



Share Your Thoughts on...

Moving Forward Together: Designing the Process for Selecting a Site

Invitation to Review a Proposed Process

We invite you to review our discussion document. Share your thoughts on whether the proposed site selection process is appropriate and what changes, if any, need to be made.

The comments you and others make will be used to refine the design of the process. To help initiate this conversation, we invite you to consider these questions:

1. Are the proposed siting principles (outlined on pages 16 and 17) fair and appropriate? What changes, if any, should be made?

References to "host community" (singular) are disturbing.

2. Are the proposed decision-making steps (outlined in brief on page 19) consistent with selecting a safe site and making a fair decision? What changes, if any, should be made?

Step 6 refers to "the preferred site" again singular

3. Does the proposed process provide for the kinds of information and tools (outlined on pages 33 to 35) that are needed to support the participation of communities that may be interested? What changes, if any, should be made?

Reference to partnership with "a willing community" again singular.

4. What else needs to be considered?

An fundamental consideration in any safety situation (my background is extensively in air navigation) is redundancy. The process in my view is flawed unless there are at least two sites selected to provide the required protection in the event one is found to have a problem and constructed

Please return to:
 Jo-Ann Facella
 Director, Social Research & Dialogue

By Mail

By Fax

By Email
 jfacella@nwmo.ca

22 St. Clair Avenue East, 6th Floor
 Toronto, Ontario
 M4T 2S3 Canada

Name (optional): Robert M. Bennett P. Eng (ON)