Case School Age Population Projections for MSB, Huron-Kinloss, Brockton,  Morris-Turnberry, and North Huron Combined	45
Table 14: Base and Impact Case School Age Population Projections for the Municipality of South Bruce	45
Table 15: Base and Impact Case School Age Population Projections for the Township of Huron-Kinloss	46
Table 16: Base and Impact Case School Age Population Projections for the Municipality of Brockton	46
Table 17: Base and Impact Case School Age Population Projections for the Township North Huron	47
Table 18: Base and Impact Case School Age Population Projections for the Municipality of Morris-	
Turnberry,	
Table 19: Base Case and Impact Case Housing Projections, 2021-2046	48
Table 20: Option 1- Providing Timely Information to School Boards/Mennonite and Private Schools on Project-Related Information	56
Table 21: Option 2- Creating an Education-Focused NWMO CSR Program Stream	57
Table 22: Option 3 - Creating a Participatory Social Monitoring Program	50

## **List of Appendices**

Appendix A. List of Socio-Economic Community Studies
Appendix B. Inventory of Knowledge Holders Interviewed



## **List of Acronyms**

APM	Adaptive Phased Management
CNSC	Canadian Nuclear Safety Commission
CSR	Corporate Social Responsibility
DPRA	DPRA Canada Inc.
FI	French Immersion
IA	Impact Assessment
LTAP	Long-Term Accommodation Plan
OTG	On-the-Ground Capacity
MOU	Memorandum of Understanding
MSB	Municipality of South Bruce
NWMO	Nuclear Waste Management Organization
SHSM	Specialist High Skills Major



## 1. Introduction

## 1.1 Background and Context

Since 2012, the Municipality of South Bruce (MSB) has been involved in a process of learning about the Nuclear Waste Management Organization's (NWMO) Adaptive Phased Management (APM) Project ('the Project') for the long-term management of Canada's used nuclear fuel. The two remaining siting areas in the process are the South Bruce Area and Ignace Area. The NWMO plans to complete all preliminary assessment work and to select one community/area to host the Project by 2023. Preliminary studies suggest that the Project can be implemented safely in the South Bruce Area for a repository that will contain, and isolate, used nuclear fuel from people and the environment for the long timeframes required.

Further detailed studies are required to fully assess the potential impacts of the Project in the community and regionally. Building on previous work, engagement completed to-date, and the MSB's 36 Guiding Principles, the NWMO and the MSB are working together to prepare a suite of community studies which will be shared broadly with the community. The list of socio-economic community studies is included in **Appendix A**. These studies were undertaken by the NWMO or MSB, with some being joint efforts. The MSB has retained consultants (the GHD team) to develop a number of studies and to peer review others developed by the NWMO and their consultants (the DPRA Canada Inc. (DPRA) team). The information acquired through these studies is expected to help MSB leadership and residents make informed decisions about whether the Project is a good fit for their community, and if they are willing to consider hosting it and under what circumstances and terms.

This Local/Regional Education Study is one of the community studies being prepared. This study is organized as follows:

- Purpose and Scope (Section 1.3)
- Methodology (Section 2)
- Existing Conditions (Section 3)
- Relevant Adaptive Phased Management Project Characteristics (Section 0)
- Preliminary Analysis/Effects Assessment (Section 5)
- Options Assessment (Section 6)
- Summary (Section 7)
- References (Section 8)



#### Note to Reader:

This and other community studies are preliminary and strategic in nature, all intended to identify possible consequences (e.g., to local and regional educations services [elementary through high school]) in the South Bruce Area based on our current level of understanding of the Project. Using information known at this point in time, these community studies will describe a range of possible consequences that are the subject of specific and separate studies. For each possible consequence, potential options are offered to leverage opportunities and/or mitigate possible negative consequences/effects.

It is important to note that these community studies (developed collaboratively by the NWMO and the MSB) being investigated at this time are <u>not</u> the formal or final baseline or effects studies that will be part of the Impact Assessment (IA). Those studies will be completed at a later date if the Project is located in the area. However, these current studies will inform the effects studies that will be initiated at a later date.

These community studies are intended to support current dialogue between the MSB and the NWMO regarding a potential hosting agreement by:

- a) Exploring in more detail the questions, aspirations and topics of interest expressed by the community through the Guiding Principles approved by the MSB following the project visioning process completed in the community;
- b) Assisting the NWMO and the MSB in developing a deeper understanding of the community aspirations/values and to work with the MSB in identifying possible programs and commitments which ensure that the Project will be implemented in a manner that fosters the well-being of the community and area;
- c) Advancing learning and understanding on topics of interest to the neighboring areas; and
- d) Providing the community with information it has requested to help them make an informed decision in 2023.

The NWMO is committed to collaboratively working with the communities to ensure questions, concerns and aspirations are captured and addressed through continuous engagement and dialogue.

The NWMO will independently engage with the Saugeen Ojibway Nation to understand how they wish to evaluate the potential negative effects and benefits that the Project may bring to their communities.



## 1.2 Land Acknowledgement

It is acknowledged that the lands and communities discussed in this report are situated on the Traditional Territory of the Anishinabek Nation: The People of the Three Fires known as Ojibwe, Odawa and Pottawatomie Nations. The Chippewas of Saugeen and the Chippewas of Neyaashiinigmiing (Nawash), now known as the Saugeen Ojibway Nation, are the traditional keepers of this land and water. It is also recognized that the ancestors of the Historic Saugeen Métis and Georgian Bay Métis communities shared this land and these waters.

## 1.3 Purpose and Scope

Objectives for this study are described in the *Local/Regional Education Study Work Plan* (DPRA, October 2021). The overall objective of the of the *Local/Regional Education Study* is to assess the effects of the Project on the local and regional education services (elementary through high school).

The specific objectives of the Local/Regional Education Study are to:

- 1. Describe the likely increase in enrolment in local schools (K-12).
- 2. Describe potential options to maintain or enhance the educational infrastructure in South Bruce and the neighbouring community such that it is available in advance of the likely increase in enrolment.

It is noted that the separate *Workforce Development Study* (Keir Corp., 2022b) looks at the post-secondary setting, and amongst other things, describes how NWMO and regional agencies can develop the workforce necessary to undertake the Project.

The Local/Regional Education Study is relevant to the MSB Guiding Principles (2020) #10, #15 and #321:

- #10: "The NWMO will identify the potential for any positive and negative socio-economic impacts of the Project on South Bruce and surrounding communities and what community benefits it will contribute to mitigate any potential risks."
- #15: "The NWMO, in partnership with the Municipality, will commit to implement programs to engage with
  and provide opportunities for youth in the community, including investments in education and the provision
  of scholarships, bursaries and other incentives for youth to remain in or return to the community."
- #32: "The NWMO, in consultation with the Municipality and other local and regional partners, will prepare a
  strategy to ensure there are sufficient community services and amenities, including health, child-care,
  educational and recreational facilities, to accommodate the expected population growth associated with
  hosting the Project in South Bruce."

The Local/Regional Education Study provides information directly relevant to Principles #15 and #32, and contributes more generally to Principle #10. The Local/Regional Education Study provides information that the NWMO and MSB can use to inform agreements and funding arrangements (e.g., ,as may be needed in addressing Principles #15 and #32) in the future as part of negotiations of a draft hosting agreement and/ or subsequent studies/ discussions if the South Bruce Area is ultimately selected as the Project location. For clarity, development of these types of agreements/arrangements is not part of the objectives / work plan for this study.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> It is noted that an initial cross-referencing of Guiding Principles prepared by MSB indicated that #20 ("The NWMO, in consultation with the Municipality, will commit to implementing a local employment and training strategy with the objective of ensuring that the majority of employees for the Project are located within South Bruce and surrounding communities.") was applicable to the Local/Regional Education Study. However, it would appear that #20 is more directly relevant to the Workforce Development Study (Keir Corp., 2022b).



The MSB and the NWMO will be jointly responsible for the completion of the *Local/Regional Education Study*. This study was undertaken by DPRA.

#### 1.3.1 Peer Review Approach

Earlier drafts of this *Local/Regional Education Study* Report was reviewed by MSB consultants according to their Peer Review Protocol. The Peer Review Protocol provides for a collaborative approach to conducting the peer review, with peer review activity occurring throughout the execution of the study. The *Local/Regional Education Study* is a Joint Study, which has been conducted by the NWMO; the NWMO determined the spatial Study Area, developed data and inputs used to establish baseline conditions and conducted the assessment of the forecasted effects resulting from the Project.

MSB consultants jointly participated in developing data inputs and baseline conditions. Peer review has been undertaken on the framing and scope of the study, and the effects assessment. Options developed to address potential effects are presented in this report.

For the Local/Regional Education Study, the peer review was led by MDB Insight (now Deloitte) as part of the GHD team.

#### 1.3.2 Spatial Boundaries

As shown in Figure 1, the spatial boundaries for the Local/Regional Education Study are:

- Bruce County:
  - The MSB (including Teeswater, Mildmay and Formosa);
  - Township of Huron-Kinloss (including Ripley, Lucknow);
  - Municipality of Brockton (including Walkerton);
- · Grey County:
  - Town of Hanover;
  - Municipality of West Grey;
- Huron County:
  - o Township of North Huron
  - Township of Howick;
  - Municipality of Morris-Turnberry; and
- Wellington County:
  - Town of Minto.

#### 1.3.2.1 Education Service Boundaries

There are five school boards that service these counties and other local municipalities in the area:

- Bluewater District School Board (Bruce County and Grey County);
- Bruce-Grey Catholic District School Board (Bruce County and Grey County);
- Avon Maitland District School Board (Huron County and Perth County);
- Huron-Perth Catholic District School Board (Huron County and Perth County); and
- Upper Grand District School Board (including Minto/Wellington County, City of Guelph, and County of Dufferin).
- Wellington Catholic District School Board (including Minto/Wellington County and City of Guelph).

Although not considered part of the educational service boundaries, this study does identify Mennonite and private schools located within the Study Area.



## 1.3.3 Temporal Boundaries

The temporal boundaries for the *Local/Regional Education Study* are as follows:

- Current Period (2016/2022)
- Near-term (2023 to 2032) Pre-Construction
  - o Aligns with end of site preparation phase in 2032 and design and construction start 2033
- Mid-term (2033 to 2042) Construction
  - Aligns with construction phase ending in 2042 and operations start 2043
- Long-term (2043 and beyond) Operations
  - Aligns with operations phase (approximately 40 years; does not include monitoring and decommissioning)



DISTRICT MUNICIPALITY OF MUSKOKA **Spatial Boundary** for Local/Regional MUNICIPALITY OF NORTHERN BRUCE PENINSULA Chippewas of Nawash Unceded First Nations **Education Study** TOWN OF SOUTH BRUCE PENINSULA Legend Potential South Bruce Project Georgian Bay = = Study Area For Local/Regional Chief's Point L \_ \_ ■ Education Study Giigonke Piinsauger Municipality of South Bruce TOWNSHIP OF GEORGIAN BLUFFS Upper Tier Municipal Boundary Chippewas of Saugeen MUNICIPALITY OF MEAFORD First Nation Lower Tier Municipal Boundary CITY OF OWEN SOUND Saugeen Ojibway Nation TOWN OF THE BLUE MOUNTAINS Waterbodies Watercourse COUNTY OF MUNICIPALITY OF Lake Huron SIMCOE TOWNSHIP OF COUNTY CHATSWORTH OF GREY COUNTY MUNICIPALITY OF GREY HIGHLANDS OF BRUCE MUNICIPALITY MUNICIPALITY MUNICIPALITY OF BROCKTON OF WEST Walkerton HANDVER TOWNSHIP OF SOUTHGATE Mildmay COUNTY OF TOWNSHIP OF HURON-KINLOSS DUFFERIN MUNICIPALITY OF SOUTH BRUCE TOWNSHIP OF WELLINGTON NORTH WN OF MINTO TOWNSHIP OF HOWICK REGIONAL SCALE 1:650,000 TOWNSHIP OF ASHFIELD-COLBORNE-WAWANOSH MUNICIPALITY REFERENCES
Base Data - Ministry of Northern Development, Mines,
Natural Resources and Forestry, Land OF PEEL Information Ontario Accessed: January 2022 MUNICIPALITY OF MORRIS-TURNBERRY Projection: Universal Transverse Mercator Datum: NAD83 Coordinate System: UTM Zone 17N COUNTY OF TOWNSHIP OF WELLINGTON TOWN OF TOWN OF ERIN COUNTY TOWNSHIP OF CENTRE WELLINGTON OF HURON COUNTY MUNICIPALITY OF HURON EAST OF PERTH MUNICIPALITY OF CENTRAL HURON REGIONAL REGIONAL MUNICIPALITY OF WATERLOO TOWNSHIP OF MUNICIPALIT OF HALTON 0524900\_NWMO\_DPRAIMapaiGeo\_Env\_MapaiGWO\_SocialCommunityStudies\_14.mxd March 2022

Figure 1: Study Area for the Local/Regional Education Study



# Methodology

## 2.1 General Approach

The NWMO and the MSB drafted Statements of Work for each community study in response to the MSB's 36 Guiding Principles. As previously mentioned, the community studies are being undertaken by the NWMO or the MSB, with some being joint efforts.

The socio-economic community studies were categorized into three themes: Economics, Social Cultural, and Infrastructure and Aggregate. For the complete list of the socio-economic community studies, see **Appendix A**.

The following methodology pertains to the 13 socio-economic community studies solely or jointly led by the NWMO.

Based on the Statements of Work, work plans for each community study were developed. The work plans:

- Outlined the peer review approach with the MSB
- Identified linkages to other studies
- Identified the spatial and temporal boundaries
- Identified key assumptions that will dictate the completion of the study
- Described the tasks associated with the study and schedule for each task
- Identified key information sources and data collection methods

Draft work plans, including the *Local/Regional Education Study Work Plan* (DPRA, October 2021), were reviewed by the MSB and its peer review team. Formal peer review team comments on the draft community study work plans were received in September 2021. The peer review of the draft *Local/Regional Education Study* work plan was undertaken by MDB Insight (now Deloitte) as part of the GHD team.

DPRA provided Comment Disposition Tables and revised work plans to respond to the peer review comments in October 2021. In a memo dated November 3, 2021, the GHD team provided acknowledgement of comments that were addressed in the revised community study work plans or flagged to be addressed in future work such as the community study reports.

Several consultant consortium meetings and "check-in" meetings with the MSB and its peer review team were held during the development of each study.

In addition, meetings with neighbouring municipalities (i.e., the Township of Huron-Kinloss, Municipality of Brockton, Township of North Huron, and Municipality of Morris-Turnberry<sup>2</sup>) were held to discuss the progress and scope of the community studies. DPRA attended a meeting on February 17, 2022 to discuss the *Local/Regional Education Study*.

## 2.2 Data Collection / Information Sources

Data and key information for this study was collected from primary sources including knowledge holder interviews and secondary sources such as Project information from the NWMO and data/documents from local and regional organizations as well as publicly available education websites. The sections below describe how data and information was collected from these sources.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> In February 2022, the Municipality of Morris-Turnberry began to participate in these meetings.



In addition, relevant information was obtained from the findings/results of the *Labour Baseline Study*, *Workforce Development Study* and the *Housing Needs and Demand Analysis Study* (Keir Corp., 2022a, 2022b, 2022c). Data and information were collected for these studies using the methodology described in their respective study report.

#### 2.2.1 Knowledge Holder Interviews

The selection of knowledge holders through an iterative review process between the NWMO and the MSB. A representative from NWMO reached out to the potential knowledge holders to determine their interest and availability to take part in the interview process and to schedule interviews. In instances in which knowledge holders were unfamiliar with the Project, links to the Project website were sent to increase their familiarity and understanding. A representative from the NWMO, DPRA, and representatives from the MSB peer review team (MDB Insight (now Deloitte) as part of the GHD team) were present during each of the interviews. The knowledge holders were provided with a Generic Interview Guide prior to the interview to provide background information on the Project and a general framework for the interview. At the start of each interview, the NWMO representative presented some high level information about the Project and if requested by the knowledge holder, more specific Project details. During the interviews, DPRA and MSB's peer review team representative asked questions identified in the Guide as well as more specific questions relevant to the community study. The NWMO representative took notes during the interviews and distributed the notes and any documents/links received from the knowledge holders to the consultants/peer review team members. DPRA and the peer review team had an opportunity to review and revise the notes as necessary. The interviews was used in the development of the study report.

Knowledge holder interviews were undertaken with the following organizations:

- Avon Maitland District School Board
- Ontario Youth Apprenticeship Program
- Bruce-Grey Catholic District School Board
- Four County Labour Marking Planning Board

At the time of writing this report, efforts made to follow-up and schedule interviews with the following schoolboards have not been successful:

- Huron-Perth Catholic District School Board
- Upper Grand District School Board

Bluewater District School Board declined to formally participate in an interview for the *Local/Regional Education Study.* 

Further details on the knowledge holder interviews are provided in **Appendix B**.

#### 2.2.2 Other Key Information and Data Sources

In order to identify information and data to support findings gathered from key knowledge holders, an iterative online search was conducted, starting with the websites for the individual school boards. Documents and data regarding the capacity and capacity-related initiatives for these school boards were collected. The information found in these sources also resulted in further research on:

- School board strategic plans and annual reports
- School board enrolment and utilization data and projections
- School board capital/facility and accommodation plans

Information collected through this process was then used to inform an understanding of the current state of local and regional education in the Study Area.



Other information and data sources that provided context for this study included:

- The Labour Baseline Study, Workforce Development Study and Housing Needs and Demand Analysis Study reports (Keir Corp., 2022a, 2022b, 2022c), Land Use Study (MHBC and DPRA, 2022) and Infrastructure Baseline and Feasibility Study (Morrison Hershfield, 2022)
- Community Studies Planning Assumptions (Confidential) (NWMO, October 2021)
- South Bruce and Area Growth Expectations Memorandum (metroeconomics, 2022a)
- South Bruce and Area Growth Expectations with School Age Population Groupings Memorandum (metroeconomics, 2022b)
- Growth and economic development strategies/plans for Bruce, Grey, Wellington, and Huron Counties
- 2016 Census data
- Ontario Ministry of Finance Population Projections
- Information provided by MSB and Huron Kinloss on the location of Mennonite schools
- Inventory of local schools
- Maps of elementary and secondary schools within each school board and other schools (e.g., Mennonite)

The MSB (metroeconomics, 2022a) prepared base case ('without the Project') projections for population, housing and employment for five local municipalities. A corresponding set of incremental 'anticipated Project effects' projections for each of these demographics was also prepared (metroeconomics, 2022a) utilizing MSB Project-related growth targets. metroeconomics also provided separately data on school age population groupings/projections for those five local municipalities (metroeconomics, 2022b). For this *Local/Regional Education Study*, metroeconomics' population and housing projections were incorporated in the analysis.

#### 2.3 Assessment

Following completion of the data collection phase, the primary and secondary findings were reviewed to identify key themes. The findings were also analyzed with respect to relevant findings/Project assumptions reported in the Labour Baseline Study, Workforce Development Study, Housing Needs and Demand Analysis Study, Infrastructure Baseline and Feasibility Study and MSB's projections (see Section 4, below). When information from other community studies was included in this report to support findings and analysis, the content was sent to the relevant community study authors for validation. The findings were then triangulated to enhance the validity of the research by increasing the likelihood that the findings and the interpretations will be found credible and dependable. Three types of triangulation techniques were employed:

- Methodological involves the use of more than one data collection technique
- Data involves the use of multiple data sources or respondent groups
- Investigator entails the involvement of two or more researchers/evaluators in the analysis

Data analysis involved identifying cross-cutting themes and areas of relative importance.

With respect to the effects assessment (see Section 5, below), knowledge holders and DPRA identified potential positive and negative effects they feel might occur as a result of the Project. The relative importance/priority of these effects was assessed in relation to the existing challenges facing the delivery of elementary and secondary education services and current initiatives to expand capacity, as well as the findings and assessment of the *Labour Baseline Study, Workforce Development Study, Housing Needs and Demand Analysis Study* reports and MSB's population and housing projections (metroeconomics, 2022a).

The options assessment in Section 6 builds on the effects assessment.



#### 2.4 Limitations

There are several limitations that were encountered in conducting the research and analysis for the *Local/Regional Education Study* report.

- 1. Only a small number of knowledge holder interviews were completed (see Section 2.2.1 for details).
- 2. There is limited access to current enrolment and capacity data and projections across all school boards, private schools, and Mennonite schools.
- 3. Where projections are provided by school boards, they vary in timeframe and are relatively short term (i.e., longest available projection is to 2030) compared to the temporal boundaries defined for the Project.
- 4. Population projections for lower tier municipalities (census subdivisions) vary in availability and scope.



# 3. Existing Conditions

It is important to understand the existing context in which information for the study was collected and analyzed, and that may influence the potential effects of the Project (Section 5) and the potential options put forth for consideration (Section 6).

## 3.1 Overview of Local/Regional Education Services

There are six (6) school boards which serve the school age population within the Study Area. Table 1 illustrates how coverage of the municipalities within the Study Area are organized across the various school boards within the region:

Table 1: Education Service Boundaries Relevant to the Study Area

District School Board	Study Area
Bluewater District School Board	Bruce County:
Bruce-Grey Catholic District School Board	The MSB (including Teeswater, Mildmay and Formosa)
	Township of Huron-Kinloss (including Ripley, Lucknow)
	Municipality of Brockton (including Walkerton)
	Grey County:
	Town of Hanover
	Municipality of West Grey
Avon Maitland District School Board	Huron County:
Huron-Perth Catholic District School	Township of Howick
Board	Municipality of Morris-Turnberry
	Township of North Huron
Upper Grand District School Board	Wellington County:
Wellington Catholic District School Board	Town of Minto

Information gathered from knowledge holders specifies that the school age population has a right to attend elementary (Junior Kindergarten through Grade 8) and secondary (Grade 9 through Grade 12) schools within their neighbourhood boundaries and in turn, the school boards have a duty to accommodate all students. However, school boards only receive funding for infrastructure and operations from the province based on current enrolment data, rather than projections. Further, with this funding model, district school boards and catholic district school boards serving the same region accommodate students independently, based on their respective enrolment figures.

There are also approximately 102 private schools serving the broader region of Bruce County, Grey County, Huron County, and the Town of Minto (Wellington County), and several Mennonite schools. These schools are not required to accommodate new students. The Conseil scolaire catholique Providence (Csc Providence) also operates one (1) combined elementary and secondary school in the City of Owen Sound (which is located outside of the Study Area)<sup>3</sup>.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> While it is foreseeable that students within the Study Area may seek to enroll in École Catholique Saint-Dominique-Savio under the Csc Providence school board, publicly available information and data on this school / school board was not identified for inclusion in this study.



## 3.2 Current Education Services – Bruce and Grey Counties

Bruce and Grey Counties are both served by the Bluewater District School Board and the Bruce-Grey Catholic District School Board and as such, provide coverage to the Study Area municipalities including the Municipality of South Bruce, the Township of Huron-Kinloss, Municipality of Brockton, Town of Hanover, and the Municipality of West Grey.

#### 3.2.1 Bluewater District School Board

#### **Bluewater District School Board profile:**

- The school board operates 40 elementary schools and nine (9) secondary schools (Bluewater District School Board, 2021a).
- In 2021 the average daily enrolment for the school board was 12,261 elementary school students and 4,056 secondary school students (Ontario Ministry of Education, 2021).
- In 2019 the school board had an elementary school utilization rate<sup>4</sup> of 82% and a secondary school utilization rate of 78% (Bluewater District School Board, 2019).
- The school board employs approximately 3,000 staff (Bluewater District School Board, 2021a).

#### 3.2.1.1 Study Area Schools

As shown in Table 2, there are 13 elementary schools and three (3) secondary schools operated by the Bluewater District School Board that are attended by the school age population within the Study Area in Bruce County and Grey County. These schools have a total estimated enrolment of 4,166 students, with a total on-the-ground capacity of 5,527 and an overall utilization rate of 75%.

Elementary schools serving the Study Area have a total estimated enrolment of 3,010 with the capacity to accommodate 4,253 students. These schools have utilization rates ranging from 45% to 120% and have an overall utilization rate of 71%.

Secondary schools serving the Study Area have a total estimated enrolment of 1,156 with the capacity to accommodate 1,274 students. These schools have utilization rates ranging from 89% to 96% and have a total utilization rate of 91%.

Table 2: Bluewater District School Board Schools Serving the Study Area

School	Location	Servicing	Grade Levels	On the Ground Capacity	2018/19 Enrolment	2018/19 Utilization
Mildmay- Carrick Public School	Mildmay	MSB	JK – 8	268	167	62%
Hillcrest Central School	Teeswater	MSB	JK – 8	245	110	45%

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Utilization Rate: Average daily enrolment divided by on-the-ground capacity.



School	Location	Servicing	Grade Levels	On the Ground Capacity	2018/19 Enrolment	2018/19 Utilization
Elgin Market Public School (French Immersion)	Kincardine	MSB Huron-Kinloss	JK – 6	222	266	120%
Kincardine District Senior School (elementary)	Kincardine	MSB Huron-Kinloss	7 – 8; 7 – 8 (FI)	161	05	0%
Ripley-Huron Community School	Ripley	Huron-Kinloss	JK – 8	351	279	79%
Lucknow Central Public School	Lucknow	Huron-Kinloss	JK – 8	306	139	45%
Walkerton District Community School	Walkerton	Brockton	JK – 8	445	400	90%
Chesley District Community School	Chesley	Brockton	JK – 8	505	336	67%
Normanby Community School	Ayton	West Grey	JK – 8	317	190	60%
Spruce Ridge Community School	Durham	West Grey	JK – 8	516	389	75%
Dawnview Public School	Hanover	MSB Brockton West Grey Hanover	JK – 6; JK – 6 French Immersion (FI)	239	229	96%
John Diefenbaker Senior School (elementary)	Hanover	MSB Brockton West Grey Hanover	7 – 8; 7 – 8 (FI)	161	143	89%
Hanover Heights Community School	Hanover	West Grey Hanover	JK – 6	517	362	70%

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Current data is not available but forecasted data is available. The facility planning strategy for Bluewater District School Board says that this school is operational as of 2018/19. It is technically combined with the Kincardine District Senior (Secondary) school, but they are treated differently in terms of enrolment and on-the-ground (OTG) capacity projections



School	Location	Servicing	Grade Levels	On the Ground Capacity	2018/19 Enrolment	2018/19 Utilization
Elementary T	otal			4253	3010	71%
Walkerton District Community Secondary School	Walkerton	Brockton MSB	9 – 12	468	425	91%
Kincardine District Senior School (secondary)	Kincardine	MSB Huron-Kinloss	9 – 12; 9 – 12 (FI)	567	502	89%
John Diefenbaker Senior School (secondary)	Hanover	MSB Brockton West Grey Hanover	9 – 12; 9 – 12 (FI)	239	229	89%
Secondary Total				1274	1156	91%
Overall Total				5527	4166	75%

(Bluewater District School Board, 2020; 2022a)

#### 3.2.1.2 Capital Priorities and Initiatives

Bluewater District School Board received approval for a new elementary school for 236 students in the town of Markdale, as a replacement for the Beavercrest Community School. However, based on updated enrolment estimates the school board submitted a letter to the Ministry of Education requesting a scope increase to be able to accommodate 328 students (Bluewater District School Board, 2022b). The school board has also received approval for a new secondary school for 881 students to replace the Kincardine District Secondary School (Bluewater District School Board, 2021b).

The school board submitted a business case to the Ministry of Education for approval to consolidate three (3) elementary schools in Kincardine (Kincardine Township-Tiverton Public School, Elgin Market Public School, and Huron Heights Public School) into one (1) new school (Bluewater District School Board, 2022c). The school board also submitted a business case to consolidate two (2) elementary schools in the Dundalk area (Dundalk and Proton Community School and Highpoint Community School) into one (1) new school (Bluewater District School Board, 2021d).

#### 3.2.2 Bruce-Grey Catholic District School Board

#### **Bruce-Grey Catholic District School Board profile:**

- The school board operates 11 elementary schools and two (2) secondary schools, with 25 portable classrooms in use across the school board<sup>6</sup> (Lantz et al., 2021).
- In 2021 the average daily enrolment for the school board was 2,979 elementary school students and 1,509 secondary school students (Ontario Ministry of Education, 2021).

<sup>6</sup> Publicly available information on the overall usage of portable classrooms was not identified for other school boards serving the Study Area



- In 2021 the school board had an elementary school utilization rate of 108% and a secondary school utilization rate of 117% (Lantz et al., 2021).
- The school board employs approximately 598 staff (Lantz et al., 2021).

#### 3.2.2.1 Study Area Schools

As shown in Table 3, there are eight (8) elementary schools and one (1) secondary school operated by the Bruce-Grey Catholic District School Board that are attended by the school age population within the Study Area in Bruce County and Grey County. Capacity, enrolment, and utilization statistics are not publicly available at the school level.<sup>7</sup>

Table 3: Bruce-Grey Catholic District School Board Schools Serving the Study Area

School	Location	Servicing	Grade Levels
École Immaculée-Conception	Formosa	MSB Huron-Kinloss	JK – 8 (FI)
Sacred Heart Catholic School	Teeswater	MSB Brockton Huron-Kinloss	JK – 8
Sacred Heart Catholic School	Mildmay	MSB Minto	JK – 8
St. Anthony's Catholic School	Kincardine	Huron-Kinloss	JK – 8 (FI)
Mary Immaculate Catholic School	Chepstow (Brockton)	Brockton West Grey	JK – 8
St. Teresa of Calcutta Catholic School	Walkerton	Brockton	JK – 8
St. Peter & St. Paul's Catholic School	Durham	West Grey	JK – 8
Holy Family Catholic School	Hanover	MSB Brockton Huron-Kinloss West Grey Hanover	JK – 8
Sacred Heart High School	Walkerton	MSB Brockton Huron-Kinloss West Grey Hanover	9 – 12

(Bruce-Grey Catholic District School Board, 2022)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> A request has been made to the Bruce-Grey Catholic District School Board for data associated with capacity, enrollment, and utilization at the school-level. However, at the time of writing this report the data has not yet been received.



#### 3.2.2.2 Capital Priorities and Initiatives

Bruce-Grey Catholic District School Board has received approval from the Ministry of Education for an eight-room classroom and a four-room childcare addition for St. Anthony's Catholic School in Kincardine and is currently seeking to contract this work. The school board also received approval for an addition for St. Mary's High School in the City of Owen Sound (which is located outside of the Study Area) and is working in partnership with the Ministry of Education and staff at Infrastructure Ontario to pursue this initiative. The school board has also designated capital funding to ensure both elementary and secondary school students have greater access to technology (Lantz et al., 2021).

#### 3.2.3 Study Area Summary – Bruce and Grey Counties

Overall, the current capacity at the schools that service the Study Area within Bruce and Grey counties appears to be adequate. When looking at the current enrolment statistics at the Bluewater District School Board, there seems to be 1,243 available elementary student spaces and 118 available secondary school spaces. Though capacity and utilization rates at the Bruce-Grey Catholic District School Board are not publicly available, information gathered through a knowledge holder interview indicates that the school board does not have high utilization rates in all schools despite having a total utilization rate that is over 100%. Table 4 provides a summary of the schools and utilization statistics by lower-tier municipality where available<sup>8</sup>:

Table 4: # of Schools, Utilization Rate, Available Spaces by Lower-Tier Municipalities-Bruce & Grey Counties

Lower-Tier Municipality	Number of Schools	Utilization Rate	Available Spaces
	6 Public Elementary Schools	71%	381
Municipality of South Pruss	3 Public Secondary Schools	91%	118
Municipality of South Bruce	3 Catholic Elementary Schools	-	-
	1 Catholic Secondary School	-	-
	4 Public Elementary Schools	82%	242
Brockton	2 Public Secondary Schools	93%	53
BIOCKIOII	4 Catholic Elementary Schools	-	-
	1 Catholic Secondary School	-	-
	4 Public Elementary Schools	66%	356
Huron-Kinloss	1 Public Secondary Schools	89%	65
Tidion-Killioss	4 Catholic Elementary Schools	-	-
	1 Catholic Secondary School	-	-
	3 Public Elementary Schools	70%	409
West Grey	1 Public Secondary School	95%	10
West Grey	3 Catholic Elementary Schools	-	-
	1 Catholic Secondary School	-	-
	3 Public Elementary Schools	80%	183
Hanover	1 Public Secondary School	95%	10
I lallovel	1 Catholic Elementary School	-	-
	1 Catholic Secondary School	-	-

<sup>8</sup> It is important to note that school boundaries do not always align with municipal boundaries and many schools service multiple municipalities at once. For this reason, the statistics by lower-tier municipality may count the same school multiple times. Therefore, the total number of schools, and the relative statistics may not align with the statistics presented in Tables 3 and 4.



## 3.3 Current Education Services – Huron County

Huron County is served by the Avon Maitland District School Board and the Huron-Perth Catholic District School Board which provide coverage to several Study Area municipalities including the Township of North Huron, Municipality of Morris-Turnberry, and Township of Howick.

#### 3.3.1 Avon Maitland District School Board

#### **Avon Maitland District School Board profile:**

- The school board operates 32 elementary schools and nine (9) secondary schools (Avon Maitland District School Board, 2021a).
- In 2021 the average daily enrolment for the school board was 10,183 elementary school students and 4,570 secondary school students (Ontario Ministry of Education, 2021).
- In 2021 the school board had an elementary school utilization rate of 79% and a secondary school utilization rate of 69% (Avon Maitland District School Board, 2021b).
- The school board employs approximately 2,700 staff (Avon Maitland District School Board, 2021a).

#### 3.3.1.1 Study Area Schools

As seen in Table 5, there are four (4) elementary schools and two (2) secondary schools operated by the Avon Maitland District School Board that are attended by the school age population within the Study Area in Huron County. These schools have a total estimated enrolment of 2,339 students, with a total on-the-ground capacity of 3,438 and an overall utilization rate of 68%.

Elementary schools serving the Study Area have a total estimated enrolment of 1,286 with the capacity to accommodate 1,518 students. These school have utilization rates ranging from 81% to 98% and have a total utilization rate of 85%.

Secondary schools serving the Study Area have a total estimated enrolment of 1,053 with the capacity to accommodate 1,920 students. These school have utilization rates ranging from 56% to 86% and an overall utilization rate of 55%, which appears to be the result of the relatively low utilization rate of F.E. Madill Secondary School in North Huron.



Table 5: Avon Maitland District School Board Schools Serving the Study Area

School	Location	Servicing Grade Levels		On the Ground Capacity	2020/21 Enrolment	2020/21 Utilization
Brookside Public School	Lucknow	North Huron JK – 8		305	248	81%
Howick Central Public School	Gorrie	Howick	JK – 8	420	322	77%
Hullett Central Public School	Londesborough	North Huron	North Huron JK – 8		255	98%
Maitland River	Wingham	North Huron Morris- Turnberry		534	461	86%
Elementary Total				1518	1286	85%
F. E. Madill Secondary School	Wingham	North Huron Morris- Turnberry Howick	7 – 12	1041	582	56%
Central Huron Secondary School	Clinton	North Huron	9 – 12	879	471	86%
Secondary Total				1920	1053	55%
Overall Total	3438	2339	68%			

(Avon Maitland District School Board, 2021; 2022)

#### 3.3.1.2 Capital Priorities and Initiatives

As of 2021, the Avon Maitland District School Board had submitted proposals for an addition to the Avon Public School in Stratford to increase on-the-ground capacity by 95 spaces, as well as an addition to increase the Listowel Eastdale Public School capacity by 98 spaces and 49 childcare spaces (Avon Maitland District School Board, 2021b). Both schools are located outside of the Study Area.

The school board is implementing a Long-Term Accommodation Plan (LTAP) over three years. This involves the use of a software tool for sharing relevant data and information with schools and communities that support student accommodation decisions. The school board has also put in place a new Boundary Review Administrative Procedure and is currently the process of conducting a boundary review of North Perth (Avon Maitland District School Board, 2021a), which concerns boundaries located outside of the Study Area.



#### 3.3.2 Huron-Perth Catholic District School Board

#### **Huron-Perth District School Board profile:**

- The school board operates 16 elementary schools and two (2) secondary schools (Roehrig & Van Loon, 2021).
- In 2021 the average daily enrolment for the school board was 3,310 elementary school students and 1,356 secondary school students (Ontario Ministry of Education, 2021).
- In 2019 the school board had an elementary school utilization rate of 82% and a secondary school utilization rate of 78% (Roehrig & Van Loon, 2021).
- The school board employs approximately 568 staff (Roehrig & Van Loon, 2021).

#### 3.3.2.1 Study Area Schools

As shown in Table 6, there are three (3) elementary schools and one (1) secondary school operated by the Huron-Perth Catholic District School Board that are attended by the school age population within the Study Area in Huron County. These schools have a total estimated enrolment of 1,310 students, with a total on-the-ground capacity of 1,393 and an overall utilization rate of 94%.

Elementary schools serving the Study Area in Huron County have a total estimated enrolment of 721 with the capacity to accommodate 805 students. These schools have utilization rates ranging from 77% to 99% and have an overall utilization rate of 71%.

The only secondary school serving the Study Area in Huron County has a total estimated enrolment of 588 with the capacity to accommodate 589 students; this school has a 100% utilization rate.

Table 6: Huron-Perth Catholic District School Board Schools Serving the Study Area

School	Location	Location Servicing Grade Levels		On the Ground Capacity	2020/21 Enrolment	2020/21 Utilization
St. Joseph's, Clinton	Clinton	Clinton North Huron JK – 8		225	223	99%
St. Mary's, Goderich (French Immersion)	Goderich	Goderich  North Huron (FI)  Morris-Turnberry  (FI)  Howick (FI)		343	316	92%
Sacred Heart, Wingham	North Huron Wingham Morris-Turnberry JK – 8 Howick		JK – 8	237	182	77%
Elementary Total				805	721	90%
St. Anne's Catholic Secondary Clinton  Clinton  North Huron Morris-Turnberry Howick  9 – 12		588	589	100%		
Secondary Total	Secondary Total					100%
Overall Total				1393	1310	94%

(Roehrig & Van Loon, 2021; Huron-Perth Catholic District School Board 2022)



#### 3.3.2.2 Capital Priorities and Initiatives

As of 2021, anticipated capital work for the Huron-Perth District School Board includes a variety of school condition improvements and school renewal projects (Roehrig & Van Loon, 2021).

#### 3.3.3 Study Area Summary – Huron County

Overall, the current capacity of the schools that service the Study Area within Huron County appears to be adequate for the public school board, but close to capacity at the catholic school board. When looking at the current enrolment statistics for the Avon Maitland District School Board, there appear to be 232 available elementary student spaces and 867 available secondary school spaces for students living within the Study Area.

At the Huron-Perth Catholic District School Board, there are 84 available spaces at the elementary level, and the only high school servicing the lower-tier municipalities of the Study Area is over capacity by one (1) student. Table 7 provides a summary of the schools and utilization statistics by lower-tier municipality<sup>9</sup>.

Table 7: Number of Schools, Utilization Rate, and Available Spaces by Lower-Tier Municipalities in Huron County

Lower-Tier Municipality	Number of Schools	Utilization Rate	Available Spaces
	3 Public Elementary Schools	88%	228
North Huron	2 Public Secondary Schools	85%	867
North Huron	3 Catholic Elementary Schools	90%	84
	1 Catholic Secondary School	100%	-1
	1 Public Elementary School	86%	73
Morrio Turnborn	1 Public Secondary School	56%	459
Morris-Turnberry	2 Catholic Elementary Schools	86%	82
	1 Catholic Secondary Schools	100%	-1
Howick	1 Public Elementary School	77%	98
	1 Public Secondary School	56%	459
	2 Catholic Elementary School	86%	82
	1 Catholic Secondary School	100%	-1

## 3.4 Current Education Services – Wellington County

Wellington County is served by the Upper Grand District School Board and the Wellington Catholic District School Board that provide coverage to the Town of Minto, which is the only lower-tier municipality of Wellington County within the Study Area.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> It is important to note that school boundaries do not always align with municipal boundaries and many schools service multiple municipalities at once. For this reason, the statistics by lower-tier municipality may count the same school multiple times. Therefore, the total number of schools, and the relative statistics may not align with the statistics presented in Tables 6 and 7.



#### 3.4.1 Upper Grand School Board

#### **Upper Grand School Board profile:**

- The school board operates 65 elementary schools and 11 secondary schools (Upper Grand District School Board, 2021).
- In 2021 the average daily enrolment for the school board was 23,654 elementary school students and 10,671 secondary school students (Ontario Ministry of Education, 2021).
- In 2019 the school board had an elementary school utilization rate of 91% and a secondary school utilization rate of 99% (Upper Grand District School Board, 2022).
- The school board employs approximately 4,721 staff (Upper Grand District School Board, 2021).

#### 3.4.1.1 Study Area Schools

As shown in Table 8, there are two (2) elementary schools and one (1) secondary school operated by the Upper Grand District School Board that are attended by the school age population within the Study Area in Wellington County. These schools have a total estimated enrolment of 1,577 students, with a total on-the-ground capacity of 1,901 and an overall utilization rate of 83%.

Elementary schools serving the Study Area have a total estimated enrolment of 926 with the capacity to accommodate 936 students. These schools have utilization rates ranging from 91% to 113% and an overall utilization rate of 101%.

The sole secondary school serving the Study Area has a total estimated enrolment of 641 with the capacity to accommodate 975 students. This school has a 66% utilization rate.

Table 8: Upper Grand District School Board Schools Serving the Study Area

School	Location	Servicing	Grade Levels	On the Ground Capacity	2021 Enrolment	2021 Utilization
Minto Clifford Central Public School	Harriston	Harriston Minto JK – 8		500	453	91%
Palmerston Public School	Palmerston	Minto	JK – 8	426	483	113%
Elementary Total	926	936	101%			
Norwell District Secondary School	Mapleton	Minto	9 – 12	975	641	66%
Secondary Total				975	641	66%
Overall Total				1901	1577	83%

(Upper Grand District School Board, 2021; 2022)



#### 3.4.1.2 Capital Priorities and Initiatives

As of 2021 there were no planned capital initiatives or priorities identified for the schools serving the Town of Minto (Upper Grand District School Board, 2021; 2018).

#### 3.4.2 Wellington Catholic District School Board

#### Wellington Catholic District School Board profile: 10

- The school board operates 18 elementary schools and four (4) secondary schools (Wellington Catholic District School Board, 2021).
- In 2019 the average daily enrolment for the school board was 5,810 elementary school students and 2,239 secondary school students (Wellington Catholic District School Board, 2021).
- The school board employs approximately 855 staff (Wellington Catholic District School Board, 2021).

#### 3.4.2.1 Study Area Schools

As shown in Table 9, there are two (2) elementary schools and one (1) secondary school operated by the Wellington Catholic District School Board that are attended by the school age population within the Study Area in Wellington County. These schools have a total estimated enrolment of 1,234 students, with a total on-the-ground capacity of 1,505 and an overall utilization rate of 82%.

Elementary schools serving the Study Area have a total estimated enrolment of 379 with the capacity to accommodate 510 students. These school have utilization rates ranging from 69% to 79% and an overall utilization rate of 74%.

The sole secondary school serving the Study Area has a total estimated enrolment of 855 with the capacity to accommodate 995 students. This school has an 86% utilization rate.

Table 9: Wellington Catholic District School Board Schools Serving the Study Area

School	Location	Servicing	Grade Levels	On the Ground Capacity	2019 Enrolment	2019 Utilization
St. John Catholic School	Arthur	Minto	JK – 8	243	168	69%
St. Mary's Catholic School	Mount Forest	Minto	JK – 8	267	211	79%
E	Elementary Total					74%
St. James Catholic High School	Guelph	Minto	9 – 12	995	855	86%
Secondary Total				995	855	86%
Overall Total				1505	1234	82%

(Wellington Catholic District School Board 2019; 2022)

#### 3.4.2.2 Capital priorities and Initiatives

As 2021, the Wellington Catholic District School Board had not identified capital initiatives or priorities planned for schools serving the Town of Minto (Wellington Catholic District School Board, 2021).

<sup>10</sup> At the time of writing this report, publicly available information on overall elementary and secondary school utilization rates across the Wellington Catholic District School Board could not be identified.



## 3.4.3 Study Area Summary – Wellington County

Overall, the current capacity at the schools that service the Town of Minto in Wellington County appears to be adequate with the exception of elementary schools in the public school board. The schools that service the Town of Minto in the Upper Grand District School Board, are over capacity by 10 students at the elementary level (101% capacity), and under capacity by 334 at the secondary school level (66% capacity). In the Wellington Catholic District School Board, there appear to be 131 available spaces at the elementary school level (74% capacity), and 140 spaces available at the secondary level (82% capacity).

## 3.5 Local and Regional Private and Mennonite Schools

#### 3.5.1 Local Mennonite Schools

Based on information shared with the NWMO (MSB 2021; Township of Huron-Kinloss 2021), it is understood that there are eight (8) Mennonite schools in the Municipality of South Bruce and the Township of Huron-Kinloss, with seven (7) being in the Township of Huron-Kinloss to the west of the potential Project site, and one (1) in the MSB.<sup>11</sup>

Figure 2 shows the location of Mennonite schools and churches in relation to the potential Project site.

<sup>11</sup> The Mennonite school located in MSB is in the Mildmay vicinity. Information on the location of other Mennonite schools that may be located within the Study Area could not be confirmed at the time of writing.



Municipal Boundary Mennonite School Mennonite Church Mennonite School & Church **NWMO Owned/Optioned Land NWMO Land Under Contract** NWMO Land Not Being Considered for Potential Repository Site

Figure 2: Map of Mennonite Schools and Churches in Relation to the Potential Project Site

Source: MHBC and DPRA 2022



#### 3.5.2 Overview of Local and Regional Private Schools

Table 10 below provides a list of the private schools located in Bruce County, Grey County, Huron County, and the Town of Minto in Wellington County, and identifies enrolment estimates for each school. This table includes the Mennonite schools located within the Municipality of South Bruce (Shekinah Christian School in Mildmay) and the Township of Huron-Kinloss (7 parochial schools in Holyrood), which are discussed in Section 3.5.1, as well as Amish schools within the study area. Enrolment projections are not available for Mennonite and other private schools.

Table 10: List of Local and Regional Private and Mennonite Schools

				Envolvent					
School Name	Type of School	Location	Municipality	Enrolment Estimate (2019-20)					
Bruce County									
Shekinah Christian School	Elementary/ Secondary	Mildmay N0G2J0	MSB	10					
At Last Forest School Kincardine	Elementary	Ripley N0G2R0	Huron-Kinloss	10					
Oak Creek Parochial School	Elementary	Ripley N0G2R0	Huron-Kinloss	10					
Black Creek Parochial	Elementary	Holyrood N0G2B0	Huron-Kinloss	10					
Centre Kinloss Parochial School	Elementary	Holyrood N0G2B0	Huron-Kinloss	25					
Holyrood East Parochial School	Elementary	Holyrood N0G2B0	Huron-Kinloss	<10					
Huron Hills Parochial School	Elementary	Holyrood N0G2B0	Huron-Kinloss	10					
Meadow Crest Parochial School	Elementary	Holyrood N0G2B0	Huron-Kinloss	unknown					
Silver Lake Parochial School	Elementary	Holyrood N0G2B0	Huron-Kinloss	15					
Creek Bank Parochial	Elementary	Lucknow N0G2H0	Huron-Kinloss	10					
Fairview Amish Private School	Elementary	Lucknow N0G2H0	Huron-Kinloss	10					
Langside Parochial	Elementary	Lucknow N0G2H0	Huron-Kinloss	<10					
Maple Grove Parochial School	Elementary	Lucknow N0G2H0	Huron-Kinloss	<10					
Pine Mountain	Elementary	Lucknow N0G2H0	Huron-Kinloss	<10					
Prairie View School	Elementary	Lucknow N0G2H0	Huron-Kinloss	10					
St. Helen's Parochial School	Elementary	Lucknow N0G2H0	Huron-Kinloss	20					
Todd Parochial	Elementary	Lucknow N0G2H0	Huron-Kinloss	25					
Whitechurch Christian Day School	Elementary	Lucknow N0G2H0	Huron-Kinloss	<10					
West Bentinck Amish Parochial School	Elementary	Elmwood N0G1S0	Brockton	15					
Thornhill Amish Private School	Elementary	Chepstow N0G1K0	Brockton	unknown					
		Grey County							
Neustadt Horizon Parochial School	Elementary	Ayton N0G1C0	West Grey	30					
Edge Hill Country School	Elementary	Durham N0G1R0	West Grey	60					



School Name	Type of School	Location	Municipality	Enrolment Estimate (2019-20)
Ethnos Academy	Elementary/ Secondary	Durham N0G1R0	West Grey	<10
Riverstone Eco Retreat School	Elementary	Durham N0G1R0	West Grey	unknown
At Last Forest School – Saugeen Valley	Elementary	Hanover N4N3B8	Hanover	<10
		luron County		
Fordyce Parochial School	Elementary	Wingham N0G2W0	North Huron	<10
Hidden Valley Amish Private School	Elementary	Lucknow N0G2W0	North Huron	30
Protestant Reformed School in Wingham	Elem/Sec	Wingham N0G2W0	North Huron	10
Salem Valley Parochial School	Elementary	Wingham N0G2W0	North Huron	30
South Belmore Parochial School	Elementary	Wingham N0G2W0	North Huron	40
Triple Hills	Elementary	Wingham N0G2W0	North Huron	<10
Turnberry Parochial School	Elementary	Wingham N0G2W0	North Huron	15
Wingham Baptist Church School	Elementary/ Secondary	Wingham N0G2W0	North Huron	<10
Howick Maples Parochial School	Elementary	Gorrie N0G1X0	Howick	45
Cedar Springs Parochial School	Elementary	Wroxeter N0G2X0	Howick	35
Poplar Grove Parochial School	Elementary	Wroxeter N0G2X0	Howick	10
Whispering Spruce	Elementary	Wroxeter N0G2X0	Howick	<10
	We	llington County		
Maple Lane	Elementary	Clifford N0G1M0	Town of Minto	<10
McIntosh Valley	Elementary	Clifford N0G1M0	Town of Minto	10
Cedarvale Christian School	Elem/Sec	Harriston N0G1Z0	Town of Minto	<10
Clifford Amish Parochial #2	Elementary	Harriston N0G1Z0	Town of Minto	<10
Glenlee Parochial School	Elementary	Harriston N0G1Z0	Town of Minto	45
Minto Meadow Parochial School	Elementary	Harriston N0G1Z0	Town of Minto	35
The Owl's Nest Holistic Alternative School	Elementary	Harriston N0G1Z0	Town of Minto	unknown
Woodland Corners Parochial School	Elementary	Harriston N0G1Z0	Town of Minto	30

(Ontario Ministry of Education, 2021; 2022)



## 3.6 Population and Enrolment Projections

The following sections summarize the projected school age population growth by cohort for the municipalities that fall within the Study Area. Additionally, enrolment and utilization projections for school boards serving the Study Area are summarized wherever these statistics have been made publicly available.<sup>12</sup>

Municipal population projections (referencing lower-tier municipalities) with age cohorts are integral to the *Local/Regional Education Study* as they inform an understanding of school age population growth over the temporal boundaries associated with the Project. Given that cohort data for lower-tier municipalities was not identified through publicly available information, municipal age cohorts for population projections were estimated by mapping the total population projections from County Official Plans and Growth Management Strategies (Bruce County, 2021; Watson & Associates Economists Ltd., 2021; County of Huron, 2021; and Hemson Consulting Ltd., 2021) onto projected cohort ratios at the county level published by the Ontario Ministry of Finance in 2021. Further to this, data projections reflecting the overall school age population (age 4 to 19), as well as the elementary school (age 4 to 13) and secondary school (age 14 to 19) age populations for five of the municipalities within the study area were provided by metroeconomics (2022b). These projections are included where information was made available (for the Municipality of South Bruce, Township of Huron-Kinloss, Municipality of Brockton, Township of North Huron, and Municipality of Morris-Turnberry).

#### 3.6.1 Population and Enrolment Projections – Bruce and Grey Counties

Given that Bruce and Grey Counties have overlapping school boards (Bluewater District School Board and Bruce-Grey Catholic District School Board) information on population and enrolment projections for both Counties are presented together. Huron County data is presented in Section 3.3; Wellington County is presented in Section 3.4.

#### 3.6.1.1 Population Projections

Overall, the 0-19 population in the MSB, the Township of Huron-Kinloss, Municipality of Brockton, and the Municipality of West Grey is expected to grow by 1,934 from 2021 to 2046, which represents an overall growth of 25%. The breakdown by age cohort is as follows:

- 0 to 4 population is expected to grow by 24%
- 5 to 9 population is expected to grow by 19%
- 10 to 14 population is expected to grow by 24%
- 15 to 19 population is expected to grow by 34%

Figure 3 shows that the school age population in the MSB is forecasted to increase by 320 between 2021 and 2046. This represents a growth of 25%.

<sup>12</sup> Across the Study Area school boards, various school boards have published enrolment projections for certain years but not others, or have not made any enrolment projections publicly available in some instances.






Figure 3: Municipality of South Bruce Projections by Age Cohort

Source: DPRA (2022) using data from Bruce County (2021) and Ontario Ministry of Finance (2021)

Figure 4 shows that the elementary school age population (age 4 to 13 years) in the MSB is forecasted to increase by 471 between 2021 and 2046, while the secondary school age population (age 14 to 19 years) is forecasted to increase by 189. This represents a growth of 60% and 38% respectively (metroeconomics, 2022b).

Figure 4: Municipality of South Bruce Projections by Age Cohort Projections by Elementary and Secondary Age Groups







Figure 5 shows that the school age population in the Township of Huron-Kinloss is forecasted to increase by 586 between 2021 and 2046. This represents a growth of 34%.


Figure 5: Township of Huron-Kinloss Projections by Age Cohort



Source: DPRA (2022) using data from Bruce County (2021) and Ontario Ministry of Finance (2021)

Figure 6 shows that the elementary school age population (age 4 to 13) in Huron-Kinloss is forecasted to increase by 466 between 2021 and 2046, while the secondary school age population (age 14 to 19) is forecasted to increase by 314. This represents a growth of 46% and 64% respectively (metroeconomics, 2022b).

Figure 6: Township of Huron-Kinloss Projections by Age Cohort Projections by Elementary and Secondary Age Groups



Source: metroeconomics (2022b)



Figure 7 shows that the school age population in the Municipality of Brockton is forecasted to increase by 721 between 2021 and 2046. This represents a growth of 34%.

Population (Age 0 to 19) ■ 0 to 4 ■ 5 to 9 ■ 10 to 14 ■ 15 to 19


Figure 7: Municipality of Brockton Projections by Age Cohort

Source: DPRA (2022) using data from Bruce County (2021) and Ontario Ministry of Finance (2021)

Figure 8 shows that the elementary school age population (age 4 to 13) in Brockton is forecasted to increase by 446 between 2021 and 2046, while the secondary school age population (age 14 to 19) is forecasted to increase by 329. This represents a growth of 36% and 53% respectively (metroeconomics, 2022b).




Figure 8: Municipality of Brockton Projections by Age Cohort Projections by Elementary and Secondary Age Groups



Source: metroeconomics (2022b)

Figure 9 shows that the school age population in the Municipality of West Grey is forecasted to increase by 307 between 2021 and 2046. This represents a growth of 12%.

Figure 9: Municipality of West Grey Projections by Age Cohort



Source: DPRA (2022) using data from Hemson Consulting Ltd. (2021) and Ontario Ministry of Finance (2021)



Figure 10 shows that the school age population in the Town of Hanover is forecasted to increase by 647 between 2021 and 2046. This represents a growth of 39%.




Figure 10: Town of Hanover Projections by Age Cohort

Source: DPRA (2022) using data from Hemson Consulting Ltd. (2021) and Ontario Ministry of Finance (2021)

#### 3.6.1.2 Enrolment Projections

As shown in Figure 11, Bluewater District School Board (2019) has forecasted that the overall utilization rate of the schools servicing the Study Area will increase from 75% to 86% between 2019 and 2029. This utilization rate would represent 788 overall available spaces in the relevant schools. However, there is a considerable difference between the elementary and secondary levels of utilization. Elementary levels are expected to increase to 79% utilization, representing 906 available spaces in 2029. Whereas the secondary level utilization rate is expected to increase to 109%, meaning that secondary schools are expected to be over capacity by 118 student spaces.






Figure 11: Bluewater District School Board Projected Utilization Rates for Study Area Schools

Source: Bluewater District School Board (2020)

Enrolment and utilization forecasts are not publicly available for the Bruce-Grey Catholic District School Board. This information has been requested from a knowledge holder affiliated with the school board, but had not yet been obtained at the time of writing this report. Any additional information received prior to this report being finalized will be incorporated into the analysis.

#### 3.6.1.3 Summary

The 0-19 population in the MSB, Township of Huron-Kinloss, Municipality of Brockton, Municipality of West Grey, and Town of Hanover is expected to increase by 27% over the next 25 years. According to the enrolment projections from Bluewater District School Board, there will be a need for additional infrastructure to support secondary school capacity over the next 10 years.



## 3.6.2 Population and Enrolment Projections – Huron County

#### 3.6.2.1 Population Projections

In Huron County, the 0-19 population within the Township of North Huron, the Municipality of Morris-Turnberry, and the Township of Howick is expected to stay relatively stable from 2021 to 2046. The total forecasted growth of 59 individuals represents a 2% increase to the school age population. The breakdown by age cohort is as follows:

- 0 to 4 population is expected to grow by 4%
- 5 to 9 population is expected to decrease by 2%
- 10 to 14 population is expected to grow by 2%
- 15 to 19 population is expected to grow by 6%

Figure 12 shows that the school age population in Howick is forecasted to increase by 18 between 2021 and 2046. This represents a growth of 2%.




Figure 12: Howick Projections by Age Cohort

Source: DPRA (2022) using data from County of Huron (2021) and Ontario Ministry of Finance (2021)

Figure 13 shows that the school age population in the Municipality of Morris-Turnberry is forecasted to increase by 18 between 2021 and 2046. This represents a growth of 2%.






Figure 13: Municipality of Morris-Turnberry Projections by Age Cohort

Source: DPRA (2022) using data from County of Huron (2021) and Ontario Ministry of Finance (2021)

Figure 14 shows that the elementary school age population (age 4 to 13) in Morris-Turnberry is forecasted to increase by 308 between 2021 and 2046, while the secondary school age population (age 14 to 19) is forecasted to increase by 209. This which represents a growth of 51% and 72% respectively (metroeconomics, 2022b).




Figure 14: Municipality of Morris-Turnberry by Age Cohort Projections by Elementary and Secondary Age Groups

Source: metroeconomics (2022b)



Figure 15 shows that the school age population in the Township of North Huron is forecasted to increase by 23 between 2021 and 2046. This represents a growth of 2%.

Year Population (Age 0 to 19) ■ 0 to 4 ■ 5 to 9 ■ 10 to 14 ■ 15 to 19

Figure 15: Township of North Huron Projections by Age Cohort

Source: DPRA (2022) using data from County of Huron (2021) and Ontario Ministry of Finance (2021)

Figure 16 shows that the elementary school age population (age 4 to 13) in North Huron is forecasted to increase by 255 between 2021 and 2046, while the secondary school age population (age 14 to 19) is forecasted to increase by 111. This represents a growth of 42% and 39% respectively (metroeconomics, 2022b).






Figure 16: Municipality of North Huron Age Cohort Projections by Elementary and Secondary Age Groups

Source: metroeconomics (2022b)

#### 3.6.2.2 **Enrolment Projections**

As shown in Figure 17, Avon Maitland School Board (2021) has forecasted that the overall utilization rate of the schools servicing the Study Area will drop from 68% to 65% between 2021 and 2031. This drop would represent 1209 available spaces in the relevant schools. Specifically, 307 spaces will be available at the elementary level, and 902 spaces will be available at the secondary level.




Figure 17: Avon Maitland District School Board Projected Utilization Rates for Study Area Schools

Source: Avon Maitland District School Board (2021b)



Enrolment and utilization forecasts are not publicly available for the Huron-Perth Catholic District School Board.

#### 3.6.2.3 Summary

The 0-19 population in the Township of North Huron, the Municipality of Morris-Turnberry, and the Township of Howick is expected to stay stable, increasing by only 2% over the next 25 years. The enrolment projections from the Avon Maitland District School Board are consistent with the population projections. In fact, enrolment and utilization are expected to decrease over the next 10 years, indicating that there will not be a need for additional infrastructure to support the public-school board in the Study Area.


### 3.6.3 Population and Enrolment Projections – Wellington County

#### 3.6.3.1 Population Projections

As shown in Figure 18, the population between the ages of 0 to 19 in Town of Minto is forecasted to increase by 959 between 2021 and 2046. This represents a growth of 46%. The breakdown by age cohort is as follows:

- 0 to 4 population is expected to grow by 52%
- 5 to 9 population is expected to decrease by 46%
- 10 to 14 population is expected to grow by 43%
- 15 to 19 population is expected to grow by 42%

Figure 18: Town of Minto Projections by Age Cohort



Source: DPRA (2022) using data from Watson & Associates Economists Ltd. (2021) and Ontario Ministry of Finance (2021)



#### 3.6.3.2 Enrolment Projections

As evident in Figure 19, Upper Grand School Board (2021) has forecasted that the overall utilization rate of the schools servicing the Study Area will increase from 83% to 92% between 2021 and 2027. This utilization rate represents 156 overall available spaces in the relevant schools. However, there is a difference between the elementary and secondary levels of utilization. Elementary levels are expected to increase to 111% utilization, meaning that the schools will collectively be over capacity by approximately 98 student spaces. The secondary school level utilization rate is expected to increase to 74%, meaning that there will still be available space for 254 students.




Figure 19: Upper Grand District School Board Projected Utilization Rates for Study Area Schools

Source: Upper Grand District School Board (2018)

As shown in Figure 20, the Wellington Catholic District School Board (2019) has forecasted that the overall utilization rate of the schools servicing the Study Area will increase from 82% to 105% between 2019 and 2034. This utilization rate represents a shortage of 79 student spaces in the relevant schools. Elementary school utilization rates appear to be less impacted than secondary school levels. Elementary school utilization is expected to increase to 91%, meaning that the schools will collectively have space to accommodate 46 additional students. On the other hand, secondary school utilization is expected to increase to 113%, meaning that the catholic

secondary schools servicing the Town Minto will be over capacity by approximately 125 student spaces.






Figure 20: Wellington Catholic District School Board Projected Utilization Rates for Study Area Schools

Source: Wellington Catholic District School Board (2019)

#### 3.6.3.3 Summary

The 0-19 population in the Town of Minto is expected to experience significant growth, increasing by 46% over the next 25 years. Moreover, the trend of enrolment projections from the Upper Grand District School Board are consistent with the population projections. Elementary utilization is expected to increase to 111% over the next six (6) years, indicating that additional infrastructure may be needed. The secondary schools in the area have more capacity to deal with the population growth, with utilization increasing to only 74% by 2027.

The enrolment projections from the Wellington Catholic District School Board suggest different capacity considerations. For this school board, the elementary schools appear to have the capacity to handle growth with a forecasted utilization rate 91% by 2034. However, over the same timeframe it is anticipated that secondary schools will be over capacity at 113% and will require additional infrastructure to support the growing population.

## 3.7 Overview of Study Area Capacity for K-12 Increased Enrolment

Given that significant growth in the school age population of the Study Area municipalities is anticipated between 2021 and 2046, the school boards serving these municipalities may not have the ability to accommodate this growth in potential elementary and secondary students based on the existing capacity of their schools serving the Study Area. Currently the school boards serving the Study Area have utilization rates specific to their schools within the Study Area that range between 68% and 94%. However, projections for population and school utilization growth are not sufficient to proceed with infrastructure planning and development, as school boards may only submit business cases to the Ministry of Education for the construction or expansion of schools, that are based on current enrolment data. Yet, several of the school boards project that they will exceed 100% utilization for elementary or secondary schools serving the Study Area within the next 5 to 15 years. It may be that capital initiatives for new or expanded schools would be approved and commenced in that timeframe and completed before 2046. In the interim period, an increase in portable classrooms would be used to support ongoing capacity pressures,



For example, secondary schools in the Bluewater District School Board serving Study Area municipalities in Bruce and Grey Counties are expected to reach 109% utilization by 2029. Further, Wellington Catholic District School Board secondary schools serving the Town of Minto are expected to reach 113% utilization by 2034, while Upper Grand District School Board elementary schools serving Minto are expected to reach 111% utilization by 2027. It may be expected that these school boards will seek to undertake capital initiatives prior to 2046, if the use of portables becomes insufficient to accommodate overages. On the other hand, utilization for elementary and secondary schools of the Avon Maitland District School Board serving the Township of North Huron, the Municipality of Morris-Turnberry, and the Township of Howick are expected to slightly decrease by 2031. Currently the school board is at 85% utilization for elementary schools, while only at 55% utilization for secondary schools serving the Study Area. As such, Avon Maitland may not have the need or justification to take on capital initiatives which would increase Study Area capacity by 2046, unless the situation on the ground were to deviate significantly from expectations.



# 4. Relevant Project Characteristics

In the context of the *Local/Regional Education Study*, the relevant Project characteristics relate to the workforce numbers/characteristics for each phase, where the workforce may originate/reside, and the significance of those numbers or degree of change relative to existing conditions. The estimated number and location of school-aged children associated with the Project workforce is directly relevant to local and regional educational services in the Study Area. Key Project characteristics are summarized below in terms of workforce and housing.

## 4.1 Project Workforce

The Community Studies Planning Assumptions (Confidential) (NWMO, October 2021) describes the labour workforce projected for the Project by three phases and location (on- or off-site). Table 11 (NWMO, October 2021) describes the workforce associated with the Project phases.). The Labour Baseline Study and Workforce Development Study (Keir Corp., 2022a, 2022b) conclude that the direct labour force requirements of the Project are relatively modest in relation to Bruce Power's Major Component Replacement (MCR) Project/the Bruce Power Generating Station, and occur in stages, synchronized with the key phases of the Project.

Table 11: Workforce by Project Phase

		NWMO Staff	Surface Trades	Underground Trades	Total
	Pre-construction (2028)	20	-	-	20
On-site	Construction (2033)	40	300	130	470
(	Operations (2043)	510	10	60	580
	Pre-construction (2028)	180	-	-	180
Off-site	Construction (2033)	170	-	-	170
	Operations (2043)	120	-	-	120
	Pre-construction (2028)	200	-	-	200
Total	Construction (2033)	210	300	130	640
	Operations (2043)	630	10	60	700

Source: NWMO (October 2021)

#### Pre-construction (2028) - Centre of Expertise

Pre-construction is characterized by the in-moving of NWMO staff to the community from their current office location in Toronto. This phase of the Project will be closely associated with permitting and licensing activities and it also will involve both on-site and off-site initiatives. In the latter case an office and Centre of Expertise will be made operational. The NWMO workforce strategy will entail a combination of new employee hires and relocation of existing employees. These 200 workers would have medium to high incomes.



#### Construction (2033) and Operations (2043+)

In subsequent phases of the Project, replacement and new additional NWMO staff can be potentially sourced from the Regional<sup>13</sup>, Local<sup>14</sup> or Core<sup>15</sup> Study Areas as defined in the *Housing Needs and Demand Analysis* and *Workforce Development* studies (Keir Corp., 2022c, 2022b).

## 4.2 Population Projections

The MSB (metroeconomics, 2022a) prepared base case ('without the Project') population projections for five local municipalities. A corresponding set of incremental 'anticipated Project effects' projections was also prepared (metroeconomics, 2022a) utilizing MSB Project-related growth targets. As shown in Table 12, these projections indicate that, in the base case (without the Project), the total population of the combined area <sup>16</sup> of the MSB, the Township of Huron-Kinloss, the Municipality of Brockton, the Township of North Huron, and the Municipality of Morris-Turnberry will be 46,390 by the year 2046 (a growth of 13,060 people). When growth associated with the Project is incorporated (refer to Table 12), it is projected that the population of these five municipalities could be 48,190 by the year 2046 (a growth of 14,860 people, with an incremental additional growth of 1,800 people from the Project) (metroeconomics, 2022a).

Table 12: Base and Impact Case Population Projections, 2021-2046

		2021		2031		2041		2046	
		Base Case	Impact Case						
South Bruce		6,250		7,420	7,620	8,400	9,040	8,760	9,540
Other Core Area Municipalities	Sum of Other Core Area	27,080		32,030	32,230	36,120	36,760	37,630	38,650
Total Core Area	•	33,330		39,450	39,850	44,520	45,800	46,390	48,190

Source: metroeconomics (2022a)

While the Local/Regional Education Study acknowledges the metroeconomics projections, because age cohort data for school-age children is required, the study analysis has relied on publicly available population data and projections published by the upper-tier municipalities within the Study Area and the Ontario Ministry of Finance (see Section 3.6 'Population and Enrolment Projections', above). School age population projections (including elementary and secondary school age population) were provided by metroeconomics (2022b) for five of the Study Area municipalities. This data was also included in Section 3.6 'Population and Enrolment Projections'.

The Labour Baseline Study and Workforce Development Study (Keir Corp., 2022a, 2022b) note that:

The proposed Project is located in the midst of a large capable labour pool. At a regional level this is one of
the largest most advanced labour pools in the country. It is also home to a number of companies that form
part of the supply chain for the nuclear industry across Ontario and further afield.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>16</sup> The metroeconomics projections include five of the municipalities that are part of the Study Area for the *Local/Regional Education Study*, but do not include the Town of Hanover, Municipality of West Grey, Township of Howick, or Town of Minto.



<sup>13</sup> The Regional Study Area for the Workforce Development Study includes the Counties of Bruce, Grey, Huron, Perth, Wellington, Oxford and Middlesex and the Region of Waterloo. This area lies within a one and half to two-hour drive time of the potential Project site and is home to a large portion of the supply chain companies for the Bruce Nuclear Plant and its associated Major Component Replacement (MCR) Project. Additionally, it is home to many of the workers associated with the Bruce Nuclear Station during refurbishment and almost all the workers associated with plant operations.

<sup>14</sup> The Local Study Area for the Workforce Development Study and the Housing Needs and Demand Analysis Study steps down from the Regional Study area and focusses on the municipalities surrounding the MSB (i.e., Huron-Kinloss, Brockton, Kincardine, Saugeen Shores, Arran-Elderslie, West Grey, Hanover, Minto, Howick, Morris-Turnberry, North Huron, Ashfield-Colborne-Wawanosh) as well as South Bruce itself. All parts of the Local Study Area are within a one-hour drive of the potential Project Site.

<sup>15</sup> The Core Study Area for the Workforce Development Study and the Housing Needs and Demand Analysis Study steps down from the Local Study Area and focuses on the municipalities of South Bruce, Huron-Kinloss, Brockton, North Huron and Morris-Turnberry. These five communities are closely intertwined through social/cultural, economic and political relationships.

- Therefore, at a broad level the size and qualifications of the labour pool within the Labour Baseline and
  Workforce Development Study Areas are sufficient to meet the needs of the Project. The Study Areas
  collectively can meet almost all the needs for labour and goods and services required by the Project. The
  one exception is for mining expertise and underground trades which may potentially have to be sourced
  from other areas in Ontario.
- Bruce Power is the biggest economic engine in the area and is currently in the midst of its Major Component Replacement (MCR) Project, which will secure operation of the Generating Station until 2064. The MCR Project value is \$13 billion and having started in 2016 it is scheduled for completion in mid-2033 when work wraps up on reactor 8. Bruce Power estimates that the MCR Project will support an estimated 5,000 direct and indirect jobs annually with approximately 1,600 on-site. Moreover, they further estimate in the Bruce, Grey, and Huron Counties combined, the MCR Project could support 400 direct jobs from suppliers and 3,000 jobs overall.
- Bruce Power estimates that 25% of the trades people employed on the MCR Project reside within commuting distance of the Generating Station. These tradespeople would therefore likely be available to work on the construction of the Project.

In summary, there is a large and capable skilled workforce available regionally for the construction and operations phases of the Project. A number of NWMO workers will move to the area during pre-construction, though NWMO has a number of staff living in the local/regional area already. While there may be in-migration of workers during the construction and operations phases, the overall change in population resulting from the Project relative to the regional baseline population is relatively small. However, the distribution of the workforce in the MSB and neighbouring communities has important social and economic implications.

#### 4.2.1 School Age Population Projections

Relevant to capacity pressures facing elementary and secondary schools as result of workers associated with the Project and their families moving into the Study Area, metroeconomics (2022b) has developed projections for growth of the school age population under base conditions (base case) and with the Project (impact case). Table 13 presents base case and impact case projected growth data for the school age population (age 4 to 19 years), elementary school age population (age 4 to 13 years), secondary school age population (age 14 to 19 years) of the MSB, Huron-Kinloss, Brockton, Morris-Turnberry, and North Huron combined. The table shows a 15% increase in projected change of the school age population between 2021 and 2046 for the impact case compared to the base case. Tables 14 through 18 present base case and impact case projected growth data for each of these municipalities individually. The impact case projected growth for school age populations of the municipalities range between 8% and 33% greater than the base case.



Table 13: Base and Impact Case School Age Population Projections for MSB, Huron-Kinloss, Brockton, Morris-Turnberry, and North Huron Combined

	2021	2028	2033	2046	% Change (2021-2046)
4 to 19					
Base	6523	7305	7837	9619	47%
Impact	-	7376	8071	10092	55%
Difference	-	71	234	471	+15%
4 to 13					
Base	4237	4548	4887	6182	46%
Impact	-	4595	5048	6514	54%
Difference	-	47	160	332	+17%
14 to 19		<u>.</u>			
Base	2286	2757	2950	3437	50%
Impact	-	2781	3023	3578	56%
Difference	-	24	74	141	+12%

(metroeconomics, 2022b)

Table 14 presents base case and impact case projected growth data for the school age population, as well as the elementary and secondary school age populations for the Municipality of South Bruce. The table shows a 33% increase in projected change of the school age population between 2021 and 2046 for the impact case compared to the base case.

Table 14: Base and Impact Case School Age Population Projections for the Municipality of South Bruce

	2021	2028	2033	2046	% Change (2021-2046)
4 to 19					
Base	1280	1337	1469	1940	52%
Impact	-	1373	1587	2160	69%
Difference	-	36	118	220	+33%
4 to 13					
Base	783	838	949	1254	60%
Impact	-	862	1030	1410	80%
Difference	-	24	81	156	+33%
14 to 19					
Base	497	499	520	686	38%
Impact	-	511	557	750	51%
Difference	-	12	37	64	+34%

(metroeconomics, 2022b)

Table 15 presents base case and impact case projected growth data for the school age population, as well as the elementary and secondary school age populations for the Township of Huron-Kinloss. The table shows a 9% increase in projected change of the school age population between 2021 and 2046 for the impact case compared to the base case.



Table 15: Base and Impact Case School Age Population Projections for the Township of Huron-Kinloss

	2021	2028	2033	2046	% Change (2021-2046)
4 to 19					
Base	1500	1786	1883	2280	52%
Impact	-	1798	1917	2354	57%
Difference	-	12	34	74	+9%
4 to 13					
Base	1009	1100	1149	1475	46%
Impact	-	1108	1173	1527	51%
Difference	-	8	24	52	+11%
14 to 19	·		·	·	
Base	491	686	734	805	64%
Impact	-	690	744	827	68%
Difference	-	4	10	22	+7%

(metroeconomics, 2022b)

Table 16 presents base case and impact case projected growth data for the school age population, as well as the elementary and secondary school age populations for the Municipality of Brock. The table shows a 12% increase in projected change of the school age population between 2021 and 2046 for the impact case compared to the base case.

Table 16: Base and Impact Case School Age Population Projections for the Municipality of Brockton

	2021	2028	2033	2046	% Change (2021-2046)
4 to 19					
Base	1853	2101	2232	2628	42%
Impact	-	2114	2276	2718	47%
Difference	-	13	44	90	+12%
4 to 13				<u>.</u>	
Base	1230	1316	1377	1676	36%
Impact	-	1325	1407	1738	41%
Difference	-	9	30	62	+14%
14 to 19				<u>.</u>	
Base	623	785	855	952	53%
Impact	-	789	869	980	57%
Difference	-	4	14	28	+9%

(metroeconomics, 2022b)

Table 17 presents base case and impact case projected growth data for the school age population, as well as the elementary and secondary school age populations for the Township of North Huron. The table shows a 12% increase in projected change of the school age population between 2021 and 2046 for the impact case compared to the base case.



Table 17: Base and Impact Case School Age Population Projections for the Township North Huron

	2021	2028	2033	2046	% Change (2021-2046)
4 to 19					
Base	996	1070	1137	1362	37%
Impact	-	1077	1159	1407	41%
Difference	-	7	22	45	+12%
4 to 13				<u>.</u>	
Base	612	656	688	867	42%
Impact	-	660	703	898	47%
Difference	-	4	15	31	+12%
14 to 19					
Base	384	414	449	495	29%
Impact	-	417	456	509	33%
Difference	-	3	7	14	+13%

(metroeconomics, 2022b)

Table 18 presents base case and impact case projected growth data for the school age population, as well as the elementary and secondary school age populations for the Municipality of Morris-Turnberry. The table shows a 9% increase in projected change of the school age population between 2021 and 2046 for the impact case compared to the base case.

Table 18: Base and Impact Case School Age Population Projections for the Municipality of Morris-Turnberry,

	2021	2028	2033	2046	% Change (2021-2046)
4 to 19					
Base	894	1010	1116	1411	58%
Impact	-	1015	1132	1452	62%
Difference	-	5	16	41	+8%
4 to 13					
Base	603	638	724	911	51%
Impact	-	641	735	941	56%
Difference	-	3	11	30	+10%
14 to 19					
Base	291	372	392	500	72%
Impact	-	374	397	511	76%
Difference	-	2	5	11	+5%

(metroeconomics, 2022b)

## 4.3 Housing Considerations

The Municipality of South Bruce (metroeconomics, 2022a) prepared base case ('without the Project') projections for housing for five municipalities. A corresponding set of incremental 'anticipated Project effects' projections was also prepared (metroeconomics, 2022a). As shown in Table 19, these projections indicate that, in the base case (without the Project), the total number of dwellings in the combined area<sup>17</sup> of the MSB, the Township of Huron-Kinloss, the Municipality of Brockton, the Township of North Huron, and the Municipality of Morris-Turnberry will be

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>17</sup> The metroeconomics projections include five of the municipalities that are part of the Study Area for the *Local/Regional Education Study*, but do not include the Town of Hanover, Municipality of West Grey, Township of Howick, or Town of Minto.



47

17,640 by the year 2046 (a growth of 4,610 dwellings). When growth associated with the Project is incorporated (refer to Table 13), it is projected that the number of dwellings in these five municipalities would be 18,240 by the year 2046 (an incremental additional growth of 600 dwellings from the Project) (metroeconomics, 2022a).

Table 19: Base Case and Impact Case Housing Projections, 2021-2046

		2021		2031		2041		2046	
		Base Case	Impact Case						
South Bruce		2,360	(4)	2,850	2,920	3,200	3,400	3,300	3,550
Other Core Area Municipalities	Sum of Other Core Area	10,670	-	12,450	12,520	13,840	14,060	14,340	14,690
Total Core Area	Σ.	13,030		15,300	15,440	17,040	17,460	17,640	18,240

Source: metroeconomics (2022a)

The following observations from the *Housing Needs and Demand Analysis Study* (Keir Corp., 2022c) are also relevant for the *Local/Regional Education Study*:

- The MSB wishes to grow its compliment of occupied housing by attracting workers and their families
  associated with the Project to take up residence in the Municipality. MSB and NWMO are currently
  exploring options to that end.
- Other nearby municipalities are also interested in attracting a portion of the Project workforce to reside in their communities.
- Current and potential housing availability across the Local Study Area<sup>18</sup> as a whole is substantial. Many of the municipalities in the area are planning for growth, and as such home buyers with a few exceptions have an extensive landscape to shop in.

Figure 21 shows the housing potential, projected growth, and potential housing surplus or deficit of several of the study area municipalities. Based on the figure, it appears that the greatest potential surplus in housing may be in Huron-Kinloss (surplus of 190 housing units), North Huron (surplus of 150 housing units), and Morris-Turnberry (surplus of 140 housing units) (Keir Corp., 2022c). As such, it is possible that workers associated with the Project and their families may seek housing in these municipalities. In this case, it may be expected that more acute pressures would be placed on the capacities of the schools serving these municipalities.

<sup>18</sup> The Local Study Area for the Housing Needs and Demand Study focusses on the municipalities surrounding the MSB (i.e., Huron-Kinloss, Brockton, Kincardine, Saugeen Shores, Arran-Elderslie, West Grey, Hanover, Minto, Howick, Morris-Turnberry, North Huron, Ashfield-Colborne-Wawanosh) as well as MSB itself.



48

Figure 21: Potential Developable Housing Unit Supply vs Base Case Housing Demand in Study Area Municipalities (2021-2046) Housing Units -500 500 1,500 2,000 2,500 3,000 1.000 3,500 4.000 4.500 Sum of Municipality 4,610 210

5.000 4,820 1,220 1,030 Huron-Kinloss 190 1,150 Brockton 340 1,010 South Bruce 70 North Huron 790 150 500 Morris-Turnberry 360 Potential Projected Growth Surplus/Deficit

Source: Keir Corp (2022c) using data from Bruce County Good Growth Discussion Paper (2021), Huron County Planning Department (2022) and metroeconomics (2022a)

Figure 22 shows the average persons per housing unit for several of the study area municipalities, projected for 2046. While Morris-Turnberry and Huron-Kinloss have the highest projected average persons per unit, it is possible that this figure is influenced by the significant presence of Mennonite populations in these municipalities (Keir Corp., 2022c). In general, it is expected that higher average persons per unit would indicate a greater presence of households with school age children, which could in turn place greater capacity pressures on local schools.






Figure 22: Average Persons per Unit for Projected Housing Growth in Study Area Municipalities (2046)

Source: Keir Corp. (2022c) using projections from metroeconomics (2022a)

## 4.4 Land Use, Infrastructure, and School Construction Considerations

As per the Municipality of South Bruce Zoning By-law and similar zoning by-laws for other municipalities within the Study Area, schools are not permitted on agricultural zoned lands. However, they are permitted within zoning categories that are within settlement areas. The *Land Use Study* (MHBC and DPRA, 2022) has identified that the population growth resulting from the project will primarily locate within settlement areas and therefore any necessary school expansions/new schools would occur in the same locations. As per the *Infrastructure Baseline and Feasibility Study*, if a new school were to be located within a settlement area in the Municipality of South Bruce<sup>19</sup> with existing water/wastewater and other services, then servicing infrastructure could be provided with adequate time for planning and construction of minor upgrades (Morrison Hershfield, 2022).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>19</sup> The Study Area for the Infrastructure Baseline and Feasibility Study is the Municipality of South Bruce (does not include neighbouring municipalities).



50

Knowledge holders and DPRA identified potential positive and negative effects they feel might occur as a result of the Project. Many of the identified effects focus on workforce and housing, which in turn result in effects to local/regional education service capacity. As noted in Section 4, the *Labour Baseline Study* and *Workforce Development Study* findings report there already exists a large and capable skilled workforce available regionally for the construction and operations phases of the Project as a result of Bruce Power's MCR Project. While there may be in-migration of workers during construction and operations phases of the Project, the overall change in population relative to the regional baseline population is relatively small. However, given the current pressures being experienced in the Study Area as a consequence of factors such as the Bruce Power's MCR Project (refer to Section 4) and the pandemic and resulting migration of people to the area from the Greater Toronto Hamilton Area and other parts of southern Ontario, it is likely that any additional population growth may add further pressure to existing /future elementary and secondary education service capacity.

## 5.1 Operating Context Which May Influence Project Effects

Knowledge holders were asked to identify existing operational considerations, challenges, and opportunities for local and regional school boards which effect service to the school age population within the Study Area and may influence the effects of the Project. In summary:

#### 5.1.1 Student Accommodation and Capacity Pressures

- 1. School boards have a duty to accommodate students within their boundaries irrespective of school capacity.
- 2. Projections and associated accommodation planning are dictated by data and information provided by the municipalities.
- 3. Across the various school boards, some schools are over capacity, while others are underutilized. School boards analyze and plan for accommodation and resource efficiency on an ongoing basis.
  - Continued population and enrolment growth is expected in some communities but not others.
- 4. Funding for capital projects from the provincial Ministry of Education is allocated based on current enrolment levels, rather than projections of future growth.
- 5. The Ministry of Education also ultimately dictates the specifications of new builds and additions.
- 6. School boards use portables to address accommodation pressures. Ministry of Education permission is required to purchase portables.
- 7. Funding for capital projects to increase capacity is typically received once schools are over capacity and using portables to a significant degree.
- 8. If required to absorb new students due to the Project or other circumstances, school boards would follow current planning processes. School boards can only reactively respond to actual changes in circumstance.
- 9. In most cases, school boards do have spare capacity in some regions and have emerging plans to address projected enrolment growth (for example, see Section 3.3.1.2). Overall, having sufficient classroom space to accommodate students is not seen as a major challenge.
  - Having 100% capacity for some schools due to recent growth is within planning parameters.
  - Accommodation planning occurs at the school board level. There is no direct coordination between the public school boards and Catholic school boards serving the same region.
  - Some students have the "right to attend" school boards outside of their municipal boundaries if they
    live closer to schools in an adjacent municipality.



#### 5.1.2 Staffing Concerns

- 1. The COVID-19 pandemic and wave of baby boomer retirement has contributed to staff shortages across the school boards within the Study Area.
  - o Pressures are most acutely in the areas of support staff and managerial staff.
  - Teachers can be trained guickly, but there is competition for talent across the province.
- 2. Major employers in the region, such as Bruce Power, generally have higher salaries compared to what is possible under regulated public sector compensation.
  - Bruce Power provides competition mostly for non-teaching staff and contributes to hiring challenges for school boards.
- Typically, it is not a challenge to hire teaching staff, though short-term supply teachers can be difficult to find.

### 5.1.3 Responsibility for Inclusion

- 1. School boards provide tailored support to vulnerable students, such as:
  - Students (including special education students) who are at risk of not graduating.
  - Students who are experiencing mental health issues or are struggling to return to class due to the COVID-19 pandemic.
- 2. School boards provide alternate education options for students that are not able to learn in typical classroom settings.
- 3. School boards in regions that have been identified as being below average income receive extra funding for their schools.
- School boards pursuing equity, diversity, and inclusion (EDI) strategies and initiatives, engage and work
  with parents/families and community partners on EDI issues and needs as local communities increasingly
  diversify.
- School boards generally do not have direct points of contact with the Mennonite community, which typically utilizes its own schooling.
- 6. While the schools in the MSB and neighbouring municipalities may experience increased enrolment due to the Project, it is relatively small compared to the projected growth in population without the Project.

## 5.2 Potential Positive Project Effects

Knowledge holders were asked to identify any potential positive effects of the Project on the ability of local and regional school boards to serve the school age population within the Study Area. In summary:

- Enrolment growth can allow school boards to increase the depth of their programming, make more efficient
  use of resources, and avoid potential school closures. School boards typically aim to ensure that all
  schools are utilized, and overutilization is minimized, as schools that are underutilized over time may need
  to be closed.
  - o The Bruce Power MCR Project has brought some similar growth and migration to the area.
  - Some school boards are not necessarily concerned about the possible need to accommodate future growth in enrolment from the Project given current capacity levels.
- 2. There is the potential that growth will enhance the diversity of the student body.
- 3. Increased enrolment can also enable opportunities to make additions to, upgrade, or modernize schools.
- 4. Workers for the Project may bring in partners/household members who can work in the school system.
- 5. Potential positive effects of the Project on private and Mennonite schools within the study area are unknown at this time.



## **5.3 Potential Negative Project Effects**

Knowledge holders were also asked to identify any potential negative effects on the ability of local and regional school boards to serve the school age population within the Study Area as a result of the Project. In summary:

- 1. School boards may feel pressure in responding to community expectations for accommodation.
  - Schools can only react to current enrolment, and the construction of new buildings takes several years.
  - Despite having funding secured for capital projects, bureaucratic processes can be challenging to navigate.
  - Portables are a solution for addressing growth in the short term. However, once approved, it may take roughly six months to obtain portables.
  - It can be challenging to spend capital budgets as local contractors are struggling with staffing shortages.
- 2. The Project is a controversial issue for some in the community, and school boards must maintain neutrality while providing support to students and families.
- 3. Some knowledge holders did refer to Bruce Power's MCR Project and the effects it has had on staffing pressures, noting they might expect to see similar effects occur if the Project is similar in scope and were to be located in the MSB.
- Potential negative effects of the Project on private and Mennonite schools within the study area are unknown at this time.



## 6. Options Assessment

#### Note to Reader

This section provides an overview of possible options to mitigate negative consequences or to enhance positive outcomes. They are presented by the authors to foster discussion only. They do not represent commitments or actions for the NWMO, the Municipality of South Bruce, or other parties. The final decisions on actions and commitments will be made at a future date.

## 6.1 Overview of Options

The operating context of local and regional education services and potential positive and negative effects on education services with the Study Area associated with the Project were identified in Section 5. The possible benefits resulting from the Project include such things as opportunities to increase the depth of programming in local schools and more efficient utilization of school capacity across school boards stemming from increased enrolment. The overarching concern relates to the reactive manner in which school boards must engage in accommodation planning, which affords little leeway to proactively pursue initiatives to expand capacity prior to actual increases in enrolment.

As described in Sections 4 and 5, it is assumed that in general, the families of the Project workforce would not increase the school age population and school enrolment significantly beyond current growth projections. Thus, it is not anticipated that schools will have significant challenges in accommodating school age children that come to the area as a result of the Project, beyond the baseline demands of ensuring sufficient capacity to match population growth. Moreover, school boards have a duty to accommodate all students enrolled in their schools regardless of capacity.

Of note, schools within in the Study Area vary in terms of their utilization rates, with some approaching or exceeding their on-the-ground capacity, while others maintain surplus capacity. As such, the projected baseline demands are expected to pose challenges for some individual schools to accommodate students, despite school boards having surplus capacity in aggregate. Communities with a higher potential housing surplus and greater projected average persons per housing unit may also see increased capacity pressures placed on local schools.

There are opportunities for NWMO to improve and enhance the existing conditions for students in the region by working with the school boards, private schools, and Mennonite schools to provide timely Project information that may affect education and by continuing to implement and enhance their Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) programs in the areas of education-focused donations, sponsorships, and scholarships. It is important to note that the options will require partnerships between the NWMO, the MSB, local and regional education service providers and recipients. Opportunities for the NWMO to take part, when appropriate, in existing education tables/committees/working groups, may help support the development and sustainability of these community partnerships.

The options presented in Section 6.2 are based on:

- Discussions with knowledge holders;
- A review of NWMO's recent annual reports, brochures, and engagement documents (referenced below);
   and



A review of recent Bruce Power annual and sustainability reports that outline the various initiatives it has
implemented to contribute to community well-being or to address community concerns identified through its
materiality assessment (Bruce Power, 2021a; Bruce Power 2021b; Bruce Power, 2019; Bruce Power, n.d.).

## 6.2 Specific Options

Details on each of the specific options are highlighted below, including the assessed need for each option, along with tables that highlight the factors for consideration and the responses to those factors, as appropriate and when possible. If the Project is located in the MSB, NWMO can refine these options based on a further materiality assessment in the context of potential effects/needs and the priorities of NWMO, MSB and other stakeholders. The options are summarized in Tables 20, 21 and 22.

While Mennonite schools are included in the options below, their interests will likely differ from school boards and private schools (e.g., they may have less interest in population changes/distributions), and more interest in how their schools (e.g., those located in Huron-Kinloss to the west of the potential project site) and community may be affected by the Project more generally (e.g., traffic on roads in the vicinity of schools).

**Option 1:** The NWMO ensures that local and regional school boards (as well as Mennonite and private schools) operating within the Study Area receive timely Project-related data and information including:

- Up to date growth projections/Project workforce information.
- The jurisdictions where workers and their families will reside/are residing.
- The location of any new housing initiatives or incentives that may be associated with the Project.
- For Mennonite schools in particular, engaging with the community in terms of Project information (e.g., traffic and local roads)

To support the dissemination of timely Project-related data and information,

- NWMO could participate in education forums (e.g., Working Groups, Advisory Committees), when
  appropriate, to share education-specific Project information with local/regional school boards and
  Mennonite and private schools. Having NWMO take part in some of these forums, also provides an
  opportunity for educators to ask NWMO questions and raise concerns; and
- Representatives from relevant school boards and Mennonite and private schools could participate in a
  Participatory Social Monitoring Program along with NWMO staff, academic partners, and other local
  service providers (see Option 3 for further information; this option was first discussed in relation to the
  draft *Vulnerable Populations/Social Programs Study* (DPRA January 2022)). The members of this program
  will help to guide the collection and analysis of social monitoring data and support the development of
  strategic plans to identify and mitigate Project-related effects and to strengthen Project benefits related to
  local/regional education.

It is anticipated that Option 1 would begin in the near-term (2023-2032) and continue through the mid-term (2033-2042) and long-term (2043 and beyond).

Option 1 aligns with the MSB Guiding Principle #10:

 #10: "The NWMO will identify the potential for any positive and negative socio-economic impacts of the Project on South Bruce and surrounding communities and what community benefits it will contribute to mitigate any potential risks."



For example, to fulfill this Principle with respect to local and regional education:

NWMO could provide school boards that operate in South Bruce and the surrounding communities, as well
as Mennonite and private schools, with Project-related data and information as soon as possible to help
them plan for any future potential impacts. This could be accomplished by NWMO's participation in
local/regional education forums and school boards/schools participation in the Participatory Social
Monitoring Program.

Table 20: Option 1- Providing Timely Information to School Boards/Mennonite and Private Schools on Project-Related Information

Factors	Response
Ease of implementation (demonstrated success on other projects, if applicable)/degree of complexity	<ul> <li>Implementation dependent on availability of data and information</li> <li>Need for strong channels of communication between NWMO and local/regional school boards, as well as Mennonite and private schools</li> <li>Low degree of complexity, leverages existing mechanism(s) the NWMO, MSB, and other local municipalities may already have in place</li> </ul>
Degree of effectiveness or conditions for effectiveness as per understanding of community needs and aspiration(s)	<ul> <li>Can provide a mechanism for school boards, as well as Mennonite and private schools within the Study Area to understand/monitor and plan in response to any expected or emerging population effects related specifically to the Project</li> <li>Provides an opportunity for NWMO and MSB to create and strengthen partnerships with local and regional school boards (as well as Mennonite and private schools)</li> </ul>
Cost (if known)	To be determined

**Option 2:** The NWMO creates a strategic and responsive Corporate Social Responsibility Program with an educational stream focused on: (1) NWMO participating in existing/future education focused forums (e.g., working groups, Advisory Committees) when appropriate; (2) educational donations, funding programs and scholarships for primary and secondary students/schools; (3) providing guidance on career pathways to school age populations related to the Project; and (4) expanding the availability apprenticeship occupations for Grade 11 and Grade 12 students. The NWMO also explores the interests of the local Mennonite population and their schools in terms of potential supports for education, and the management of other Project effects that may affect schools (e.g., Project-related traffic). The CSR Program could support:

- Participation in local/regional education forums, as appropriate, to increase the NWMO's understanding of
  issues affecting the School Boards, schools, school staff, and students and to potentially identify ways in
  which the NWMO can provide supports. NWMO's participation may also help to increase educators'
  understanding of the Project and timeframes.
- Working with local/regional school boards (as well as Mennonite and private schools) and existing/future working groups to identify areas for donations, funding programs and scholarships that NWMO can provide.
- Encouraging development of student skills at the primary and secondary levels in areas such as STEM, robotics, environment etc.
- Working with local/regional school boards (as well as Mennonite and private schools) and organizations serving the community by supporting training and employment (e.g., Four County Labour Market Planning Board, Georgian College, Fanshawe College).



- Working in partnership with Ontario Youth Apprenticeship Program (OYAP)<sup>20</sup>.
- Considering the linkages with the organizations and options outlined in the Workforce Development Study (Keir Corp. 2022b).
- Establishing relations with local and regional school boards (as well as Mennonite and private schools), trade unions, and local businesses.
- Working with school boards to support Specialist High Skills Major (SHSM) programs for Grade 11 and Grade 12 students by establishing cooperative education placements.<sup>21</sup>

It is anticipated that Option 2 would begin in the near-term (2023-2032) and continue through the mid-term (2033-2042) and long-term (2043 and beyond).

Option 2 aligns with the following MSB Guiding Principles:

#15: "The NWMO, in partnership with the Municipality, will commit to implement programs to engage with and provide opportunities for youth in the community, including investments in education and the provision of scholarships, bursaries and other incentives for youth to remain in or return to the community."#32: "The NWMO, in consultation with the Municipality and other local and regional partners, will prepare a strategy to ensure there are sufficient community services and amenities, including health, child-care, educational and recreational facilities, to accommodate the expected population growth associated with hosting the Project in South Bruce."

For example, to fulfill these Principles with respect to local and regional education:

- NWMO could work with school boards as well as Mennonite and private schools, as a component of the CSR program, to identify areas for donations, funding programs and scholarships.
- NWMO could participate in education forums, as appropriate, which would allow NWMO to identify areas in which they could provide strategic supports.

Table 21: Option 2- Creating an Education-Focused NWMO CSR Program Stream

Factors	Response
Ease of implementation (demonstrated success on other projects, if applicable)/degree of complexity	<ul> <li>Implementation dependent on the interest of school boards, training organizations, unions, and local businesses to work with the NWMO on the various initiatives</li> <li>Low – medium degree of complexity, leverages existing mechanism(s) the NWMO and/or municipalities already have in place</li> </ul>
Degree of effectiveness or conditions for effectiveness as per understanding of community needs and aspiration(s)	<ul> <li>Can provide students with a mechanism to be able to take advantage of job and training opportunities with the NWMO or other local businesses</li> <li>Provides secondary students with a clear mechanism to be able to take advantage of jobs and training opportunities with the NWMO or other local businesses</li> <li>Provides an opportunity for NWMO to create and strengthen partnerships with school boards (as well as Mennonite and private schools), community organizations, and training organizations</li> </ul>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>20</sup> The OYAP is described in more detail in Section 5.1 of the Workforce Development Study (Keir Corp. 2022b). OYAP helps students in Grades 11 and 12 explore and work in apprenticeship trades through a cooperative education program offered by a District School Board. Students have an opportunity to become registered apprentices and work towards becoming certified journeypersons in a skilled trade while completing the requirements to obtain their Ontario Secondary School Diploma

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>21</sup> "The SHSM is a specialized, ministry-approved program that allows students to focus their learning on a specific economic sector while meeting the requirements of the Ontario Secondary School Diploma (OSSD)" (Ontario Ministry of Education, 2020).



57

Factors	Response
Cost (if known)	To be determined
Ability for NWMO and/or the Municipality of South Bruce to implement vs. need to involve other responsible authorities	<ul> <li>NWMO would need to work with local and regional school boards (as well as private schools), municipalities, and post-secondary institutions to establish a range of opportunities for education pathways at the primary and secondary student/school level (including SHSM opportunities)</li> <li>NWMO would need to work with Mennonite schools in Huron-Kinloss to identify potential educational supports and manage Project effects that may affect schools</li> <li>NWMO would need to work with local and regional school boards (as well as private schools), trade unions, local businesses, and post-secondary institutions to establish a range of educational supports and opportunities for apprenticeship occupations</li> </ul>

**Option 3:** The NWMO creates a Participatory Social Monitoring Program with MSB, academic partners and local/regional service providers, including educators. The program will support research on the identification of best practices in the area of participatory social monitoring with the goal of finding new ways to involve stakeholders in the process of gathering and analyzing social monitoring data. More specifically, the program will provide an opportunity for stakeholders (as listed above) to identify relevant indicators that are already being tracked by schools/boards, and to work together to identify additional social indicators that could be monitored to understand potential effects of the Project. The program will contribute to understanding potential future education stream of the NWMO CSR Program.

It is anticipated that Option 3 could begin in the near-term (2023-2032) and continue through the mid-term (2033-2042) and long-term (2043 and beyond).

Option 3 aligns with the following MSB Guiding Principles #10 and #32:

- #10: "The NWMO will identify the potential for any positive and negative socio-economic impacts of the Project on South Bruce and surrounding communities and what community benefits it will contribute to mitigate any potential risks."
- #32: "The NWMO, in consultation with the Municipality and other local and regional partners, will prepare a
  strategy to ensure there are sufficient community services and amenities, including health, child-care,
  educational and recreational facilities, to accommodate the expected population growth associated with
  hosting the Project in South Bruce."
- For example, to fulfill these Principles with respect to local and regional education: NWMO could ask
  representatives from the school boards that operate in South Bruce and the surrounding communities, as
  well as from the Mennonite and private schools, to participate in the Participatory Social Monitoring
  Program along with NWMO, academic institutions and local/regional service providers. Participants would
  guide the collection and analysis of social monitoring data and support the development of strategic plans
  to identify and mitigate Project-related education effects and to strengthen Project benefits related to
  local/regional education.



Table 22: Option 3 - Creating a Participatory Social Monitoring Program

Factors	Response
Ease of implementation (demonstrated success on other projects, if applicable)/degree of complexity	<ul> <li>Implementation dependent on the interest of school boards/schools, academic institutions, and local/regional service providers to take part in the Program.</li> <li>Low degree of complexity, leverages existing relationships(s) the NWMO and/or municipalities already have in place</li> </ul>
Degree of effectiveness or conditions for effectiveness as per understanding of community needs and aspiration(s)	<ul> <li>Provides a forum for identifying existing and new social indicators to be monitored.</li> <li>Engages stakeholders and partners (including community members) in monitoring the socio-economic effects of the Project.</li> <li>Provides a forum for discussing effects and identifying mitigation efforts.</li> <li>Helps to build trust between NWMO and the school boards and Mennonite and private schools through transparent processes, timely information, and open communications and, it increases potential for direct benefits to school boards and schools.</li> <li>Provides an opportunity for NWMO to create and strengthen partnerships with school boards (as well as Mennonite and private schools), academic institutions, and local/regional service providers.</li> </ul>
Cost (if known)	To be determined
Ability for NWMO and/or the Municipality of South Bruce to implement vs. need to involve other responsible authorities	<ul> <li>NWMO would need to work with MSB, local and regional school boards, Mennonite and private schools, municipalities, academic institutions, and local/regional service providers, to establish membership for the Participatory Social Monitoring Program.</li> </ul>



## 7. Summary

## 7.1 Key Findings

The key findings are as follows:

- There is already a large and capable skilled workforce available regionally for the construction and
  operations phases of the Project (Keir, 2022b). While there may be in-migration of workers during the
  construction and operations phases of the Project, the overall change in population relative to the regional
  baseline population is expected to be relatively small.
- The Study Area is currently experiencing pressure in the areas of housing as a result of Bruce Power's MCR Project, the pandemic, and the recent influx of new residents from southern Ontario.
- The school boards within the Study Area have a duty to accommodate all students within their catchment area.
  - Based on current utilization rates, some elementary and secondary schools have the capacity to absorb additional students, while others do not.
- School boards cannot proactively request funds from the Ministry to Education to support capital priorities initiatives to address projected growth in the student population.
- NWMO can provide support to school boards (as well as Mennonite and private schools) and by extension students, within the Study Area by:
  - (1) providing timely information to school boards (as well as Mennonite and private schools) on projected population and housing numbers that can be used to assist in planning. In the case of Mennonite schools in Huron-Kinloss, key information would relate to Project-related traffic on local roads;
  - (2) creating a CSR Program with a stream focused specifically on education, that through partnerships with school boards (as well as Mennonite and private schools), training institutions, local businesses can support activities such as donations, funding programs, scholarships, career pathway development and apprenticeship opportunities for schools and students; and
  - o (3) creating a Participatory Social Monitoring Program with MSB, academic partners and local/regional service providers, including educators, to support: (a) research on best practices in the area of participatory social monitoring with the goal of finding new ways to involve stakeholders in the process of gathering and analyzing social monitoring data and (b) the identification of new and existing social indicators that could be monitored to understand potential effects of the Project.



## 8. References

- Avon Maitland District School Board (2021a). *Director's Annual Report 2020/21*. Avon Maitland District School Board.
- Avon Maitland District School Board (2021b). *Director's Information Report Long-Term Student Enrollment Projections*. Avon Maitland District School Board.
- Avon Maitland District School Board (2022). Our Schools. https://www.amdsb.ca/apps/pages/ourschools
- Bluewater District School Board (2020). 5-Year Facility Planning Strategy 2019-2024. Bluewater District School Board
- Bluewater District School Board (2022a). School Areas. https://www.bwdsb.on.ca/schools/school\_areas
- Bluewater District School Board (2022b). New Beavercrest Community School Update. Bluewater District School Board.
- Bluewater District School Board (2021a). Director's Annual Report 2020/21. Bluewater District School Board.
- Bluewater District School Board (2021b). New Kincardine District Senior School Update. Bluewater District School Board.
- Bluewater District School Board (2021c). Kincardine Elementary School Facilities Development Plan. Bluewater District School Board.
- Bluewater District School Board (2021d). *Dundalk Elementary Schools Facilities Development Plan.* Bluewater District School Board.
- Bruce-Grey Catholic District School Board (2022). *Our Schools.* https://www.bgcdsb.org/apps/pages/index.jsp?uREC ID=1101059&type=d&pREC ID=1373847
- Bruce Power. (2021a). Sustainability Report 2021 (CS210009A R001). Bruce power
- Bruce Power. (2021b). Powering Today for Tomorrow: 2020 Annual Report and Energy Report (CS200313A).

  Bruce Power.
- Bruce Power. (2019). A Guide to Bruce Power (CS160072 R001). Bruce Power.
- Bruce Power. (n.d.). Kincardine/Saugeen Shores: Physician Recruitment Program. Bruce Power.
- Bruce County (2021). Plan The Bruce: Good Growth. Discussion Paper. Bruce County.
- County of Huron (2021). Huron County Official Plan. County of Huron, Planning and Development Department.
- DPRA (October 2021). Southwestern Ontario Local / Regional Education Study Work Plan. Prepared for the Nuclear Waste Management Organization and the Municipality of South Bruce.
- DPRA (January 2022). *Vulnerable Populations/Social Programs Study: Draft Southwestern Ontario Community Study,* Prepared for the Nuclear Waste Management Organization and the Municipality of South Bruce.
- Heimlich, D. (2021). APM 2021 DGR Lifecycle Cost Estimate Update Cost Summary Report. Nuclear Waste Management Organization. <a href="https://www.nwmotreoutlengths.nc-update.ashx"><u>NWMOTR202111-APM-2021-DGR-Lifecycle-Cost-Estimate-Update.ashx</u></a>



- Hemson Consulting Ltd.. (2021). *Update to the County of Grey Growth Management Strategy*. Prepared for Grey County.
- Huron-Perth Catholic District School Board (2022). Our Schools. https://huronperthcatholic.ca/our-schools/our-schools/
- Keir Corp. (2022a). Labour Baseline Study: Southwestern Ontario Community Study. Prepared for the Nuclear Waste Management Organization.
- Keir Corp. (2022b). Workforce Development Study: Southwestern Ontario Community Study. Prepared for the Nuclear Waste Management Organization.
- Keir Corp. (2022c). *Housing Needs and Demand Analysis Study: Southwestern Ontario Community Study.*Prepared for the Nuclear Waste Management Organization and the Municipality of South Bruce.
- Lantz, A., Kelly, C., & Carter, J., et at. (2021). *Bruce-Grey Catholic District School Board Budget Report 2021-2022*. Bruce-Grey Catholic District School Board.
- metroeconomics (2022a). South Bruce and Area Growth Expectations (memorandum). Prepared for MDB Insight (now Deloitte LLP) and the Municipality of South Bruce.
- metroeconomics (May 2022b). South Bruce and Area Growth Expectations for School Age Population Groupings Data. Prepared for MDB Insight (now Deloitte LLP) and the Municipality of South Bruce.
- MHBC and DPRA (2022). *Land Use Study: Southwestern Ontario Community Study*. Prepared for the Nuclear Waste Management Organization and the Municipality of South Bruce.
- Morrison Hershfield (2022). *Infrastructure Baseline and Feasibility Study: Draft Southwestern Ontario Community Study.* Prepared for the Nuclear Waste Management Organization.
- Municipality of South Bruce (2017). Map Location of Old Order and Orthodox Mennonite Churches and Schools in Kinloss Township.
- Naserifard, N., Lee, A., Birch, K., Chiu, A., & Zhang, X. (2021). Deep Geological Repository Conceptual Design Report Crystalline/Sedimentary Rock. Nuclear Waste Management Organization. https://www.nwmo.ca/~/media/Site/Reports/2021/09/22/18/43/APMREP004400211.ashx?la=en
- Nuclear Waste Management Organization, (October 2021). Community Studies Planning Assumptions (Confidential)
- Ontario Ministry of Education, Education Funding (2021). *Grants for Student Needs Projections for the 2021-22 School Year.* Ontario Ministry of Education.
- Ontario Ministry of Education (2020). Specialist High Skills Major (SHSM) Policy and Implementation Guide.

  Ontario Ministry of Education. http://www.edu.gov.on.ca/morestudentsuccess/SHSM.html
- Roehrig, C. N., & Van Loon, M. H. (2021). *Huron-Perth Catholic District School Board 2021-22 Budget Package*. Huron-Perth Catholic District School Board.
- Taylor, A. (2021). Deep Geological Repository Transportation System Conceptual Design Report
  Crystalline/Sedimentary Rock. Nuclear Waste Management Organization. APMREP004400209.ashx
  (nwmo.ca)



- Upper Grand District School Board (2018). Long Term Accommodation Plan (LTAP) Final Report. Upper Grand District School Board.
- Upper Grand District School Board (2021). Annual Report 2020-2021. Upper Grand District School Board
- Upper Grand District School Board (2022). School Directory. https://www.ugdsb.ca/schools/school-directory/
- Watson & Associates Economists Ltd. (2021). *Phase 1 MCR Report: Urban Structure and Growth Allocations*. Prepared for County of Wellington.
- Wellington Catholic District School Board (2019). *Long Term Accommodations Plan 2020-25.* Wellington Catholic District School Board.
- Wellington Catholic District School Board (2019). *Budget Book 2021-2022*. Wellington Catholic District School Board.
- Ontario Ministry of Finance. (2021). Population Projections. Queen's Printer for Ontario.



# Appendix A.

List of Socio-Economic Community
Studies



## List of Socio-Economic Community Studies

Study Name	Study Proponent	Lead Consultant
Local Economic Development Study and Strategy	MSB	MDB Insight (now Deloitte LLP)
Economic Development Study on Youth	MSB	MDB Insight (now Deloitte LLP)
Local Hiring Effects Study & Strategy	MSB	MDB Insight (now Deloitte LLP)
Agriculture Business Impact Study	MSB	MDB Insight (now Deloitte LLP)
Fiscal Impact and Public Finance Study	MSB	Watson & Associates Economists
Tourism Industry Effects Study and Strategy	MSB	MDB Insight (now Deloitte LLP)
Housing Needs and Demand Analysis Study	NWMO, MSB	Keir Corp.
Labour Baseline Study	NWMO	Keir Corp.
Workforce Development Study	NWMO	Keir Corp.
Regional Economic Development Study	NWMO	Keir Corp.
Effects on Recreational Resources	MSB	Tract Consulting
Local/Regional Education Study	NWMO, MSB	DPRA
Land Use Study	NWMO, MSB	DPRA
Social Programs Study	NWMO, MSB	DPRA
Emergency Services Study	NWMO	DPRA
Vulnerable Populations Study	NWMO	DPRA
Community Health Programs and Infrastructure Study	NWMO	DPRA
Aggregate Resources Study	NWMO, MSB	Keir Corp.
Infrastructure Baseline and Feasibility Study	NWMO	Morrison Hershfield
Local Traffic Study	NWMO	Morrison Hershfield
Road Conditions Study	NWMO	Morrison Hershfield



# Appendix B.

Inventory of Knowledge Holders
Interviewed



## **Knowledge Holder Interviews**

The table below includes an inventory of Knowledge Holders interviewed in 2021 and 2022 applicable to the *Local/Regional Education Study*. Names and titles have been excluded to respect the privacy of individuals.

Date	Knowledge Holder – Organization	Applicable Studies
October 13, 2021	Ontario Youth Apprenticeship Program (OYAP)	Local/Regional Education Study
November 18, 2021	Avon Maitland District School Board	Local/Regional Education Study
November 18, 2021	Avon Maitland District School Board	Local/Regional Education Study
November 18, 2021	Avon Maitland District School Board	Local/Regional Education Study
November 18, 2021	Avon Maitland District School Board	Local/Regional Education Study
February 18, 2022	Bruce-Grey Catholic District School Board	Local/Regional Education Study
February 22, 2022	Four County Labour Market Planning Board	Local/Regional Education Study



